

London Output Area Classification 2021

28/08/23

Consumer Data Research Centre
Authored by: Alex Singleton, Paul Longley



Table of Contents


City of London	4
Barking and Dagenham.....	7
Barnet.....	10
Bexley.....	13
Brent	16
Bromley.....	19
Camden	22
Croydon.....	25
Ealing.....	28
Enfield	31
Greenwich	34
Hackney.....	37
Hammersmith and Fulham	40
Haringey	43
Harrow	46
Havering	49
Hillingdon	52
Hounslow	55
Islington.....	58
Kensington and Chelsea.....	61
Kingston upon Thames	64
Lambeth	67
Lewisham	70
Merton	73
Newham.....	76

Redbridge	79
Richmond upon Thames	82
Southwark	85
Sutton.....	88
Tower Hamlets	91
Waltham Forest	94
Wandsworth.....	97
Westminster	100

City of London


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of City of London.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within City of London can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	0
A2	0
A3	900
B1	0
B2	0
C1	0
C2	0
D1	715
D2	2,358
D3	3,583
E1	665
E2	365

Group	Total Population
F1	0
F2	0
G1	0
G2	0

Barking and Dagenham

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Barking and Dagenham.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Barking and Dagenham can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Barking and Dagenham



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	0
A2	0
A3	0
B1	0
B2	207
C1	44,404
C2	3,000
D1	1,391
D2	426
D3	0
E1	8,518
E2	14,404

Group	Total Population
F1	71,670
F2	72,819
G1	0
G2	1,977

Barnet

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Barnet.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Barnet can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Barnet



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	27,619
A2	1,599
A3	2,160
B1	2,320
B2	72,020
C1	0
C2	45,240
D1	11,843
D2	932
D3	2,020
E1	332
E2	29,544

Group	Total Population
F1	27,384
F2	43,880
G1	94,857
G2	27,550

Bexley


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Bexley.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Bexley can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	1,608
A2	443
A3	169
B1	1,120
B2	9,086
C1	0
C2	1,243
D1	686
D2	0
D3	0
E1	0
E2	10,559

Group	Total Population
F1	66,378
F2	11,863
G1	26,023
G2	117,226

Brent


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Brent.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Brent can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	1,414
A2	2,703
A3	620
B1	15,476
B2	28,459
C1	17,036
C2	138,179
D1	9,397
D2	2,828
D3	241
E1	190
E2	50,224

Group	Total Population
F1	1,800
F2	58,025
G1	11,254
G2	1,954

Bromley


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Bromley.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Bromley can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	18,488
A2	3,748
A3	756
B1	21,524
B2	34,951
C1	0
C2	0
D1	2,509
D2	1,165
D3	175
E1	0
E2	7,147

Group	Total Population
F1	42,078
F2	3,873
G1	99,452
G2	94,127

Camden


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Camden.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Camden can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	7,113
A2	15,351
A3	23,673
B1	27,938
B2	3,112
C1	0
C2	0
D1	23,787
D2	5,216
D3	25,857
E1	54,541
E2	21,176

Group	Total Population
F1	0
F2	2,363
G1	0
G2	0

Croydon

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Croydon.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Croydon can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Croydon



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	2,310
A2	456
A3	0
B1	10,232
B2	45,688
C1	7,077
C2	36,512
D1	6,376
D2	1,028
D3	227
E1	103
E2	27,785

Group	Total Population
F1	75,725
F2	68,684
G1	67,063
G2	41,420

Ealing

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Ealing.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Ealing can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Ealing



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	29,218
A2	5,883
A3	6,018
B1	10,091
B2	55,942
C1	54,718
C2	96,453
D1	12,921
D2	2,495
D3	1,703
E1	307
E2	26,440

Group	Total Population
F1	13,150
F2	36,121
G1	9,924
G2	5,653

Enfield

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Enfield.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Enfield can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Enfield



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	4,621
A2	538
A3	0
B1	1,350
B2	39,544
C1	1,374
C2	23,106
D1	191
D2	0
D3	323
E1	1,148
E2	32,493

Group	Total Population
F1	39,687
F2	120,972
G1	30,168
G2	34,415

Greenwich

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Greenwich.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Greenwich can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Greenwich



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	10,046
A2	9,094
A3	1,186
B1	20,763
B2	22,907
C1	3,036
C2	6,382
D1	19,476
D2	11,151
D3	1,392
E1	0
E2	34,285

Group	Total Population
F1	60,489
F2	63,047
G1	6,367
G2	19,546

Hackney


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Hackney.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Hackney can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	0
A2	11,438
A3	0
B1	86,396
B2	4,497
C1	0
C2	0
D1	25,828
D2	10,903
D3	2,729
E1	8,358
E2	87,881

Group	Total Population
F1	1,723
F2	18,271
G1	0
G2	1,064

Hammersmith and Fulham

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Hammersmith and Fulham.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Hammersmith and Fulham can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Hammersmith and Fulham



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	6,254
A2	36,354
A3	6,309
B1	49,791
B2	2,975
C1	0
C2	0
D1	19,053
D2	2,972
D3	11,116
E1	288
E2	36,427

Group	Total Population
F1	4,246
F2	7,054
G1	297
G2	0

Haringey

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Haringey.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Haringey can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Haringey



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	17,517
A2	19,709
A3	4,687
B1	36,820
B2	39,783
C1	598
C2	1,482
D1	7,716
D2	756
D3	1,734
E1	1,434
E2	41,158

Group	Total Population
F1	5,180
F2	82,019
G1	2,481
G2	1,076

Harrow


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Harrow.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Harrow can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	1,821
A2	0
A3	430
B1	0
B2	6,090
C1	2,635
C2	165,257
D1	3,166
D2	1,551
D3	388
E1	0
E2	8,933

Group	Total Population
F1	3,679
F2	10,814
G1	53,177
G2	3,223

Havering


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Havering.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Havering can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	479
A2	0
A3	0
B1	1,176
B2	6,735
C1	0
C2	8,235
D1	2,586
D2	0
D3	0
E1	328
E2	7,952

Group	Total Population
F1	78,939
F2	8,243
G1	32,546
G2	114,860

Hillingdon


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Hillingdon.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Hillingdon can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	928
A2	0
A3	236
B1	281
B2	13,388
C1	26,626
C2	105,683
D1	4,037
D2	0
D3	0
E1	0
E2	6,696

Group	Total Population
F1	35,480
F2	20,255
G1	51,563
G2	40,838

Hounslow


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Hounslow.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Hounslow can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	11,951
A2	4,434
A3	5,960
B1	4,067
B2	19,907
C1	26,697
C2	118,278
D1	8,352
D2	149
D3	3,427
E1	2,307
E2	20,340

Group	Total Population
F1	22,472
F2	24,893
G1	5,540
G2	9,394

Islington


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Islington.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Islington can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	721
A2	28,192
A3	929
B1	69,228
B2	0
C1	0
C2	0
D1	23,314
D2	9,114
D3	10,145
E1	7,846
E2	65,616

Group	Total Population
F1	455
F2	838
G1	0
G2	0

Kensington and Chelsea


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Kensington and Chelsea.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Kensington and Chelsea can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	3,191
A2	4,408
A3	40,218
B1	13,730
B2	1,246
C1	0
C2	0
D1	8,357
D2	1,401
D3	34,011
E1	2,569
E2	32,805

Group	Total Population
F1	279
F2	1,097
G1	0
G2	0

Kingston upon Thames

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Kingston upon Thames.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Kingston upon Thames can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Kingston upon Thames



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	17,807
A2	1,811
A3	2,047
B1	1,869
B2	23,279
C1	0
C2	11,032
D1	6,424
D2	0
D3	5,046
E1	0
E2	5,226

Group	Total Population
F1	13,178
F2	4,669
G1	40,359
G2	35,332

Lambeth

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Lambeth.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Lambeth can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Lambeth



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	5,737
A2	34,348
A3	184
B1	99,216
B2	23,891
C1	0
C2	2,088
D1	20,803
D2	6,655
D3	6,947
E1	608
E2	84,796

Group	Total Population
F1	8,201
F2	19,118
G1	1,327
G2	3,697

Lewisham

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Lewisham.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Lewisham can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Lewisham



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	12,087
A2	9,708
A3	230
B1	100,628
B2	29,960
C1	0
C2	0
D1	8,993
D2	5,858
D3	497
E1	0
E2	42,475

Group	Total Population
F1	45,754
F2	34,715
G1	616
G2	9,012

Merton

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Merton.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Merton can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Merton



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	29,672
A2	8,862
A3	8,806
B1	2,363
B2	30,641
C1	1,100
C2	32,121
D1	4,822
D2	316
D3	2,340
E1	0
E2	7,432

Group	Total Population
F1	29,070
F2	28,489
G1	8,600
G2	20,623

Newham


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Newham.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Newham can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	0
A2	560
A3	0
B1	1,223
B2	5,444
C1	141,959
C2	3,644
D1	14,959
D2	16,472
D3	0
E1	31,414
E2	10,521

Group	Total Population
F1	3,730
F2	121,179
G1	0
G2	0

Redbridge


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Redbridge.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Redbridge can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	6,389
A2	891
A3	247
B1	508
B2	14,703
C1	175,593
C2	26,812
D1	2,890
D2	0
D3	408
E1	10,386
E2	4,406

Group	Total Population
F1	15,010
F2	14,152
G1	16,287
G2	21,552

Richmond upon Thames


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Richmond upon Thames.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Richmond upon Thames can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	82,248
A2	10,470
A3	14,440
B1	5,293
B2	14,453
C1	389
C2	3,064
D1	2,806
D2	0
D3	3,890
E1	0
E2	2,063

Group	Total Population
F1	12,419
F2	1,570
G1	23,437
G2	18,723

Southwark


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Southwark.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Southwark can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	10,156
A2	26,107
A3	737
B1	81,408
B2	3,738
C1	0
C2	0
D1	20,461
D2	16,679
D3	10,395
E1	7,521
E2	110,697

Group	Total Population
F1	3,764
F2	14,096
G1	1,717
G2	292

Sutton


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Sutton.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Sutton can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	824
A2	0
A3	0
B1	0
B2	31,167
C1	0
C2	8,107
D1	3,988
D2	0
D3	0
E1	0
E2	11,798

Group	Total Population
F1	47,202
F2	4,054
G1	39,081
G2	63,398

Tower Hamlets


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Tower Hamlets.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Tower Hamlets can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	319
A2	6,156
A3	442
B1	37,064
B2	4,194
C1	391
C2	0
D1	31,953
D2	39,150
D3	9,702
E1	158,508
E2	186

Group	Total Population
F1	775
F2	21,478
G1	0
G2	0

Waltham Forest

Spatial Distribution


The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Waltham Forest.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Waltham Forest can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).

Waltham Forest



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	1,179
A2	995
A3	188
B1	9,723
B2	58,477
C1	8,043
C2	28,769
D1	2,906
D2	2,132
D3	0
E1	306
E2	19,513

Group	Total Population
F1	37,511
F2	82,870
G1	1,119
G2	24,727

Wandsworth


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Wandsworth.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Wandsworth can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.


Group	Total Population
A1	26,230
A2	80,596
A3	6,913
B1	42,206
B2	45,031
C1	895
C2	3,091
D1	17,679
D2	13,470
D3	22,087
E1	174
E2	49,889

Group	Total Population
F1	4,911
F2	8,506
G1	1,914
G2	3,840

Westminster


Spatial Distribution

The following map shows the spatial distribution of LOAC Groups across the London borough of Westminster.



Index Scores

The incidence of the different LOAC Groups within Westminster can be compared with the over-all Greater London average by calculating 'index scores' for each Group. If the Borough-wide proportion of Output Area zones assigned to a Group were the same as for all Greater London, the score would be 100. A score of 200 would mean that the Group was twice as common, and 50, only half as common. Index scores that are less than 80 or greater than 120 are typically of interest to policy-makers, as of course are values of zero (since the Group is entirely absent).



Population Counts

The distribution of the population by LOAC Groups is shown in the following table.

Group	Total Population
A1	1,194
A2	1,992
A3	25,925
B1	12,335
B2	1,481
C1	0
C2	0
D1	24,215
D2	6,213
D3	58,292
E1	23,951
E2	43,197

Group	Total Population
F1	1,922
F2	3,137
G1	353
G2	0

