
GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY

The 2004 London Elections

Includes results from the 2005 Parliamentary General Election in London and from the 2006 London Borough Council General Elections





Previous publications on local government elections

General Election of Greater London Councillors - 9 April 1964

London Borough Council Elections - 7 May 1964

General Election of Greater London Councillors - 13 April 1967

London Borough Council Elections - 9 May 1968

Greater London Council Elections - 9 April 1970

London Borough Council Elections - 13 May 1971

Greater London Council Elections - 12 April 1973

London Borough Council Elections - 2 May 1974

Greater London Council Elections - 5 May 1977

London Borough Council Elections - 4 May 1978

Greater London Council Elections - 7 May 1981

London Borough Council Elections - 6 May 1982

London Borough Council Elections - 8 May 1986

Inner London Education Authority Direct Elections - 8 May 1986

London Borough Council Elections - 3 May 1990

London Borough Council By-elections - May 1990 to May 1994

London Borough Council Elections - 5 May 1994

London Borough Council Elections - 7 May 1998

London Borough Council Elections - 2 May 2002

Published 1964 to 1982 by the Greater London Council, 1986 by the London Residuary Body, 1990 to 1998 by the London Research Centre, and 2002 by the Greater London Authority.

The London Elections 10 June 2004

The Parliamentary General Election
5 May 2005
The London Borough Council General Elections
4 May 2006

Michael Minors

Dennis Grenham



Copyright:

Greater London Authority October 2006

Published by: **Greater London Authority**City Hall
The Queen's Walk
More London
London SE1 2AA

www.london.gov.uk enquiries 020 7983 4100 minicom 020 7983 4458

ISBN 10: 1 85261 916 3 ISBN 13: 978 1 85261 916 9

Acknowledgements:

This publication has grown over two years and the authors recognise the considerable contribution made by the kind help, advice and support given by many people at the GLA. Principal among those have been Anthony Mayer, John Bennett, Rob Lewis, Kelly Rump and Alison Vydulinska.

All maps in this publication are based on Ordnance Survey material with permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office and are © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. (Greater London Authority) (LA 100032379) (2006).

Data from the 2004 elections for the Mayor of London and the London Assembly, and from the 2004 election for the European Parliament were provided by the London Elects Team at City Hall. Electronic counting at the elections was undertaken by Data and Research Services plc, on behalf of the Greater London Returning Officer. Further information on GLA elections can be found on the London Elects web site: **www.londonelects.org.uk**.

Statistics from the 1999 European Parliamentary election were taken from **European Parliamentary Election Expenses**, Home Office Statistical Bulletin 16/00, Home Office 2000. Figures on rejected ballot papers at that election were provided separately by the Home Office.

Data from the 2001 Parliamentary general election were from **The Parliamentary General Election**, **2001**, DMAG Briefing 2004/7, GLA 2004. The 2005 Parliamentary general election data were taken from the Electoral Commission's web site and modified using **Guide to the House of Commons 2005**, The Times 2005, and London borough web sites. Data from the 2006 London borough general elections were collected, principally, from the borough elections officers, by the GLA Data Management and Analysis Group (DMAG).

The authors would also like to acknowledge the following sources of the photographs used in this publication: City Hall ceiling - the cover: Adam Hinton; the Assembly Chamber - page 5: Hayley Madden; the European Parliament, Strasbourg - page 23: Atelier Architecture; City Hall - pages 63 and 221: Sarah Dyson; the Assembly Chamber - pages 147, 157 and 163: Hayley Madden.

Contents

	page		page
Foreword	ii	Detailed tables - 2004 elections	63
Introduction	1	Results – Assembly constituencies	65
Summary	2	Mayoral election – first preference	65
The results of the elections	5	Mayoral election – second preference Assembly election – constituency members	81 97
Election for Mayor of London	6	Assembly election – Londonwide members	113
Election for the London Assembly	10	European election	129
Election for the European Parliament	18		
Elected Members	21	Statistics – Assembly constituencies	147
The election statistics	23	Turnout	149
		Postal ballot papers	150
Turnout	24	Manually-entered ballot papers	151
Spoiled ballot papers	26	Rejected ballot papers	152
Postal ballot papers	28		
Manually-entered ballot papers	30	Results – London boroughs	157
The parties' performances	33	Statistics – London boroughs	163
British National Party	34	Parliamentary election, 2005 - details	173
Conservatives	36	London borough elections, 2006 - details	187
Greens	38	London borough elections, 2000 - details	107
Labour	40	Appendices	221
Liberal Democrats	42		
Respect	44	Party codes used	223
United Kingdom Independence Party	46	Nominations	224
Christian People's Alliance	48	Nonmiations	224
Residents	49	Technical notes	228
The parliamentary general election 2005	51	Electing members for the London Assembly The European Parliament elections	228 229
The London borough general elections 2006	57	The d'Hondt formula The conduct of the election	229 229
		Electronic counting	230
		Manually-entered ballot papers	230
		Spoiled ballot papers	231

GLA Data Management and Analysis Group The 2004 London Elections Page i

Foreword

One of the Greater London Returning Officer's responsibilities is to make sure that the London electorate, and the public in general, are fully informed of the conduct and results of relevant London elections. This was accomplished for the 2000 and 2004 Greater London Authority elections primarily through local posting of nominations and results, and through the publication of an extensive range of data and information on the *London Elects* web site. This new publication supplements those channels with a more studied and in-depth analysis of the results. It includes all the important information in a format which, it is hoped, will be accessible and useful to those who have not had access to the internet, or who prefer the more traditional forms of publication.

The 2004 combined London elections were the most complex ever undertaken in the United Kingdom. Voters elected the Mayor of London, 25 London Assembly Members and nine Members of the European Parliament for the London region. Aside from the European election, these were the second series of elections for the new system of regional government for London, following the inaugural elections in 2000.

The election officers again used electronic counting to enhance the speed and accuracy of processing. Electronic counting also facilitated the production of detailed analyses of voting for geographic areas smaller than the divisions traditionally used when analysing parliamentary and local authority election results.

anthony Mayer

Anthony Mayer Greater London Returning Officer

Introduction

The electronic counting and analysis used in the 2004 London elections meant that although candidates were being elected for Greater London Assembly constituencies (in the Assembly election) and London as a whole (in the Mayoral, Assembly and European elections), besides being available for those areas (as in 2000), voting details were provided for the first time for the 33 local authority areas in London and for their constituent electoral wards. This last division of wards did not apply to the area covered by the City of London since several of their 25 electoral wards contain very few or no residents, and so data were provided instead for the three polling districts used by the City. Throughout the remainder of this report, references to wards in London should be understood to refer to wards in the 32 London boroughs and polling districts in the City of London. The disaggregation of the data into boroughs and wards introduced a small number of discrepancies at these levels which cannot be reconciled to the official results at constituency level. All of these differences are trivial in size.

This report will present the full results of each election for the Assembly constituency areas and summary results for local authority areas. Much analysis has been carried out at ward level and summaries are provided in the form of mapping.

The report begins by providing a summary of the final results. It then analyses voting in each of the elections in turn, treating the Assembly election as two

entities – the election of the 14 constituency members as one, and the election of the 11 London-wide members as the second. The remainder of the report generally maintains this distinction, referring to the two parts of the Assembly elections as the Assembly constituency election and the Assembly list election as appropriate.


Brief analyses of turnout, rejected ballot papers, postal voting and manuallyentered votes follow.

The performance of individual parties in the four elections are then studied, using mapping to illustrate voting patterns.


A brief analysis of the 2005 parliamentary general election is enhanced by comparison with the 2004 results reworked to approximate to parliamentary constituencies. A further brief analysis is provided of the 2006 London Borough Council general elections.

The commentary is complemented by a comprehensive set of detailed tabulations at Assembly constituency and local authority levels. The election results are also available on the *London Elects* web site.


Mayoral Election 2004 - Wards




Assembly Constituency Election 2004 - Wards







European Election 2004 - Wards



Page 2 The 2004 London Elections

Summary

Just under 2 million people voted in these elections, the second time Londoners had been able to vote for their new-style London government of Mayor and Assembly and, at the same time, for Members of the European Parliament. With over 5 million eligible to vote, this represented a turnout of only around 37 per cent. However, turnout had improved by about 2.5 percentage points over the first of these London elections in 2000. By combining with the London elections, turnout for the European election was substantially improved, more than 60 per cent up on that of the previous election in 1999.

The principal results of the elections saw Ken Livingstone return as Mayor of London and the Conservative Party become the largest party on the London Assembly. The party had shared this position with Labour in 2000, but in 2004 Labour (and the Greens) lost seats overall to the Liberal Democrats and the United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP).

In the European election, with London's representation reduced from ten to nine seats since 1999, the Conservatives and Labour each lost a seat, and UKIP more than doubled their share of the vote (almost quadrupling their vote in numerical terms) to gain a seat.

One of the most interesting findings from this analysis is that a large proportion

of electors chose to vote differently in each election. The table below illustrates this. Perhaps the most noticeable difference is in the support for the Labour Party. Ken Livingstone gained well over 200,000 more votes than the party achieved in any of the other ballots on the day.


A similar effect, but with much less impact, may be the reason for the Conservative Party's increased support in the constituency member section of the Assembly election, particularly when compared with the party's performance in the Assembly London-wide list section of the election.

The reduction in the Labour vote in the Mayoral election between 2000 and 2004 was very large when the Livingstone and Dobson votes from 2000 are viewed together. Ken Livingstone's share, on his own account, reduced by 2.2 per cent between the elections, but adding Dobson's votes from 2000 as well, increased the fall to over 15 per cent.

The differing voting patterns in the 2004 elections illustrate the difficulties of attempting to predict parliamentary election results from local or regional elections.

Summary of voting by party

				Assembly		Assembly		
		Mayor	Coi	nstituency		List		European
	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%	Votes	%
Conservatives	542,423	29	562,048	31	533,696	28	504,941	27
Labour	685,548	37	444,808	25	468,247	25	466,584	25
Liberal Democrats	284,647	15	332,237	18	316,218	17	288,790	15
Others	351,068	19	464,078	26	555,005	30	625,134	33



Election for Mayor of London

First choice votes - London totals

			2004	2	000
Candidate	Party	Votes	%	Candidate Party Votes	%
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	685,548	36.8	Livingstone, Kenneth R. IND 667,877	39.0
Norris, Steven J.	CON	542,423	29.1	Norris, Steven J. CON 464,434	27.1
Hughes, Simon H. W.	LD	284,647	15.3	Dobson, Frank G. LAB 223,884	13.1
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	115,666	6.2	Kramer, Susan V. LD 203,452	11.9
German, Lindsey A.	R	61,731	3.3	Gidoomal, Balram CPA 42,060	2.5
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	58,407	3.1	Johnson, Darren P. GRE 38,121	2.2
Johnson, Darren	GRE	57,332	3.1	Newland, Michael BNP 33,569	2.0
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	41,698	2.2	Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D. UKIP 16,324	1.0
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	9,542	0.5	Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M. PMSS 9,956	0.6
Nagalingam, Dr Puvanarani T.	IND	6,692	0.4	Tanna, Ashwinkumar IND 9,015	0.5
_				Clements, Geoffrey NLP 5,470	0.3
Total		1,863,686	100.0	Total 1,714,162 10	0.00

Second round - London totals

				2004					2000
				choice					choice
Candidate	Party	1st	2nd	total	Candidate	Party	1st	2nd	total
Livingstone, Kenneth R	LAB	685,548	142,842	828,390	Livingstone, Kenn	eth R. IND	667,877	108,550	776,427
Norris, Steven J	CON	542,423	124,757	667,180	Norris, Steven J.	CON	464,434	99,703	564,137

Election for Mayor of London

The election for Mayor of London is made on the basis of the Supplementary Vote System. This method requires the voters to mark their ballot paper in the usual way against the candidate of their choice, and then, if they wish, to indicate a second preference in a similar fashion. The first choice votes for each candidate are counted and if one has gained an absolute majority over all rivals, he or she is elected. If no one has achieved this figure the second choices come into play. All except the leading two candidates are eliminated. The ballot papers containing first preference votes for the eliminated candidates are inspected and any second choice votes for the remaining two candidates are counted. These second preference votes are then distributed appropriately to the two leading candidates and the one with the greater total of votes at this stage is declared the winner. In the unlikely event of a tie at this stage, the result will be determined by drawing lots.

In the 2004 election, no candidate gained more than 50 per cent of first preference votes. The leading contenders were Ken Livingstone with 36.8 per cent and Steven Norris with 29.1 per cent. The remaining eight candidates were eliminated and following identification and redistribution of the second preference votes Ken Livingstone was declared Mayor with 55.4 per cent of the votes included in the second stage (compared with 57.9 per cent in 2000).

Although Ken Livingstone increased his vote over the 2000 results by a little over 2.6 per cent, an increased turnout determined that his share of the overall first preference vote was reduced by some 2.2 per cent. Bearing in mind that in 2000 Livingstone was standing as an independent against the official Labour Party candidate, the overall fall in the combined Livingstone/Labour share is considerable – 15.2 per cent. Although some commentators have attributed

this shortfall to the intervention of Respect, their vote in the Mayoral election falls far short of accounting for all the missing votes.

Steven Norris increased his vote by 16.8 per cent over the 2000 performance. When the increased turnout is taken into account, this still resulted in a 2 per cent increase in his share of the first preference vote. The first preference vote represented a two-party swing of 3.2 per cent from Livingstone to Norris (the more common calculation of total-vote swing gives 2.1 per cent but this fails to isolate the two primary contenders). In contrast, the swing in overall votes used in the second phase count was less at 1.3 per cent. This is an indication that, although Norris increased his first preference support far more than Livingstone (16.8 per cent against 2.6 per cent as noted above), the increase in his second preference vote was smaller (25.1 per cent compared with 31.6).

The Liberal Democrats increased their vote by almost 40 per cent and their share by almost 3.5 per cent. They moved up from fourth to third place, but this improved performance still left them short of second place by over 250,000 votes.

Only one of the remaining candidates achieved more than 5 per cent of the first preference vote. That was Frank Maloney for the UK independence Party. The party's vote increased more than sevenfold between the elections which may reflect the greater impact of European politics even in this regional election. The only party or grouping to experience a reduced vote, notwithstanding the increased turnout, was the Christian People's Alliance whose 2004 performance fell 362 short of that in 2000.

Election for Mayor of London, 2004

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate

Ca	ndidate												2nd choice
1s	t choice		German R	Gidoomal CPA	Hughes LD	Johnson GRE	Leppert BNP	Livingstone LAB	Maloney UKIP	Nagalingam IND	Norris CON	Reid IWCA	None
	German	R		797	10,765	6,678	506	15,118	1,631	602	4,315	2,064	10,036
	Gidoomal	CPA	807		9,155	2,346	471	10,213	2,314	1,075	6,309	941	4,646
	Hughes	LD	9,735	11,304		42,362	4,931	81,427	19,943	2,868	62,381	5,127	29,596
	Johnson	GRE	4,074	1,408	13,186		1,156	19,023	3,160	783	4,430	2,179	4,858
	Leppert	BNP	725	490	3,546	3,127		3,629	23,074	193	10,320	1,768	6,724
	Livingstone	e LAB	29,619	20,909	231,565	110,264	7,984		24,638	8,559	60,391	14,725	112,745
	Maloney	UKIP	1,991	2,439	13,866	7,364	21,617	9,927		992	35,289	5,291	11,290
	Nagalingar	n IND	264	475	857	467	127	1,610	336		821	383	829
	Norris	CON	5,916	15,158	166,588	31,865	28,679	43,529	111,210	4,523		6,855	90,687
	Reid	IWCA	944	320	1,204	1,141	455	1,895	1,253	273	892		820
	Total		54,075	53,300	450,732	205,614	65,926	186,371	187,559	19,868	185,148	39,333	272,231
	Both choic same candi		9,219	3,421	14,973	3,075	4,811	64,149	5,600	523	37,413	345	

Election for Mayor of London, 2000

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate

Candidate													2nd choice
1st choice	Ве	n-Nathan PMSS	Clements NLP	Dobson LAB	Gidoomal CPA	Hockney UKIP	Johnson GRE	Kramer LD	Livingstone IND	Newland BNP	Norris CON	Tanna IND	None
Ben-Nathar	n PMSS		347	669	380	754	479	1,287	1,129	378	1,819	426	1,481
Clements	NLP	164		438	258	252	1,045	481	749	144	368	147	977
Dobson	LAB	1,522	1,932		7,276	1,506	12,754	51,413	40,367	1,871	21,577	5,013	51,067
Gidoomal	CPA	477	308	4,745		1,229	2,348	10,037	4,842	325	6,983	1,898	6,036
Hockney	UKIP	729	287	474	747		1,170	1,727	1,288	2,255	4,669	507	1,786
Johnson	GRE	380	1,842	3,222	1,236	711		7,988	13,013	753	2,909	1,172	3,357
Kramer	LD	2,801	1,933	29,103	10,292	3,162	25,764		42,166	2,938	50,173	4,887	22,566
Livingstone	IND	5,296	6,480	121,663	13,565	5,778	122,013	165,671		10,773	63,064	18,240	100,731
Newland	BNP	720	558	1,231	370	4,091	2,507	2,729	3,376		10,243	358	4,852
Norris	CON	9,872	3,877	37,914	18,570	25,217	22,470	154,519	35,656	23,247		8,589	99,229
Tanna	IND	253	174	1,050	963	287	676	1,296	1,620	119	962		1,086
Total		22,214	17,738	200,509	53,657	42,987	191,226	397,148	144,206	42,803	162,767	41,237	293,168
Both choice	s for												
same candid	date	807	447	27,586	2,832	685	1,538	7,667	34,603	2,534	25,274	529	

Second preference votes

The table opposite shows the destination of second preference votes by the voters' first choice. Each row shows first preference with the columns indicating the destination of second preferences. Thus, for example, in the 2004 election 231,565 of the voters whose first preference was Livingstone, gave Hughes their second preference vote. People who chose to give both votes to the same candidate or who failed to indicate a second choice will have wasted their second preference vote, but nevertheless these figures are of some interest and are included in the table. They will be discussed in more detail later in the report. The rows showing total second choice votes do not include the duplicated choices.

In 2004, Ken Livingstone was the principal second preference for all non-Labour voters except for those choosing BNP, UKIP or Conservative as first choice. In the case of both Labour and Conservative first choice voters, the Liberal Democrat candidate was the second choice. This single fact ensured that Simon Hughes was by far the most commonly selected second choice candidate. Those who made the BNP and UKIP their first preference, chose UKIP and Conservative respectively as their most favoured second preference.

These patterns are somewhat different from those evident from the 2000 results. The Liberal Democrat candidate was again the most popular second choice for those voting Labour, Conservative or independent (Livingstone) as their first choice. In this case the same was true for those voting CPA as their first preference. Ken Livingstone was the favourite second preference for those voting Green or independent (Tanna) with their first. On this occasion Steven Norris was the second choice for those voting PMSS, BNP, Liberal Democrat and (again) UKIP.

In 2004, voters whose first choice was German, Livingstone or Norris were the most likely to forgo the chance to use a second preference vote (all more than 16 per cent).

The proportions making no second choice in the 2000 election were generally higher. More than one in five Dobson and Norris voters fell into this category. Indeed over 35 per cent of Dobson supporters either failed to make a second preference vote or gave him both votes.

Assembly constituency elections

			Liberal							
Constituency	Conservative	Labour	Democrat	UKIP	Green	Respect	CPA	Others	Elected party	Elected candidate
Barnet and Camden	47,640	36,121	23,603	8,685	11,921	5,150	1,914	_	Conservative	Brian Coleman
Bexley and Bromley	64,246	24,848	29,992	26,703	8,069	1,673	3,397	_	Conservative	Bob Neill
Brent and Harrow	39,900	35,214	20,782	7,199	6,975	4,586	2,734	_	Conservative	Robert Blackman
City and East London	23,749	38,085	18,255	17,997	8,687	19,675	4,461	_	Labour	John Biggs
Croydon and Sutton	52,330	25,861	28,636	15,203	6,175	3,108	4,234	_	Conservative	Andrew Pelling
Ealing and Hillingdon	45,230	34,214	23,440	14,698	9,395	4,229	3,024	5,285	Conservative	Richard Barnes
Enfield and Haringey	32,381	33,955	19,720	10,652	10,310	6,855	2,365	_	Labour	Joanne McCartney
Greenwich and Lewisham	22,168	36,251	19,183	13,454	11,271	2,825	3,619	_	Labour	Len Duvall
Havering and Redbridge	44,723	28,017	13,646	18,297	6,009	5,185	2,917	10,553	Conservative	Roger Evans
Lambeth and Southwark	17,380	36,280	30,805	8,777	11,901	4,930	3,656	608	Labour	Valerie Shawcross
Merton and Wandsworth	48,295	31,417	17,864	8,327	10,163	4,291	2,782	1,240	Conservative	Elizabeth Howlett
North East	23,264	37,380	24,042	11,459	16,739	11,184	3,219	1,378	Labour	Jennette Arnold
South West	48,858	25,225	44,791	12,477	9,866	3,785	3,008	_	Conservative	Tony Arbour
West Central	51,884	21,940	17,478	7,219	10,762	4,825	1,993	_	Conservative	Angie Bray

Assembly list election

			Liberal								
Round	Conservative	Labour	Democrat	Green	UKIP	BNP	Respect	CPA	ADC	Elected party	Elected candidate
Percentage vot	e 28.5	25.0	16.9	8.6	8.4	4.8	4.7	2.9	0.3		
Votes remaining	g 533,696	468,247	316,218	160,445	156,780	_	_	_	_		
Elected above	9	5	0	0	0						
1	53,370	78,041	316,218	160,445	156,780					Liberal Democrat	Lynne Featherstone
Elected round	l 9	5	1	0	0						
2	53,370	78,041	158,109	160,445	156,780					Green	Jenny Jones
Elected round 2		5	1	1	0						
3	53,370	78,041	158,109	80,223	156,780					Liberal Democrat	Graham Tope
Elected round 3		5	2	1	0						
4	53,370	78,041	105,406	80,223	156,780					UKIP	Damian Hockney
Elected round 4	-	5	2	1	1						
5	53,370	78,041	105,406	80,223	78,390					Liberal Democrat	Sally Hamwee
Elected round 5	=	5	3	1	1						
6	53,370	78,041	79,055	80,223	78,390					Green	Darren Johnson
Elected round (5	3	2	1						
7	53,370	78,041	79,055	53,482	78,390					Liberal Democrat	Mike Tuffrey
Elected round 7		5	4	2	1						_
8	53,370	78,041	63,244	53,482	78,390					UKIP	Peter Hulme Cross
Elected round 8		5	4	2	2						
9	53,370	78,041	63,244	53,482	52,260					Labour	Nicky Gavron
Elected round 9		6	4	2	2						
10	53,370	66,892	63,244	53,482	52,260					Labour	Murad Qureshi
Elected round		7	4	2	2						
11	53,370	58,531	63,244	53,482	52,260					Liberal Democrat	Dee Doocey
Elected round	11 9	7	5	2	2						

The Assembly election

Further details of the results of the elections for Assembly members are to be found below on the pages immediately following and pages 97 to 128. Full lists of candidates nominated are given on pages 225 and 226. Summary details of the results are shown opposite.

The ballot for constituency members resulted in the election of nine Conservative and five Labour candidates. Electors voted for these 14 seats using the left-hand side of the ballot paper and the winners were decided with the first-past-the-post system. Voters used the right-hand side of the ballot paper to vote for the remaining 11 Assembly seats which were decided using the modified d'Hondt formula.

There is a description of the d'Hondt method in the Appendix (page 229) and its use in the 2004 Assembly election is shown in detail here.

The first step was to count the votes cast for each of the parties or individual candidates in the London-wide list section of the ballot (these totals are shown on page 13). Any party or candidate gaining less than 5 per cent of the London-wide vote was then eliminated from further participation in the process (see opposite). This eliminated the BNP, Respect, the CPA and the Alliance for Diversity in the Community. The remaining five parties were passed forward to the next stages.

The number of seats won in the constituency element of the election were carried forward to this stage and the number of votes gained by each remaining party in the list element of the election was divided by the number of seats already won plus one. For example, the Conservatives won nine seats in the constituency election and gained 533,696 votes in the list election. The 533,696 votes were divided by ten (9+1) giving 53,370 (rounded) to take forward into the first round of the d'Hondt process. Clearly, since only the Conservatives and Labour won seats in the constituency election, the other three parties carried all their votes through (their votes being divided by one – i.e. zero seats already won plus one).

The votes carried through to round one were inspected (the row labelled 1 opposite). It can be seen that the Liberal Democrats' total was the largest in this round (316,218) and so the first candidate on the Liberal Democrats' list was declared as elected (Lynne Featherstone). The process was then repeated. In the next round all parties except the Liberal Democrats carried their totals through from round one. The Liberal Democrats now had one seat and so their total was divided by two (1+1) giving 158,109 to carry forward to round two. In this round the Greens had the largest total and were awarded the next seat, the first candidate on their list being elected. The process was then repeated until all 11 seats had been allocated and this can be followed using the table opposite.

Assembly constituency elections

										Party	
				Liberal							
Constituency	Year	Conservative	Labour	Democrat	UKIP	Green	Respect	CPA	LSA	Other	Total
Barnet and Camden	2004	47,640	36,121	23,603	8,685	11,921	5,150	1,914	_	_	135,034
Daniet and Camacii	2000	41,583	41,032	22,295	2,115	14,768	- -	-	3,488	1,081	126,362
Bexley and Bromley	2004	64,246	24,848	29,992	26,703	8,069	1,673	3,397	<i>'</i> —	· _	158,928
,	2000	64,879	30,320	29,710	, <u> </u>	11,124	, <u> </u>	´ —	1,403	_	137,436
Brent and Harrow	2004	39,900	35,214	20,782	7,199	6,975	4,586	2,734	_	_	117,390
	2000	32,295	36,675	17,161	_	8,756	_	_	2,546	_	97,433
City and East London	2004	23,749	38,085	18,255	17,997	8,687	19,675	4,461	_	_	130,909
	2000	19,266	45,387	18,300	_	11,939	_	_	3,908	_	98,800
Croydon and Sutton	2004	52,330	25,861	28,636	15,203	6,175	3,108	4,234	_	_	135,547
	2000	48,421	29,514	30,614	_	8,884	_	_	1,823	_	119,256
Ealing and Hillingdon	2004	45,230	34,214	23,440	14,698	9,395	4,229	3,024	_	5,285	139,515
= 6.11	2000	44,850	38,038	22,177	_	11,788	_	-	2,977	_	119,830
Enfield and Haringey	2004 2000	32,381 31,207	33,955	19,720 14,319	10,652	10,310 10,761	6,855 —	2,365 —	— 3,671	— 12,581	116,238
C		•	34,509	•	12.454	•			,	•	107,048
Greenwich and Lewisham	2004 2000	22,168 22,401	36,251 40,386	19,183 16,290	13,454 —	11,271 11,839	2,825 —	3,619 —	— 3,981	_	108,771 94,897
Havering and Redbridge	2004	44,723	28,017	13,646	18,297	6,009	5,185	2,917	J,J01 —	10,553	129,347
riaveiling and Neubridge	2004	40,919	32,650	14,028	10,237	6,803	J, 105	2,317	1,744	12,831	108,975
Lambeth and Southwark	2004	17,380	36,280	30,805	8,777	11,901	4,930	3,656		608	114,337
Edilibeth and Southwark	2000	19,238	37,985	22,492		13,242	-	_	6,231	1,797	100,985
Merton and Wandsworth	2004	48,295	31,417	17,864	8,327	10,163	4,291	2,782	_	1,240	124,379
	2000	45,308	32,438	12,496	· –	8,491	· –	· –	1,450	14,432	114,615
North East	2004	23,264	37,380	24,042	11,459	16,739	11,184	3,219	_	1,378	128,665
	2000	20,975	42,459	24,856	_	18,382	_	_	8,269	2,645	117,586
South West	2004	48,858	25,225	44,791	12,477	9,866	3,785	3,008	_	_	148,010
	2000	48,248	31,065	41,189	_	13,426	_	_	2,319	_	136,247
West Central	2004	51,884	21,940	17,478	7,219	10,762	4,825	1,993	_	_	116,101
	2000	47,117	28,838	14,071		12,254			2,720	1,600	106,600
London	2004	562,048	444,808	332,237	181,147	138,243	82,301	43,323	46 520	19,064	1,803,171
	2000	526,707	501,296	299,998	2,115	162,457	_		46,530	46,96/	1,586,070

Assembly list elections

										Party	
				Liberal							
Constituency	Year	Conservative	Labour	Democrat	Green	UKIP	BNP	Respect	CPA	Others	Total
Barnet and Camden	2004	45,/51	34,967	23,643	13,982	8,149	4,152	5,/05	2,/04	314	139.367
Daniet and Camaen	2004	37,795	37,352	19,376	16,789	2,037	2,217	J,705 —	3,258	9,651	128,475
Bexley and Bromley	2004	60,773	26,283	26,585	8,621	21,991	11,019	1,662	4,420	133	161,487
	2000	59,019	29,776	23,302	11,021	3,746	5,060	_	4,621	4,768	141,313
Brent and Harrow	2004 2000	38,198 28,622	36,995 37,818	20,249 13,551	8,064 9,763	6,976 1,943	3,457 1,955	4,752 —	3,571 3,541	572 6,504	122,834 103,697
City and East London	2004 2000	22,710 19,116	39,296 44,329	17,526	9,384 10,079	12,800 2,977	11,434	21,795	5,286 4,001	703	140,934 109,603
Croydon and Sutton	2000	47,226	27,636	12,526 26,421	8,233	14,262	7,763 6,699	3,001	5,463	8,812 167	139,108
Croydon and Sutton	2004	43,666	29,221	23,837	9,658	2,902	3,206	3,001 —	6,039	4,986	123,515
Ealing and Hillingdon	2004 2000	41,402 38,191	39,021 40,551	21,571 16,575	10,444 11,863	13,078 2,387	8,118 3,823	5,761 —	3,813 3,846	647	143,855 124,021
Enfield and Haringey	2004	31,240 29,807	34,903 37,191	19,730 13,824	11,470 14,673	8,725 2,278	5,158 2,634	6,221 —	3,376 3,277	252 9,188	121,075 112,872
Greenwich and Lewisham	2004 2000	20,740 20,450	35,559 37,200	17,908 12,704	12,293 13,269	11,064 2,117	7,230 3,487	3,708 —	4,193 3,729	230 7,636	112,925 100,592
Havering and Redbridge	2004 2000	44,052 40,350	28,456 32,717	15,069 13,691	7,260 8,280	18,298 2,974	10,928 5,170	4,925 —	3,746 3,658	246 5,140	132,980 111,980
Lambeth and Southwark	2004 2000	18,613 17,245	38,487 35,957	29,391 18,065	15,010 16,130	6,478 1,700	3,491 2,412	5,092 —	4,382 4,237	207	121,151 106,377
Merton and Wandsworth	2004 2000	42,984 38,122	33,428 34,167	18,760 14,199	12,619 13,631	7,785 2,122	4,200 2,176	4,439 —	3,795 3,969	, 228 7,001	128,238 115,387
North East	2004 2000	23,283 20,923	38,958 43,382	24,112 19,790	18,736 20,449	9,389 2,156	5,538 3,515	11,510 —	3,884 3,869	432 13,984	135,842 128,068
South West	2004 2000	46,157 43,258	29,687 35,538	37,500 31,585	12,895 14,966	11,509 2,772	5,719 2,625	4,212 —	3,713 4,115	569 6,574	151,961 141,433
West Central	2004	50,567 44,489	24,571 27,675	17,753 12,530	11,434 13,339	6,276 1,943	3,222 1,627	4,750 —	2,568 3,032	268 7,662	121,409 112,297
London	2004 2000	533,696 481,053	468,247 502,874	316,218 245,555	160,445 183,910	156,780 34,054	90,365 47,670	87,533 —	54,914 55,192	4,968	1,873,166 1,659,630

Assembly constituency elections, percentage vote

		• •									
										Party	
				Liberal							
Constituency	Year	Conservative	Labour	Democrat	UKIP	Green	Respect	CPA	LSA	Other	Total
										_	
Barnet and Camden	2004	35.3	26.8	17.5	6.4	8.8	3.8	1.4	_	_	100.0
	2000	32.9	32.5	17.6	1.7	11.7	_	_	2.8	0.9	100.0
Bexley and Bromley	2004	40.4	15.6	18.9	16.8	5.1	1.1	2.1	_	_	100.0
D	2000	47.2	22.1	21.6	_	8.1	_	_	1.0	_	100.0
Brent and Harrow	2004 2000	34.0	30.0	17.7	6.1	5.9	3.9	2.3	_	_	100.0 100.0
5: IF I		33.1	37.6	17.6	_	9.0	_		2.6	_	
City and East London	2004 2000	18.1 19.5	29.1 45.9	13.9 18.5	13.7 —	6.6 12.1	15.0 —	3.4 —	_ 4.0	_	100.0 100.0
Consideration of Contract											
Croydon and Sutton	2004	38.6 40.6	19.1 24.7	21.1 25.7	11.2 —	4.6 7.5	2.3 —	3.1	_ 1.5	_	100.0 100.0
Ealing and Hillingdon	2004	32.4	24.7	16.8	10.5	6.7	3.0	2.2	- 1.5	3.8	100.0
Ealing and millinguon	2004	37.4	31.7	18.5	10.5	9.8	J.U —	Z.Z —	2.5	J.0 —	100.0
Enfield and Haringey	2004	27.9	29.2	17.0	9.2	8.9	5.9	2.0		_	100.0
Lillield alld Hallingey	2004	29.2	32.2	13.4	J.2 —	10.1	J.J	_	3.4	11.8	100.0
Greenwich and Lewisham	2004	20.4	33.3	17.6	12.4	10.4	2.6	3.3	_	_	100.0
	2000	23.6	42.6	17.2		12.5	_	_	4.2	_	100.0
Havering and Redbridge	2004	34.6	21.7	10.5	14.1	4.6	4.0	2.3	_	8.2	100.0
,	2000	37.5	30.0	12.9	_	6.2	_	_	1.6	11.8	100.0
Lambeth and Southwark	2004	15.2	31.7	26.9	7.7	10.4	4.3	3.2	_	0.5	100.0
	2000	19.1	37.6	22.3	_	13.1	-	_	6.2	1.8	100.0
Merton and Wandsworth	2004	38.8	25.3	14.4	6.7	8.2	3.5	2.2	_	1.0	100.0
	2000	39.5	28.3	10.9	_	7.4	_	_	1.3	12.6	100.0
North East	2004	18.1	29.1	18.7	8.9	13.0	8.7	2.5	_	1.1	100.0
	2000	17.8	36.1	21.1	_	15.6	_	_	7.0	2.2	100.0
South West	2004	33.0	17.0	30.3	8.4	6.7	2.6	2.0	_	_	100.0
	2000	35.4	22.8	30.2	_	9.9	_	_	1.7	_	100.0
West Central	2004	44.7	18.9	15.1	6.2	9.3	4.2	1.7	_	_	100.0
	2000	44.2	27.1	13.2	_	11.5	_	_	2.6	1.5	100.0
London	2004	31.2	24.7	18.4	10.0	7.7	4.6	2.4	_	1.1	100.0
	2000	33.2	31.6	18.9	0.1	10.2	_	_	2.9	3.0	100.0

Assembly constituency elections

London Assembly members are elected using the Additional Member System (AMS) also used for elections to the Scottish Parliament and the Welsh Assembly. Under this system voters have two votes, one to elect a member for one of the 14 constituencies, and the second for a party or individual on a London-wide list. The first of these, the constituency election, is decided on a first-past-the-post system, the system traditionally used in British elections, and thus familiar to the electorate. This part of the election is discussed here and the London-wide list part later.

Overall in the 2004 constituency elections, Labour lost one seat, Brent and Harrow, to the Conservatives compared with 2000.

The contrast in voting patterns between the Mayoral and Assembly elections at constituency level is clear. Labour's large lead in the Mayoral election was overturned by the Conservatives in the Assembly constituency vote in both 2004 and 2000. In 2004, they 'won' nine of the 14 constituencies, one more than in 2000. Compared with the 2004 Mayoral election with around 60,000 fewer valid votes cast, the Conservatives gained an extra 20,000 votes. Notwithstanding this they polled 2 per cent less than in 2000. Their biggest drop was in Bexley and Bromley where UKIP had their best showing.

Labour, on the other hand polled 240,000 fewer votes than in the Mayoral election, more than a third of their Mayoral total. Between 2000 and 2004 the party lost about 7 percentage points of support. This led to their losing one of their seats on the Assembly – Brent and Harrow. Support was eroded across the whole of London, from the 3 percentage points reduction in Enfield and Haringey, and Merton and Wandsworth, to the loss of almost 17 percentage points in the City and East London. This last constituency also saw the strongest result from Respect whose candidate came third, ahead of both Liberal Democrat and UKIP.

The Liberal Democrats gained five second places, one more (Bexley and Bromley) in 2004 than in 2000, but only in the South West of London and Lambeth and Southwark (areas of strong Liberal Democrat support) did they

come close enough to threaten a win. In those constituencies they came within a little over 4,000 votes of the Conservative winner in the first and within about 5,500 votes of the Labour winner in the second. In two other constituencies they ran second to the Conservatives (Bexley and Bromley, and Croydon and Sutton), and in one, to Labour (North East). In terms of overall votes, the Liberal Democrats increased their votes by about 10 per cent over 2000, but their share was depressed by about 0.5 of a percentage point.

Following little more than a token presence of one candidate in the 2000 election, UKIP put up a full slate and gained just over 10 per cent of the vote, supplanting the Greens as the fourth placed party. In Bexley and Bromley, they exceeded 26,000 votes, pushing the Labour candidate into fourth place. In one other constituency, Havering and Redbridge, they also achieved a third place, albeit a fairly distant one behind the Conservatives and Labour.

The Green Party was the only minor party to enter the full 14 candidates in both 2000 and 2004 elections. However, only in Merton and Wandsworth was the party able to improve its position between the two elections. Its best performance in both elections was in the North East constituency.

Respect appeared for the first time in the 2004 election, putting up the full 14 candidates. Overall they failed to achieve 5 per cent of the vote, but did pass that figure in three constituencies in North and East London. In particular, their result in the City and East London was especially significant, gaining almost 20,000 votes, 15 per cent of the constituency total, which took them to third place there.

Of the remaining candidates in 2004, only the CPA covered all 14 constituencies, but none reached 4 per cent of the vote. In the Havering and Redbridge constituency, residents' candidates stood in both 2000 and 2004, but their vote was almost halved between the two elections. In 2000 two independent Labour candidates, who expressed support for Ken Livingstone, stood (one in Enfield and Haringey and the other in Merton and Wandsworth) and both gained over 10,000 votes.

Assembly list elections, percentage votes

										Party	
Constituency	Year	Conservative	Labour	Liberal Democrat	Green	UKIP	BNP	Respect	CPA	Others	Total
Constituency	icui	Conscivative	Labout	Democrat	GICCII	OKII	DIVI	Пезресі	CIA	Others	iotai
Barnet and Camden	2004	32.8	25.1	17.0	10.0	5.8	3.0	4.1	1.9	0.2	100.0
	2000	29.4	29.1	15.1	13.1	1.6	1.7	_	2.5	7.5	100.0
Bexley and Bromley	2004	37.6	16.3	16.5	5.3	13.6	6.8	1.0	2.7	0.1	100.0
	2000	41.8	21.1	16.5	7.8	2.7	3.6	_	3.3	3.4	100.0
Brent and Harrow	2004	31.1	30.1	16.5	6.6	5.7	2.8	3.9	2.9	0.5	100.0
	2000	27.6	36.5	13.1	9.4	1.9	1.9	_	3.4	6.3	100.0
City and East London	2004	16.1	27.9	12.4	6.7	9.1	8.1	15.5	3.8	0.5	100.0
	2000	17.4	40.4	11.4	9.2	2.7	7.1	_	3.7	8.0	100.0
Croydon and Sutton	2004	33.9	19.9	19.0	5.9	10.3	4.8	2.2	3.9	0.1	100.0
	2000	35.4	23.7	19.3	7.8	2.3	2.6	_	4.9	4.0	100.0
Ealing and Hillingdon	2004	28.8	27.1	15.0	7.3	9.1	5.6	4.0	2.7	0.4	100.0
	2000	30.8	32.7	13.4	9.6	1.9	3.1	_	3.1	5.5	100.0
Enfield and Haringey	2004	25.8	28.8	16.3	9.5	7.2	4.3	5.1	2.8	0.2	100.0
	2000	26.4	32.9	12.2	13.0	2.0	2.3	_	2.9	8.1	100.0
Greenwich and Lewisham	2004	18.4	31.5	15.9	10.9	9.8	6.4	3.3	3.7	0.2	100.0
	2000	20.3	37.0	12.6	13.2	2.1	3.5	_	3.7	7.6	100.0
Havering and Redbridge	2004	33.1	21.4	11.3	5.5	13.8	8.2	3.7	2.8	0.2	100.0
	2000	36.0	29.2	12.2	7.4	2.7	4.6	_	3.3	4.6	100.0
Lambeth and Southwark	2004	15.4	31.8	24.3	12.4	5.3	2.9	4.2	3.6	0.2	100.0
	2000	16.2	33.8	17.0	15.2	1.6	2.3	_	4.0	10.0	100.0
Merton and Wandsworth	2004	33.5	26.1	14.6	9.8	6.1	3.3	3.5	3.0	0.2	100.0
	2000	33.0	29.6	12.3	11.8	1.8	1.9	_	3.4	6.1	100.0
North East	2004	17.1	28.7	17.8	13.8	6.9	4.1	8.5	2.9	0.3	100.0
	2000	16.3	33.9	15.5	16.0	1.7	2.7	_	3.0	10.9	100.0
South West	2004	30.4	19.5	24.7	8.5	7.6	3.8	2.8	2.4	0.4	100.0
	2000	30.6	25.1	22.3	10.6	2.0	1.9	_	2.9	4.6	100.0
West Central	2004	41.7	20.2	14.6	9.4	5.2	2.7	3.9	2.1	0.2	100.0
	2000	39.6	24.6	11.2	11.9	1.7	1.4	_	2.7	6.8	100.0
London	2004	28.5	25.0	16.9	8.6	8.4	4.8	4.7	2.9	0.3	100.0
	2000	29.0	30.3	14.8	11.1	2.1	2.9	_	3.3	6.6	100.0

Assembly list elections

The voting in the Assembly list election was broadly in line with that in the constituency elections. In terms of 'winners' (treating the contest as first-past-the-post in the same way as for the constituency election) in each constituency the only change was in Ealing and Hillingdon where Labour 'won' in the list election in 2000 but the Conservatives 'won' in the 2004 constituency election.

However, as described above, the list election is used to 'top up' the results of the constituency election to provide the full 25 Members, giving a distribution more in line with the overall voting than would be achieved through a 'first-past-the-post' system. There are therefore, 11 seats to be allocated in this manner.

In 2004, these seats were distributed as follows: Labour 2, Liberal Democrats 5, Green Party 2, and the UK Independence Party 2. The table below summarises the results for both 2000 and 2004 and more details of the process can be found on page 11 and in the appendix, page 229.

The simple fact that in the first-past-the-post element in 2004, the

Conservatives, for example, gained over 60 per cent of the available seats with just over 30 per cent of the vote demonstrates the relative success of the system in matching seats more closely to votes, since following the final distribution, the party gained 36 per cent of the seats from around 30 per cent of the vote. As can be seen from the table, similar outcomes apply to the other parties shown. No other party beyond the five listed, passed the 5 per cent threshold in the Assembly List election although two, Respect and the British National Party, came close.

Interestingly, there are differences in the results for the constituency and list elections in both 2000 and 2004. It may be that electors were subtle enough to distinguish between the different facets of the election, but, in respect of the main three parties, the differences between the constituency and list phases was greater in 2000 than in 2004. Support for the three major parties in the constituency election declined by almost 10 percentage points. Their support also dropped in the list election but by less than 4 percentage points. This shift in support resulted in a movement of one seat from the major to the minor parties.

Greater London Assembly Members

							2004						2000		Change :	2000-04
				Seats		Per	centages			Seats		Per	centages		Pero	centages
						Const	List					Const	List	_	Const	List
F	Party	Total	Const	List	Seats	votes	votes	Total	Const	List	Seats	votes	votes	Seats	votes	votes
	Conservative	9	9	_	36.0	31.2	28.5	9	8	1	36.0	33.2	29.0	_	-2.0	-0.5
L	_abour	7	5	2	28.0	24.7	25.0	9	6	3	36.0	31.6	30.3	-2	-6.9	-5.3
L	iberal Democrats	5	_	5	20.0	18.4	16.9	4	_	4	16.0	18.9	14.8	+1	-0.5	+2.1
	Green	2	_	2	8.0	7.7	8.6	3	_	3	12.0	10.2	11.1	-1	-2.6	-2.5
ι	JK Independence	2	_	2	8.0	10.0	8.4	_	_	_		0.1	2.1	+2	+9.9	+6.3

European election

			Liberal								
Round	Conservative	Labour	Democrat	UKIP	Green	Respect	BNP	CPA	Others	Elected party	Elected candidate
Votes	504,941	466,584	288,790	232,633	158,986	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	Conservative	Theresa Villiers
Elected round	1 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2	252,471	466,584	288,790	232,633	158,986	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	Labour	Claude Moraes
Elected round	2 1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
3	252,471	233,292	288,790	232,633	158,986	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	Liberal Democrats	Sarah Ludford
Elected round	3 1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
4	252,471	233,292	144,395	232,633	158,986	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	Conservative	John Bowis
Elected round	4 2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
5	168,314	233,292	144,395	232,633	158,986	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	Labour	Mary Honeyball
Elected round	5 2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
6	168,314	155,528	144,395	232,633	158,986	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	UKIP	Gerard Batten
Elected round	5 2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		
7	168,314	155,528	144,395	116,317	158,986	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	Conservative	Timothy Tannock
Elected round	7 3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		
8	126,235	155,528	144,395	116,317	158,986	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	Green	Jean Lambert
Elected round	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0		
9	126,235	155,528	144,395	116,317	79,493	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	Labour	Robert Evans
Elected round	9 3	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0		

The European election

The European election now is conducted on the basis of proportional representation within regions using a pure form of the d'Hondt formula. London is a single constituency in this election. Between 1999 and 2004 London lost one seat in the European Parliament and now returns nine Members. Further details of the voting system are to be found in the appendix (page 229), and nominated candidates and parties are listed on page 227.

In 2004, the Conservative and Labour Parties both gained three seats (each one fewer than in 1999), and the Liberal Democrats, UK Independence Party, and Green Party one each (UKIP's seat representing a gain over 1999). The operation of the d'Hondt formula was similar to that used in the Assembly list election but there was no lower limit on the percentage of the vote required to gain seats. Thus the Conservatives won the first seat with the highest overall vote, and their total was then divided by two (1 seat plus 1) for the second round. The first person on the Conservative list, Theresa Villiers, was thus elected. All other party votes were divided by one (0 seats plus 1). The Labour Party won the second round and so their vote was divided by two for the third round, and so on. The table opposite traces the whole process.

In terms of the simple vote, the Conservatives 'won' in 2004, whereas labour 'won' in 1999, but both parties lost vote share in 2004. The Liberal Democrats, UK Independence Party, Green Party and British National Party all increased their share of the vote. The substantially increased turnout meant that some of these parties were able to increase their actual number of votes by very large

margins. The BNP more than quadrupled their vote, UKIP increased theirs 3.75 fold, the Liberal Democrats' vote more than doubled, and the Green Party was close to doubling its vote. Of course, the Liberal Democrats were starting from a considerably higher base, particularly over the BNP, but the gains were substantial.

The BNP's rise came from a low base and in actual votes was lower than the increase for any other party entering candidates in both 1999 and 2004. This increase was close to the vote for the Christian People's Alliance which did not take part in 1999, and was substantially lower than the vote for the other main new player, Respect. The largest gainer of votes was the UK Independence Party which increased its vote by over 170,000, with the Liberal Democrats next with almost 156,000.

For 2004 we have the breakdown of votes by Assembly constituency. Conservative or Labour 'won' every constituency (seven each) but in five constituencies, one of those two parties did not emerge as 'runner-up'. In three the Liberal Democrats came second, Lambeth and Southwark, North East and South West, and UKIP came second in Bexley and Bromley. In the City and East London, Respect took second place to Labour, reflecting their good showing in the other 2004 elections in that area.

European Parliamentary election 2004, votes

									Party	
			Liberal							
Constituency	Conservative	Labour	Democrat	UKIP	Green	Respect	BNP	CPA	Others	Total
Barnet and Camden	44,015	34,370	21,507	13,080	13,805	5,738	3,315	2,188	1,234	139,252
Bexley and Bromley	57,206	25,675	23,308	32,167	8,665	1,611	9,409	3,551	1,856	163,448
Brent and Harrow	36,486	37,293	19,182	10,838	8,039	5,295	2,806	3,094	1,461	124,494
City and East London	22,538	38,571	16,630	16,307	8,807	23,530	10,089	4,594	2,275	143,341
Croydon and Sutton	44,086	27,215	23,981	22,293	8,297	3,072	5,610	4,401	1,648	140,603
Ealing and Hillingdon	38,942	40,156	19,608	19,167	9,835	5,864	6,772	3,062	1,953	145,359
Enfield and Haringey	29,945	34,766	17,552	13,000	11,877	6,509	4,341	2,836	1,206	122,032
Greenwich and Lewisham	20,091	35,187	16,410	14,922	12,183	3,708	6,074	3,597	1,528	113,700
Havering and Redbridge	42,037	28,062	13,478	25,393	7,054	5,361	9,229	3,033	1,699	135,346
Lambeth and Southwark	18,378	38,743	26,261	9,852	15,280	5,128	2,881	3,786	1,223	121,532
Merton and Wandsworth	39,790	34,035	17,812	13,013	12,421	4,658	3,590	2,874	1,096	129,289
North East	22,233	38,465	22,486	13,141	18,703	11,752	4,657	3,281	1,544	136,262
South West	43,978	29,776	34,119	18,343	13,147	4,157	4,740	2,821	1,407	152,488
West Central	45,216	24,270	16,456	11,117	10,873	4,792	2,639	1,920	1,020	118,303
London	504,941	466,584	288,790	232,633	158,986	91,175	76,152	45,038	21,150	1,885,449
London 1999	372,989	399,466	133,058	61,741	87,545	_	17,960	_	68,466	1,141,225

European Parliamentary election 2004, percentage votes

									Party	
			Liberal							
Constituency	Conservative	Labour	Democrat	UKIP	Green	Respect	BNP	CPA	Others	Total
Barnet and Camden	31.6	24.7	15.4	9.4	9.9	4.1	2.4	1.6	0.9	100.0
Bexley and Bromley	35.0	15.7	14.3	19.7	5.3	1.0	5.8	2.2	1.1	100.0
Brent and Harrow	29.3	30.0	15.4	8.7	6.5	4.3	2.3	2.5	1.2	100.0
City and East London	15.7	26.9	11.6	11.4	6.1	16.4	7.0	3.2	1.6	100.0
Croydon and Sutton	31.4	19.4	17.1	15.9	5.9	2.2	4.0	3.1	1.2	100.0
Ealing and Hillingdon	26.8	27.6	13.5	13.2	6.8	4.0	4.7	2.1	1.3	100.0
Enfield and Haringey	24.5	28.5	14.4	10.7	9.7	5.3	3.6	2.3	1.0	100.0
Greenwich and Lewisham	17.7	30.9	14.4	13.1	10.7	3.3	5.3	3.2	1.3	100.0
Havering and Redbridge	31.1	20.7	10.0	18.8	5.2	4.0	6.8	2.2	1.3	100.0
Lambeth and Southwark	15.1	31.9	21.6	8.1	12.6	4.2	2.4	3.1	1.0	100.0
Merton and Wandsworth	30.8	26.3	13.8	10.1	9.6	3.6	2.8	2.2	0.8	100.0
North East	16.3	28.2	16.5	9.6	13.7	8.6	3.4	2.4	1.1	100.0
South West	28.8	19.5	22.4	12.0	8.6	2.7	3.1	1.8	0.9	100.0
West Central	38.2	20.5	13.9	9.4	9.2	4.1	2.2	1.6	0.9	100.0
London	26.8	24.7	15.3	12.3	8.4	4.8	4.0	2.4	1.1	100.0
London 1999	32.7	35.0	11.7	5.4	7.7	_	1.6	_	6.0	100.0

Elected Members

The Mayor

Kenneth Robert Livingstone (Labour)

The Assembly

Constituency Election

Barnet and Camden - Brian John Coleman (Conservative Party)

Bexley and Bromley - Robert James Macgillivray Neill (Conservative Party)

Brent and Harrow - Robert John Blackman (Conservative Party)

City and East London - John Robert Biggs (Labour Party)

Croydon and Sutton - Andrew John Pelling (Conservative Party)

Ealing and Hillingdon - Richard Michael Barnes (Conservative Party)

Enfield and Haringey - Joanne McCartney (Labour Party)

Greenwich and Lewisham - Leonard Lloyd Duvall (Labour Party)

Havering and Redbridge - Jeremy Roger Evans (Conservative Party)

Lambeth and Southwark - Valerie Shawcross (Labour Party)

Merton and Wandsworth - Elizabeth Howlett (Conservative Party)

North East - Jennette Sarah Alfreda Arnold (Labour Party)

South West - Tony Arbour (Conservative Party)

West Central - Angela Lavinia Bray (Conservative Party)

List Election

Liberal Democrats:

Lynne Choona Featherstone Graham Norman Tope Sally Rachel Hamwee

Michael William Tuffrey

Dee Doocey

Jenny Jones

Darren Johnson

UK Independence Party:

Nicholas Damian Hockney Peter Kenneth Hulme Cross

Labour Party:

Green Party:

Felicia Nicolette Gavron Murad Oureshi

The European Parliament

London Region

Conservative Party:

Theresa Anne Villiers
John Crocker Bowis

Timothy Charles Ayrton Tannock

Labour Party:

Claude Ajit Moraes

Mary Hilda Rosamund Honeyball

Robert John Emlyn Evans

Liberal Democrats:

Sarah Ann Ludford

UK Independence Party:

Gerard Joseph Batten

Green Party:

Jean Denise Lambert



Turnout

							Election			European
			Mayoral		Assembly		Assembly			election
	Assembly	Ballot papers		Ballot papers	constituency	Ballot papers	list		Ballot papers	
	Electorate	in the count	% poll	in the count	% poll	in the count	% poll	Electorate	in the count	% poll
Barnet and Camden	371,248	142,491	38.4	142,571	38.4	142,571	38.4	360,067	140,634	39.1
Bexley and Bromley	397,075	164,715	41.5	164,694	41.5	164,694	41.5	395,114	164,421	41.6
Brent and Harrow	332,723	126,343	38.0	126,531	38.0	126,531	38.0	323,722	125,821	38.9
City and East London	437,309	146,081	33.4	146,196	33.4	146,196	33.4	427,872	145,334	34.0
Croydon and Sutton	376,175	141,969	37.7	142,274	37.8	142,274	37.8	372,497	141,642	38.0
Ealing and Hillingdon	397,453	148,183	37.3	148,230	37.3	148,230	37.3	386,823	146,865	38.0
Enfield and Haringey	343,563	124,135	36.1	124,191	36.1	124,191	36.1	334,228	123,163	36.8
Greenwich and Lewisham	329,450	115,628	35.1	115,637	35.1	115,637	35.1	324,621	114,707	35.3
Havering and Redbridge	350,651	136,584	39.0	136,618	39.0	136,618	39.0	349,113	136,372	39.1
Lambeth and Southwark	373,294	124,492	33.3	124,622	33.4	124,622	33.4	359,597	122,873	34.2
Merton and Wandsworth	340,792	131,371	38.5	131,372	38.5	131,372	38.5	332,504	130,428	39.2
North East	410,719	139,306	33.9	139,363	33.9	139,363	33.9	397,846	137,669	34.6
South West	384,653	154,747	40.2	154,979	40.3	154,979	40.3	376,884	153,649	40.8
West Central	352,687	124,515	35.3	124,424	35.3	124,424	35.3	320,445	119,776	37.4
London 2004	5,197,792	1,920,560	36.9	1,921,702	37.0	1,921,702	37.0	5,061,333	1,903,354	37.6
London - previous election	5,089,300	1,752,303	34.4	1,747,772	34.3	1,747,772	34.3	4,972,359	1,145,545	23.0

Note: the previous elections were held in 2000 for the Mayoral and Assembly and 1999 for the European.

Turnout

Almost 5.2 million people in London were registered to vote in the 2004 elections with around 130,000 fewer entitled to vote in the European election. The lower figure was due to the difference in qualification rules for the London and European elections.

In the Mayoral and Assembly elections, turnout was about 37 per cent, around 2.5 percentage points up on that in 2000.

The highest polls were returned in Bexley and Bromley with percentages around 41.5 and the lowest in Lambeth and Southwark and in the City and East London at around 33.4, although this figure was only about half a percentage point lower than that in the North East Constituency.

The European figure was slightly higher than those for the other elements of the 2004 elections, arising from the electorate differences noted above. The turnout of 37.6 per cent was also substantially higher than in the previous European election held in 1999. The rise, by more than 14.5 percentage points, meant that over three quarters of a million more people voted in 2004 than in 1999. It seems likely that the increase resulted, at least in part, from holding the election at the same time as the London Mayoral and Assembly elections.

Spoiled ballot papers

				Voti	ng for too	Writing a mark	by which				
		Uncertai	n or Blank	many o	candidates	voter could be	identified	Lack of Off	icial Mark _	Total spoi	led papers
Election	Year	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Mayoral - 1st choice	2004	24,534	1.28	31,717	1.65	228	0.01	395	0.02	56,874	2.96
	2000	12,526	0.71	24,921	1.42	694	0.04	_	_	38,141	2.18
Assembly constituency	2004	113,442	5.90	4,437	0.23	133	0.01	519	0.03	118,531	6.17
	2000	157,505	9.01	3,625	0.21	572	0.03	_	_	161,702	9.25
Assembly list	2004	33,309	1.73	14,575	0.76	133	0.01	519	0.03	48,536	2.53
	2000	73,757	4.22	13,816	0.79	569	0.03	_	_	88,142	5.04
European	2004	11,644	0.61	5,934	0.31	102	0.01	225	0.01	17,905	0.94
	1999	1,678	0.15	2,111	0.18	270	0.02	261	0.02	4,320	0.38

		Uncertai	in or Blank		ng for too candidates	No valid f	rst choice	Total spoi	iled papers
Election	Year	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Mayoral - 2nd choice	2004	271,117	14.12	1,122	0.06	56,874	2.96	329,113	17.14
	2000	292,160	16.67	1,008	0.06	38,141	2.18	331,309	18.91

Note: examples of spoiled ballot papers can be found in the Appendix.

Spoiled ballot papers

Perhaps surprisingly at first sight, rates of rejection of ballot papers varied substantially between the four elections in 2004.

Since the two parts of the Assembly elections used the same form, rejections were identical for reasons related to the ballot papers themselves (papers which had been marked in ways which could enable identification of the voter, and where the official mark was missing from the forms) rather than the votes recorded on them. Across all the elections, rejections for these reasons were few relative to the total number of votes cast, and also to the total numbers of spoiled papers. But there were still more than 1,500 ballot papers discarded in these ways.

Again perhaps surprisingly, by far the greatest numbers of rejections were in the Assembly constituency polls – the only election of the four carried out using the traditional first-past-the-post system. Well over 100,000 votes were rejected in this election (over 6 per cent of those attempting to vote), the vast majority on the grounds that the form was blank or the voters' intentions uncertain. It may be that some voters were confused by the constituency member list appearing alongside the Londonwide list with the latter showing party names prominently. There was a much lower number of rejections of this type in the Assembly list election (some 80,000 fewer). On the other hand, the constituency election saw fewer rejections on the grounds of 'voting for too many candidates' than any of the other elections, perhaps because voters were more familiar with this form of election.

The Mayor and Assembly list elections both resulted in around 50,000 spoiled papers, but distributed differently between the reasons. In the Assembly list poll, a little over two thirds of the rejections were for blank or uncertainly marked forms. As noted above, the numbers here were considerably lower than in the constituency member elections, and also in contrast to those polls, a fairly large number of people attempted to vote for too many parties or candidates (14,575 people – about three times the number in this category

in the constituency elections). Uniquely in the Mayoral election, over half the spoiled papers arose from voting for too many candidates.

The European election resulted in by far the lowest rates of spoiled ballots with less than 1 per cent. While sharing, in terms of voting, the same method with the Assembly list, rejections due to blank or uncertainly marked forms were a little over a third as high and errors of multiple voting less than half. This may again point to the layout of the Assembly form which may have confused some voters.

In individual constituencies, the City and East London recorded the highest rejection rates in all four polls. In this area, more than one in ten ballot papers were blank or uncertain in the constituency election, and one in twenty in the Mayoral poll. Bexley and Bromley constituency consistently achieved the lowest rates (although equalled by the South West in the Assembly list election).

Overall, the rates of rejection were substantially reduced from the 2000 London elections, when close to 1 in 10 papers in the Assembly constituency poll were spoiled. The exception was in the Mayoral election where almost 50 per cent more ballot papers were rejected in 2004. There was also an improvement in the number of rejections on the basis of marks on the ballot papers which could identify the voter. Rejection on the grounds of lack of the official mark appear not to have been recorded in 2000.

About one in seven voters chose not to make a second preference vote for Mayor or did not fully understand the system. This proportion was down substantally from 2000 but still represented over a quarter of a million voters.

Comparison of the rates in the European poll with those from the last previous such election in 1999, shows decreased rates of rejection based on problems with the ballot papers but the reverse in the other categories arising from blank forms or over-voting.

Postal ballot papers

							Assembly			
		Mayoral	(first choice)			Constituency	List			European
	Postal	% of all	%	Postal	% of all	%	%	Postal	% of all	%
	ballots	ballot papers	rejected	ballots	ballot papers	rejected	rejected	ballots	ballot papers	rejected
Barnet and Camden	26,062	18.3	1.5	26,058	18.3	2.3	1.2	25,604	18.2	1.0
Bexley and Bromley	21,055	12.8	1.2	21,078	12.8	1.4	0.9	20,999	12.8	0.5
Brent and Harrow	9,702	7.7	1.5	9,709	7.7	2.0	1.1	9,650	7.7	0.8
City and East London	17,748	12.1	2.2	17,742	12.1	4.0	1.5	17,537	12.1	0.9
Croydon and Sutton	19,420	13.7	1.2	19,508	13.7	1.6	0.9	19,309	13.6	0.6
Ealing and Hillingdon	15,171	10.2	1.4	15,128	10.2	2.0	1.6	14,948	10.2	0.8
Enfield and Haringey	11,833	9.5	1.6	11,813	9.5	2.3	1.3	11,734	9.5	0.6
Greenwich and Lewisham	18,146	15.7	1.4	18,125	15.7	2.5	1.2	17,828	15.5	0.7
Havering and Redbridge	16,754	12.3	1.5	16,610	12.2	1.8	1.1	16,596	12.2	0.6
Lambeth and Southwark	14,815	11.9	1.5	14,920	12.0	2.6	1.1	14,427	11.7	0.9
Merton and Wandsworth	16,621	12.7	1.4	16,531	12.6	1.7	1.1	16,515	12.7	0.8
North East	24,156	17.3	1.5	24,087	17.3	3.5	1.1	23,927	17.4	0.8
South West	26,524	17.1	1.7	26,578	17.1	2.2	1.2	26,278	17.1	0.7
West Central	21,634	17.4	1.5	21,423	17.2	2.4	1.2	20,541	17.1	1.1
London	259,641	13.5	1.5	259,310	13.5	2.3	1.2	255,893	13.4	0.8

Spoiled postal ballot papers

		Voting for	Writing mark by which	Lack of	A1 1: 1	T I
	Uncertain or Blank	too many v candidates	oter could be identified	official mark	No valid first choice	Total spoils
Mayoral (first choice)	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.0		1.5
Mayoral (second choice)	8.6	0.1			1.4	10.1
Constituency	2.2	0.1	0.0	0.0		2.3
List	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.0		1.2
European	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0		0.8

Note: These figures are percentages of all postal ballot papers included in the count.

Postal ballot papers

Around a quarter of a million voters used postal voting in the 2004 London elections. This represented about 13.5 per cent of all those voting.

There was considerable variation in the percentage across the Assembly constituencies, from 7.7 per cent in Brent and Harrow to 18.3 per cent in Barnet and Camden (despite the geographical proximity of these areas). Generally, between 1 and 2 per cent of postal ballot papers were rejected in the Mayoral election and, consistently, a lower percentage in the European election. However, there was a notable difference between the two Assembly elections. While the Assembly constituency election showed a higher rejection of postal ballot papers than the Mayoral election, the Assembly List rate was lower in every constituency bar one – Ealing and Hillingdon. This argues that postal voters found the list elections, Assembly and European, less confusing than the Mayoral in which they had two possible votes. And perhaps more surprisingly, the least successfully completed postal ballots were in the Assembly constituency election, the only one of the four held in the traditional first-past-the-post basis, but this was in

line with experience in the elections overall.

At borough level there was exceptionally high use of postal voting in Hackney and the City of London, in both cases postal ballots representing over 35 per cent of the papers included in the count. At the other end of the scale, postal voting was used by less than 7 per cent of voters in both Brent and Islington. Although there was necessarily more variation in rates of rejection at borough level, the worst figure was 5.3 per cent in Newham in the Assembly constituency election.

The rates of spoiled papers among postal votes were substantially below those overall: typically, they were about half those rates. The only exception was in the European election in which the rates were close to the overall rates for all voters, but as already noted the overall rates in this election were already relatively low.

Manually-entered ballot papers

							Assembly			
		Mayora	l (first choice)			Constituency	List			European
	Manually-	% of all	%	Manually-	% of all	%	%	Manually-	% of all	%
	ent'd ballots	ballot papers	rejected	ent'd ballots	ballot papers	rejected	rejected	ent'd ballots	ballot papers	rejected
Barnet and Camden	428	0.30	8.9	788	0.55	16.9	13.8	683	0.49	7.5
Bexley and Bromley	458	0.28	12.2	171	0.10	10.5	8.8	269	0.16	5.6
Brent and Harrow	433	0.34	7.6	162	0.13	13.0	7.4	299	0.24	6.4
City and East London	257	0.18	9.7	333	0.23	19.2	13.2	175	0.12	6.3
Croydon and Sutton	522	0.37	8.6	331	0.23	11.2	9.4	359	0.25	4.2
Ealing and Hillingdon	367	0.25	14.4	242	0.16	7.4	6.2	465	0.32	4.7
Enfield and Haringey	398	0.32	9.0	180	0.14	12.2	8.3	382	0.31	8.6
Greenwich and Lewisham	420	0.36	5.0	717	0.62	17.0	14.6	336	0.29	2.4
Havering and Redbridge	539	0.39	10.2	927	0.68	12.5	10.0	395	0.29	7.8
Lambeth and Southwark	996	0.80	10.4	369	0.30	17.1	10.3	499	0.41	3.6
Merton and Wandsworth	320	0.24	7.2	380	0.29	8.4	6.1	293	0.22	6.8
North East	971	0.70	7.9	670	0.48	10.9	6.3	568	0.41	4.6
South West	417	0.27	9.6	646	0.42	18.4	15.8	438	0.29	6.2
West Central	428	0.34	4.7	520	0.42	10.0	7.9	252	0.21	7.5
London	6,954	0.36	9.0	6,436	0.33	13.8	10.6	5,413	0.28	5.8

Spoiled manually-entered ballot papers

	Uncertain or	Voting for too many v	Writing mark by which oter could be	Lack of official	No valid	Total
	Blank	candidates	identified	mark	first choice	spoils
Mayoral (first choice)	1.8	1.5	0.0	5.7		9.0
Mayoral (second choice)	13.3	3.0			3.3	25.3
Constituency	5.6	0.2	0.0	8.1		13.8
List	1.8	0.8	0.0	8.1		10.6
European	1.3	0.3	0.0	4.2		5.8

Note: These figures are percentages of all manually-entered ballot papers included in the count.

Manually-entered ballot papers


Relatively few votes were entered manually, between 5,400 and 7,000 in the four elections – less than half of 1 per cent. In no constituency did that figure exceed 0.80 per cent. The conditions under which ballot papers were entered manually are discussed in the technical appendix (page 230).

Although a relatively small number, these votes were treated separately in the analysis in the same way as postal votes, being allocated to borough only, not to electoral ward. They were also extremely susceptible to rejection.

Around one in ten of these votes were rejected – slightly more (one in seven) in the Assembly constituency election, and slightly less (one in seventeen) in the European election. Within these figures, there was considerable variation. In the Assembly constituency election the rejection rate rose to close to one in five ballot papers in two constituencies, the City and East London and the South West; only in two constituencies was the percentage lower than 10 per cent – Merton and Wandsworth, and Ealing and Hillingdon. This 10 per cent figure was bettered in all constituencies in the European election and in ten (of the 14) in the Mayoral election and eight in the Assembly list election.

As might be expected there was slightly greater variation in the incidence of manually-entered votes at Borough level. However, in very few boroughs did the percentage of votes entered in this way exceed 1.0 per cent (Hackney in the Mayoral election and Lewisham in the Assembly). In several boroughs in the Assembly elections, the percentage rejected exceeded 20 per cent, the highest being Barking and Dagenham with 25.8 per cent in the constituency election.

The rates of rejection of manually-entered ballot papers were broadly similar to those found generally across the elections. The most notable exception was in those relating to a lack of official mark on the paper. All the rejections for this reason were of manually-entered papers. In most cases, this factor explained the excess in the rate of spoils for manually-entered ballot papers above the overall rate for all the elections. The incidence of blank or uncertain manually-entered papers in the European election was also higher than in the overall analysis.



BNP - percentage votes within wards

Mayoral election - first choice vote




European election



Assembly list election

Percentage vote

less than 10



British National Party (BNP)

The BNP took part in three of the elections in 2004 – they did not enter candidates in the Assembly constituency election.


The first three maps opposite, chart the party's success in the elections shown by the percentage of the vote gained in each ward in London, excluding postal and manually entered votes. As the legend indicates, the shading deepens at 10 per cent intervals, and thus it can be seen that the BNP achieved their greatest success in the Assembly list election. They gained more than 20 per cent of the vote through much of the area covered by the Dagenham Parliamentary Constituency.

The BNP's greatest support is to be found at the Eastern and Western extremities of London in Barking and Dagenham and Havering, and Hillingdon, and to a lesser extent in Bexley and Hounslow, with smaller groupings to the North and South in the Northeast of Enfield and Southeast of Croydon.

The maps throughout this section on party performance allow direct comparison of different parties' showing by using the same banding. This shows that although the BNP obtained a measure of success in a few isolated areas, they did not gain enough support consistently across London to turn votes into seats.


A more detailed picture of BNP support across the whole of London can be gained from the fourth map (on this page), which shows all the votes the party gained in their best poll, the Assembly list election. This confirms the evidence of the first three maps of a strong showing in the far East and West, but shows that the BNP also gained a sizeable part of their total vote from the whole of Southeast and South London, and to a lesser extent from Northeast and North London.

Percentage of BNP votes across London Assembly list election




Conservatives - percentage votes within wards

Mayoral election - first choice vote




Assembly constituency election




Page 36 The 2004 London Elections

Assembly list election



European election




Conservative Party

The darkest shading on the four maps opposite occurs where the Conservative Party received more than 50 per cent of the vote recorded in a ward. Comparison of the maps shows that the party consistently gained this level of support in three areas of London, Stanmore and Edgware in North West London, Chelsea, Belgravia and Knightsbridge in West Central London and Wimbledon in the South West. Their weakest areas were centred North of the river, on a ribbon of wards stretching from Leyton in the East in a Westerly direction to Tollington and Stroud Green. To the South a group of wards around Walworth and Camberwell saw their poorest showing.

The Assembly Constituency election gave the Conservatives their best showing across London as a whole, with particularly strong support in three belts, along the North West boundary, from the West End to Coombe Hill towards the South West and, in the South East, from the Crays to Coulsdon. The party's performance in the Mayoral election produced a more even distribution with both fewer high spots and fewer low.

The map on this page shows the distribution of Conservative voting in the Assembly Londonwide list election across the whole of London. However, this map reinforces the evidence that the Conservatives' support is drawn particularly from areas around the boundary of London and from large parts of West London generally. The exceptions in West London are to be found in and around Southall, Hayes and Hounslow, and Stonebridge, Harlesden, Hammersmith and North Kensington. The Eastern side of inner London reaching into the North and East of outer London proved to be relatively unproductive areas in terms of Conservative support.

Percentage of Conservative votes across London Assembly list election




Greens - percentage votes within wards

Mayoral election - first choice vote




Assembly constituency election




Page 38 The 2004 London Elections

Assembly list election



European election




The Green Party

The Green Party made very little impact in the Mayoral election, failing to achieve 10 per cent of the vote in any ward in London. However, in the other three elections their pattern of success was very similar, with 10 per cent support in much of the Northern and Southern parts of inner London and running along both sides of the river to the South Western boundary.

The party achieved better results in an area to the North of Camden, Islington and Hackney, running into the South of Haringey, and, not surprisingly in Brockley and Ladywell, the area of Lewisham where they have their one local councillor in London.


Turning to the distribution map on this page, the two wards which contributed more than 50 votes in 10,000 to the Green Party's total London vote were, again, Brockley in Lewisham and Herne Hill in Lambeth. Otherwise, support was fairly evenly distributed with emphasis on the areas already highlighted above.

Percentage of Green votes across London Assembly list election




Labour - percentage votes within wards

Mayoral election - first choice vote



Assembly constituency election




Page 40 The 2004 London Elections

Assembly list election



European election



Labour Party


The four maps opposite demonstrate a clear distinction between Labour's support in the Mayoral election and that in the remaining three polls. In the Mayoral election, Ken Livingstone's vote exceeded 10 per cent in every one of the 624 wards in London boroughs and in the City of London. Indeed, there were only five wards in which he failed to achieve 20 per cent of the vote. The areas which saw his greatest support were, broadly, a large area running up the Lea Valley from Hackney Wick to Tottenham and spreading West to Highgate, a slightly smaller area South of the Thames from central Lewisham to Brixton running up to the river at Deptford, and several outlying centres around Southall and Harlesden in the West, Bowes and Bounds Green in the North, Woolwich in the East, and Thornton Heath and Tooting in the South.

Support for Labour in the remaining three elections was a good deal more patchy. They consistently failed to gain 10 per cent of the vote in the South Eastern corner from Biggin Hill to Petts Wood. In the Assembly elections they had similar difficulties around Knightsbridge and High Street Kensington. The Assembly constituency member election saw a particularly low set of results in Richmond, Wimbledon and in the West of Sutton through to Coulsdon. This final area around Cheam and Coulsdon East was also weak in the European election.

However, some of the areas in which Ken Livingstone prospered in outer London, also proved successful for Labour in the Assembly and European elections. Southall, Harlesden and Peckham all gave Labour more than 50 per cent of the vote in each of these three elections.


The vote distribution map on this page shows a relatively even spread with a single high point in Southall. There were, on the other hand, considerable tracts of lower support in the North West, the South East, and particularly in the Conservative and Liberal Democrat strongholds in the West End boroughs and the South West.

Percentage of Labour votes across London Assembly list election




Liberal Democrats - percentage votes within wards

Mayoral election - first choice vote




Assembly constituency election



Page 42 The 2004 London Elections

Assembly list election



European election




Liberal Democrats

The four maps opposite show that the Liberal Democrats consistently had their best results in the South Western boroughs of Richmond, Kingston and Sutton, in Orpington and in the area of North Lambeth and Bermondsey where they have long held a parliamentary seat. Examination of the non-Mayoral polls shows an area centred on Muswell Hill where the party also performed relatively strongly. It is also evident that the Liberal Democrats did rather better in these three elections than in the Mayoral poll – perhaps because many people's expectation was that this election would be between the Labour and Conservative candidates. This view may be reinforced by the fact that the Liberal Democrats' Mayoral candidate, Simon Hughes, was the most popular second choice candidate by some distance.

The party's poorest showing came in the North East and in the Lea Valley. In the Mayoral election they did rather better in the areas around and beyond Romford, and rather worse in the Lea Valley and Newham. There is also an area around Southall and Hayes in the West where the Liberal Democrats consistently failed to reach 10 per cent of the votes.


The distribution shown on the map on this page, reinforces the conclusions drawn in the paragraphs above. In this case, the apparently very strong showing in Orpington and adjacent wards may, in part, be an effect of the large electorates in those wards, as a result contributing heavily to the total London Liberal Democrat vote. The relative lack of support in a continuous area from the Eastern and North Eastern boundaries to the Lea Valley is particularly noticeable.

Percentage of Liberal Democrat votes across London Assembly list election




Respect - percentage votes within wards


Mayoral election - first choice vote




Assembly constituency election



Assembly list election



European election




Page 44 The 2004 London Elections

Respect - Unity Coalition

It is very clear from the four maps opposite, that support for Respect was localised in the 2004 elections. The extremely strong vote evident in and around East Ham and between Whitechapel and Bromley-by-Bow is not repeated anywhere else in the capital. The area in which the party gained more than 10 per cent of the vote is broader in the non-Mayoral elections but remains largely confined to the North East quarter of inner London edging into the surrounding boroughs. Markhouse ward in Waltham Forest is the only ward outside the core area identified in the Mayoral election, where the vote exceeds 20 per cent in each of the other three elections, but the same feat is achieved in Forest ward in Waltham Forest and Leabridge in Hackney in one of the three polls.


The pattern of high concentration is confirmed by the distribution map on this page. This map does show that, in addition, there is a level of support throughout a considerable part of London, particularly North of the river. The largest vote outside the East London core, can be found in Southall in West London and Tooting in South.

Percentage of Respect votes across London Assembly list election




UKIP - percentage votes within wards

Mayoral election - first choice vote



Assembly constituency election




Page 46 The 2004 London Elections

Assembly list election



European election



United Kingdom Independence Party

The four maps opposite show that the UK Independence Party's (UKIP) performance led to the greatest differences of any party in patterns of support across the four elections.

In the Mayoral election, the party's support was strongest in the far East and West with a limited number of pockets elsewhere in outer London. The Assembly Londonwide list election saw UKIP with increased penetration in the North, West, South and South East as measured by the spread of wards where the party gained 10 to 19 per cent of the vote. In the East, the spread increased very little, but UKIP gained more than 20 per cent of the vote in almost half of the wards in the area.


Moving on to the Assembly constituency election, UKIP's spread of support changed very little beyond slight increases from both East and West towards the centre. However, the core areas identified in the Mayoral election now contained extensive areas where the party's vote exceeded 20 per cent, and in much of the Dagenham parliamentary constituency the vote topped 30 per cent.

Finally, the European election saw increased support throughout the whole of outer London, and through inner London along the line of the Thames. Most of the core area from the Mayoral election was now seeing figures in excess of 20 per cent of the vote although, in contrast to the constituency election, UKIP failed to gain 30 per cent of the vote in any London ward.

The distribution map on this page shows the very heavy concentration of UKIP's vote towards the extremities of London and particularly in the East.

The four Havering wards around Upminster provided a particularly large part of

Percentage of UKIP votes across London Assembly list election




Note: the distribution will be biassed towards wards with larger electorates and also visually biassed towards larger wards in terms of area.


the party's support. This also illustrates the point made before about the part played by large wards in maps of this kind. Those four wards in Havering are large in terms of electorate with more than 9,000 registered electors compared to the 6 or 7,000 which might be expected in inner London wards, or even in neighbouring Barking and Dagenham. One of these wards, Upminster, is also very large in area, leading to an even more dominant effect on the map. This does not alter the fact that UKIP drew their support largely, but not exclusively, from outer London, with very strong areas on the Eastern fringes, and to a lesser extent, to the North, West and South. Support was rather less marked in the North West and South West.

Christian People's Alliance

Percentage votes within wards - all 4 elections show the same distribution



Percentage of CPA votes across London Assembly list election



Note: the distribution will be biassed towards wards with larger electorates and also visually biassed towards larger wards in terms of area.

The Christian People's Alliance gained more than 10 per cent of the vote in only one ward in the whole of London, and this pattern was true of all four 2004 elections. That ward was Canning Town South in Newham.

Not surprisingly, that same ward is clearly visible in the distribution map shown below to the left. Other than in this ward, the party's support was fairly evenly spread across the capital, rather less in North West inner and South West London and rather more towards the South and East.


Residents

Residents Associations only took part in the Assembly constituency election, and in that, only in the Havering and Redbridge Constituency, an area where they have a long history of activity in local politics.


The upper map to the right shows that they had a substantial presence in Upminster and Cranham wards and lesser success in Pettits ward, all in Havering.

The second map shows that the associations gained most of their support from Havering with similarly strong support in Aldborough and Mayfield wards in Redbridge. This map would be misleading if compared with similar maps on previous pages of this report, because other parties drew their support from the whole of London.


Residents - percentage votes within wards Assembly constituency election



Percentage of Residents votes across London Assembly constituency election



The parliamentary general election 2005



Parliamentary general election, 2005

				Elected	Percentage votes		votes/candidate	
		-		Change		Change		Change
Party Ca	ndidates	Votes	2005	2001-05	2005	2001-05	2005	2001-05
British National Party	12	19,024	_	_	0.65	+0.18	1,585	+646
Christian Peoples Alliance	6	2,254	_	_	0.08	+0.06	376	-103
Conservative	74	931,966	21	+8	31.93	+1.43	12,594	+1,219
Green	53	78,595	_	_	2.69	+1.03	1,483	+132
Labour	74	1,136,587	44	-11	38.94	-8.41	15,359	-2,301
Liberal Democrats	74	638,333	8	+2	21.87	+4.37	8,626	+2,101
Residents' Association of London	n 2	1,850	_	_	0.06	+0.06	925	+925
Respect-Unity Coalition	7	40,735	1	+1	1.40	+1.40	5,819	+5,819
UK Independence Party	58	42,956	_	_	1.47	+0.51	741	+166
Veritas	10	3,580	_	_	0.12	+0.12	358	+358
Others	87	23,210	_	_	0.80	-0.77	267	-237
Total	457	2,919,090	74		100.00		6,388	-479

		Electorate		% poll
_		Change		Change
	2005	2001-05	2005	2001-05
	5,051,156	+55,167	57.79	+2.54

Total party swing Labour to Conservative 4.9%
Two party swing Labour to Conservative 5.9%
Two party swing Labour to Liberal Democrats 9.0%
Two party swing Conservatives to Liberal Democrats 4.2%

Comparison between seats won in the parliamentary elections 2001 and 2005, and the 2004 London elections

	Liberal								
Election	Conservative	Labour	Democrat	Respect	UKIP				
Seats won									
Parliamentary 2005	21	44	8	1	0				
Parliamentary 2001	13	55	6	0	0				
2004 elections - notional	seats won, votes re	worked to par	liamentary const	ituencies					
Mayoral	22	51	1	0	0				
Assembly constituency	36	32	5	0	1				
Assembly list	36	34	3	1	0				
European	33	38	2	1	0				

The 2005 parliamentary general election

Labour remained the largest party with 44 of the 74 parliamentary seats after the 2005 election in London. However, the party lost one in five of the 55 seats it had held after the 2001 election.

Eight of those seats had been lost to the Conservatives who added to the two East London seats of Romford and Upminster they had regained in 2001 following their disastrous showing in 1997. The swings to achieve the gains varied from 2.7 per cent to 8.7, with two of the smaller swings resulting in the smallest majorities: in Croydon Central (4.4 per cent, majority 75) and Hornchurch (2.7 per cent, 480). All were areas which had been held by the Conservatives in the past, albeit with different constituency boundaries and all would have been expected to fall to the Conservatives with a moderate swing against the government. They might also have expected to gain Enfield North but Labour held on with relatively little change from the 2001 result.


The Liberal Democrats added Brent East and Hornsey and Wood Green to their portfolio, again at the expense of Labour. The first of these they had first taken at a by-election in September 2003 with a total party swing of 29 per cent from Labour. This position was consolidated in the 2005 election this time with the swing registering 30 per cent from 2001. Hornsey and Wood Green was taken with a swing of just 14 per cent, but this came on the back of a swing of similar proportions (13 per cent) between 1997 and 2001.


Perhaps the most significant result was in Bethnal Green and Bow, where Respect ousted the sitting Labour MP. All three of the major parties lost votes (both in absolute numbers and in share) despite an increase of more than 5 per cent in turnout. The fall in Labour's share was twice the London average, and the Conservatives' and Liberal Democrats' falls were in contrast to increases across London as a whole.


Overall, the Liberal Democrats increased their share of the vote the most. The Conservatives and the Greens both improved by over 1 per cent and UKIP by about 0.5 per cent. Respect was a new player, and all the remaining minor parties listed opposite made small gains. The rest of the candidates, shown as 'Others' suffered a reduced share. This group consisted of 87 candidates in 2005 and gained an average 267 votes. The comparable group in 2001 consisted of 85 candidates who gained an average 504 votes.


With the increase in electorate and turnout, some 160,000 more people voted in 2005 than in 2001. Only six constituencies bucked this trend, Croydon North, Ealing Southall, East Ham, Ilford South, Southwark North and Bermondsey, and Tottenham, all, except the Southwark constituency, in a ring around inner London.

The lower table opposite summarises the seats won in the 2001 and 2005 elections and compares these with the notional results of the 2004 elections based on first-past-the-post and reworked to parliamentary constituency boundaries. The distinctive result of the Mayoral election among the 2004 results is plain, as is the fact that none of the 2004 outcomes is particularly close to the parliamentary results. None of the 2004 outcomes give the Conservatives as few seats as they gained in either 2001 or 2005. The opposite was true of the Liberal Democrats performances in 2001 and 2005 when they won more seats than in any of the notional results from 2004. The Mayoral and European elections both gave Labour an absolute superiority in seats in 2004 but the European result gave Labour a much smaller share of the 'seats' than the parliamentary elections. Further analysis follows in the next pages, using maps based on these results.


















already made about the differences between the elections but it is clear that none of them accurately reflect or predict voting in either the 2001 or 2005 parliamentary election. It is interesting, however, that two of the maps show Liberal Democrat support in Hornsey and Wood Green, and two show Respect's strength in Bethnal Green and Bow, in these cases presaging the events of May 2005. On the other hand, UKIP's showing in Dagenham was not predictive of a strong performance at the parliamentary election and none of the 2004 maps hint at the Liberal Democrats' success in Brent East.

The maps on the facing page and on this page to the left, show the differences

between the parliamentary elections in 2001 and 2005 and the London elections of 2004 pictorially. The Conservative gains around the Northern, Southern and Eastern boundaries are clear. The introduction of Respect and

Results of the London borough general elections, 4 May 2006




London Borough Elections

Greater London - totals

Turnout 37.9%; 3.6% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006	2	002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party Votes % S	eats
Conservative	2,101,760	37.8	785	Conservative 1,668,372 36.3	653
Labour	1,672,456	30.1	685	Labour 1,642,079 35.7	857
Liberal Democrat	1,165,854	21.0	317	Liberal Democrat 948,628 20.6	309
Green	255,905	4.6	12	Green 144,603 3.1	1
Respect - The Unity Coalition	97,748	1.8	15	Residents' Association 64,844 1.4	22
Residents' Association	60,407	1.1	13	Independent 27,084 0.6	
Independent	56,367	1.0		Labour and Cooperative 15,765 0.3	9
UK Independence Party	29,538	0.5		Socialist Alliance 14,399 0.3	
British National Party	29,375	0.5	13	UK Independence Party 9,921 0.2	
Christian Peoples Alliance	16,782	0.3	3	Christian Peoples Alliance 9,054 0.2	1
Independent Resident	13,151	0.2	6	Independent Working Class Ass'n 7,549 0.2	
Save Chase Farm	12,470	0.2	2	Community (LB Hounslow) 7,284 0.2	3
Independent Alliance	11,572	0.2	2	British National Party 6,975 0.2	
Community (LB Hounslow)	8,927	0.2	6	Independent Resident 6,532 0.1	3
Third Way	5,080	0.1		A future for Brentford FC 3,993 0.1	1
Socialist Alternative	3,344	0.1	2	People's Independent Party 3,432 0.1	
Others	14,535	0.3		Others 16,424 0.4	2
Total	5,555,271	100.0	1,861	Total 4,596,938 100.0 1,	.861



The 2006 London borough general elections

The London Borough General Elections of May 2006 broke the mould in several ways.

Following 12 years of continuously reducing turnout in London from the peak in 1990, the poll was higher; but remained the fifth lowest of the 11 similar elections held in the capital since the inception of the London boroughs in 1965. In terms of elected councillors, the Conservatives had their best result since 1982, and the Liberal Democrats, their second best ever.

Labour, again in terms of elected councillors, had their worst result except for the 1968 election, when the Conservatives won over 75 per cent of seats in London. The minor parties had their best result ever, with 74 seats, more than double their total in 2002 and more than their previous best years in 1964 and 1968, when residents' and ratepayers' associations were very active in several boroughs.

However, a striking element of the 2006 results was the performance achieved by the Greens, the British National and Respect Parties. The first of these had won just two seats in 1998 and one in 2002 in previous London local general elections. In 2006 the Greens took 12 seats on the back of an improved share of the vote across London. They gained 4.6 per cent of the vote, by far the biggest share achieved by any minor party in the last 20 years.

The British National Party first appeared in a local general election in London in 1990. The 2006 elections saw the BNP take seats at a general election for the first time. Their 13 seats, one more than the Greens, was achieved with only about a tenth of the Greens' vote, showing a much more focused approach in terms of where they fielded candidates. They may have gained even more seats had they put up more candidates in the wards where they had successes.

The Respect Party was taking part in a borough general election for the first time. From this zero base, they won 15 seats. Like the BNP, their approach was geographically focused, but their vote was about three times that of the BNP.

Both the BNP's and Respect's success was focused in East London with the

BNP winning seats in Havering (1), Redbridge (1) and Barking and Dagenham (11), and Respect in Newham (3) and Tower Hamlets (12). In contrast, the Greens' successes were spread across six boroughs, only gaining more than one seat in Camden (2) and Lewisham (6).

In 2006 29 seats were won by Residents Associations and other candidates campaigning on specific local issues. This was exactly the same number as in 2002.

Comparing the map opposite with those on page 2 shows that the pattern of voting in the 2006 borough elections followed that of the 2004 elections reasonably closely.

The spread of the Liberal Democrat vote was perhaps more pronounced in 2006, particularly in North East London and in the West of the borough of Haringey in North London. The stronger performances of Respect and the BNP in 2006 are not reflected in the map because all of the BNP seats and nine of Respect's came in split wards. The UK Independence Party which featured quite strongly in 2004 was not in evidence at all in 2006 with just half of one per cent of the London vote.

Borough by borough commentary

Summary results for individual London boroughs are found from page 187.

The most significant factor in the result in **Barking and Dagenham** was the success of the British National Party (BNP). Despite their winning more than a fifth of the available seats, due to the party not fielding a full slate it was unable to take all three seats in any ward. This masks the fact that the BNP was successful with 11 of their 13 candidates, and that ten of the 11 were returned with the highest votes in their ward. In contrast the Liberal Democrats who had been in second place in 2002, fell right away to finish last with only a little more than 1 per cent of the vote. In fact, the party put up only four candidates but three were in the ward which they won in 2002.

The Conservatives consolidated their position in **Barnet** having lost control briefly in 1998. Labour lost share to all other parties.

Except for the previously mentioned and exceptional election of 1968, the Conservatives had their best result in **Bexley** in 2006 in terms of seats won, and Labour their worst. The Liberal Democrats who had achieved around a quarter of the vote in three past elections saw their support reduced to less than 10 per cent.

Brent returned a hung council after eight years of Labour control. However, in this borough it was Liberal Democrat success which affected both Labour and Conservatives. They increased their vote by over 12 percentage points, resulting in an additional 18 seats. The changes came mostly in the South East of the borough where the Liberal Democrats picked up seats from both Labour and Conservatives, reflecting for the first time at local level, the party's recent success in Parliamentary elections in that area. It is noteworthy that the party holding the largest number of seats gained these from the third largest count of votes.

Eight years after losing control of **Bromley** for the only time, the Conservatives gained one of their biggest wins. The gains made by the Liberal Democrats in terms of seats during the 1990s were reversed, and the Labour Party, with four seats, had its worst result in the borough ever, including the 1968 election when they won five.

Labour lost **Camden** for the first time since 1968. With a relatively small loss of share of the vote (around 5 percentage points) but retaining the largest share, Labour lost almost half of their seats. The Liberal Democrats are now the largest party with the Conservatives increasing their representation and the Greens taking their first seats at a local general election in Camden as well.

Over the 40 years since the London boroughs were established, **Croydon** has generally had Conservative administrations. However that did not hold true between 1994 and 2006, when the borough had a Labour council. The election of 2006 marked Croydon's return to previous patterns. A sharp drop of almost 20 percentage points in Labour's vote, led to the loss of five seats which was sufficient for the change in political control.

The first eight London borough elections resulted in four wins each for the

Conservatives and Labour in **Ealing**. The next three elections, from 1994 to 2002, were all won by Labour. Thus, 2006 saw the Conservative's first success for 16 years. Despite increasing their share of the vote, the Liberal Democrats lost a seat overall. The theory espoused by some media pundits, that Ealing is a bell-wether borough for Parliamentary general election results appears to have some merit. There have been 11 such Parliamentary elections since 1965, and in only one, that of 1987, was the winner not the same as the controlling party in Ealing at the time.

Enfield is another borough which over time has generally been Conservative controlled. In 2006, the Conservatives retained control but, against the trend elsewhere, with reduced representation and with a swing to Labour. This was, in part, due to the intervention of a group of residents pursuing a single issue. This group gained more than 6 per cent of the vote with nine candidates and won two seats in otherwise Conservative wards.

Labour have generally (except during 1968–71) controlled **Greenwich**. With that exception, 2006 saw them achieve their lowest number of seats although the smaller council (51 seats as against 60 or 62 before 2002) is a factor in this.

Hackney is another borough traditionally controlled by Labour. The party failed to win a majority in 1968 and 1998. In the second of these elections the Liberal Democrats gained their best ever result with 17 seats and the Conservatives had their best return (12 seats) other than in 1968. In 2006, the Greens won a seat (their first other than two in 1998). Hackney is one of three London boroughs which have a directly elected mayor. The Labour mayor was returned with 47 per cent of the first choice votes and 73 per cent after the second round.

Until now, 1968 and 1978 were the only years in which Labour failed to gain an overall majority in **Hammersmith and Fulham**. The results of 1968 can be seen as abnormal, and with this exception, 2006 was the first time that the Conservatives achieved a majority. The size of the majority (20 seats) is therefore notable.

Labour have only failed to win **Haringey** on one occasion – in 1968. The Conservatives failed to win a seat for the first time in 2002 and the party's

reduced share of the vote ensured no Conservative seats at this election in 2006. The Liberal Democrats have steadily increased their share of the vote since 1990 to the point where they are running a close second to Labour with 27 of the 57 seats. The geographical split in representation is very clear from the map.

Harrow is another borough where the Conservatives have generally, but not always, been the largest party. The Conservatives took over from Labour which had been the largest party in both 1998 and 2002. The Liberal Democrats' strong showing in terms of seats through the 1980s and 1990s has almost vanished, although their vote recovered from the local disaster of 2002 when many of their nominations were rejected prior to the election.

Havering has long been the stronghold of residents' members in London. Their total of 16 seats in 2006 was lower than their showing in any election since 1990 but higher than in any election prior to that. The Conservatives have their first majority administration since 1986. No single party had achieved a majority in the interim. Havering was one of the East London boroughs which returned a BNP councillor, one of only two BNP candidates who stood in the borough.

In only two elections between 1986 and 2002 were there clear winners in **Hillingdon**, and in one of these (1990) the Conservatives and Labour were left on 35 and 34 respectively. The 2006 election resulted in a clear victor – the Conservatives. Despite increasing their raw vote total and share, the Liberal Democrats emerged with five seats fewer than in 2002.

With the single exception of 1968-71, **Hounslow** has consistently returned a Labour council. In the 2006 election, Labour remained the largest party but short of a majority by some distance. The Conservatives picked up eight additional seats while increasing their share of the vote by less than one percentage point. Groups of residents have achieved more success in recent years with eight seats falling to candidates not aligned to major parties in 2006.

In **Islington**, the gradual increase in the number of Liberal Democrats seats over the past 16 years has been checked. In 1998 the council was equally divided between the party and Labour but in 2002, the party achieved a

majority for the first time. In 2006, they remained the largest party but the Greens' single seat prevented another equal share with Labour. The Labour Party gained the largest proportion of raw votes in Islington for the first time since 1994.

It can be argued that **Kensington and Chelsea** has been the most constant of the London boroughs. It was more than twenty years since a ward changed politically other than through boundary alterations. The loss of St Charles ward by Labour in 2006 is thus an event of some note although it was the most vulnerable (in terms of swing required) of Labour's wards in the borough.

The Liberal Democrats held an absolute majority in **Kingston upon Thames** for the third time in the last four elections. This was despite the party's vote being reduced substantially in 2006 to finish below that of the Conservatives.

Lambeth was one of the few London boroughs which saw little or no reduction in the Labour vote. The Liberal Democrats' vote in 2006 fell away to close to its 1998 level and their presence on the council was reduced accordingly, but the other parties failed to capitalise on this and despite their standstill in terms of vote share, Labour were the beneficiaries. The Greens gained one of their 12 seats in Lambeth.

Lewisham returned their directly-elected Labour mayor for a second term. He gained 38 per cent of the first vote with the Liberal Democrat candidate second, and 57 per cent of the second round vote. In the council election, Labour failed to gain an overall majority for the first time since 1968. Their share dropped by more than 12 per cent from the 2002 figure and their seat count was down by 19. The Liberal Democrats had their best result by a large margin with 17 seats against their previous best of four. The Greens took six seats, improving on their 2002 showing of one, and their best result in London, and the Socialist Alternative gained two seats, doubling their 2002 tally.

Merton returned a hung council for the first time since 1964. The Conservatives were the largest party for the first time since 1986 when they held a narrow one seat overall majority. Residents have held seats on Merton Council for much of the borough's existence. Merton is another borough which

shows a marked geographical split in political support.

Not since 1982-86 have there been as many members in opposition to Labour on **Newham** Council, and one has to go back a further eight years to find an opposition of greater than six. Even so, Labour's share of the raw vote fell by almost 20 percentage points from 2002, and the Respect Party, in their first outing in the borough general elections, took more than a quarter of the vote to return three councillors having contested all 60 seats. The Christian People's Alliance held their seat from 2002 and added the remaining two seats from the same ward. These three seats remained the party's only successes in London. Newham was one of the three London boroughs which elected their mayor directly. Newham's Labour mayor was returned with 48 per cent of the first votes, with the Respect candidate second, and 68 per cent at the second stage.

Redbridge had experienced a Labour (minority) administration for the first time in 1994 and again in 1998. Otherwise, the Conservatives have run the borough throughout its existence, winning again in 2006. The BNP won one of their seats in Hainault ward, having put up three candidates across the borough.

The Liberal Democrats had formed the administration in **Richmond upon Thames** from 1986 until 2002 without a break. The Conservatives won the borough back at the 2002 election, but 2006 saw the Liberal Democrats returned to power. Labour have won seats in only five of the 12 London borough general elections, and no other party or grouping has won a seat since the first ever election of 1964.

Although the Liberal Democrats have done well in terms of seats in **Southwark** since 1986 (and since 1982 in terms of votes when they gained almost a quarter of the votes with no success in seats), it was only in 2002 that they exceeded Labour's total of seats and votes. The 2006 results saw the party resuming second place in votes but holding the same number of seats as Labour. Southwark was one of the few London boroughs where Labour's vote increased over 2002. For only the second election ever, a seat fell to someone outside the three major parties, with the Greens taking a seat in South Camberwell.

The Liberal Democrats have held power in **Sutton** since 1986. 2006 was the

party's worst result in seats since 1990 but they retained their majority. The Conservatives increased their share of the vote by more than six percentage points which gave them an additional 14 seats. The Labour Party failed to gain a seat for the first time.


The story of the 2006 election in **Tower Hamlets** centres on the Respect Party. They gained 12 seats, by some distance their best performance in London, contesting 48 of the 51 seats in the borough. The Labour Party lost more than a quarter of their share of the vote and came close to losing their majority in the council for the first time since the Liberal Democrats controlled the borough for eight years from 1986 to 1994. That party's support has fallen away with their worst result (in terms of seats) in the borough since 1974. The Conservatives won their first ever seats in Tower Hamlets. Even in 1968, they failed to win a single seat. The major demographic shifts which have taken place recently in Docklands may be a factor in this change.

The Liberal Democrats strengthened their position in **Waltham Forest** at the expense of both the other major parties. The Greens and Respect both made advances but not to the extent of winning seats.

The Conservatives had their best ever result in terms of seats won in **Wandsworth**. It is now almost 30 years since Labour held this borough which until then had been widely regarded as part of their natural heartland. This is the first election in which they failed won fewer than ten seats. With the single exception of one in 1982, no other party has won any seat in the borough.

The Conservatives and Labour maintained the status quo in seats in the **City of Westminster**. With the Conservatives retaining an almost identical share of the raw vote, Labour lost around five percentage points with the Liberal Democrats benefiting, but not sufficiently to gain seats.





Mayoral election

London total

First choice votes, 2004

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	685,548	36.8
Norris, Steven J.	CON	542,423	29.1
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	284,647	15.3
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	115,666	6.2
German, Lindsey A.	R	61,731	3.3
_			
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	58,407	3.1
Johnson, Darren	GRE	57,332	3.1
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	41,698	2.2
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	9,542	0.5
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	6,692	0.4
Total		1,863,686	100.0


First choice votes, 2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	667,877	39.0
Norris, Steven J.	CON	464,434	27.1
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	223,884	13.1
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	203,452	11.9
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	42,060	2.5
_			
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	38,121	2.2
Newland, Michael	BNP	33,569	2.0
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	16,324	1.0
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	9,956	0.6
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	9,015	0.5
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	5,470	0.3
Total		1,714,162	100.0

Barnet and Camden Constituency

First choice votes, 2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local au	thority area		Local au	ıthority area
Candidate	Party	Barnet — and Camden	Barnet	Camden	Barnet and Camden	Barnet	Camden
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	52,360	29,620	22,740	37.7	33.2	45.8
Norris, Steven J.	CON	46,353	34,730	11,623	33.4	38.9	23.4
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	20,086	12,513	7,573	14.5	14.0	15.2
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	5,904	4,322	1,582	4.2	4.8	3.2
Johnson, Darren	GRE	4,892	2,547	2,345	3.5	2.9	4.7
German, Lindsey A.	R	3,604	1,601	2,003	2.6	1.8	4.0
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	2,620	1,792	828	1.9	2.0	1.7
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,098	1,531	567	1.5	1.7	1.1
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	612	344	268	0.4	0.4	0.5
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	410	271	139	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total		138,939	89,271	49,668	100.0	100.0	100.0



First choice votes, 2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	51,649	38.8
Norris, Steven J.	CON	36,826	27.7
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	17,096	12.9
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	16,978	12.8
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	3,564	2.7
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,336	1.8
Newland, Michael	BNP	1,451	1.1
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	1,055	0.8
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	972	0.7
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	708	0.5
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	397	0.3
Total		133,032	100.0


Bexley and Bromley Constituency

First choice votes, 2004

				Votes			Percentages
	-		Local au	ithority area		Local au	ıthority area
Candidate	Party	Bexley and Bromley	Bexley	Bromley	Bexley and Bromley	Bexley	Bromley
Norris, Steven J.	CON	59,521	23,027	36,494	37.0	35.2	38.2
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	40,983	17,182	23,801	25.5	26.2	24.9
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	28,232	9,728	18,504	17.5	14.9	19.4
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	16,990	8,484	8,506	10.6	13.0	8.9
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	6,859	3,827	3,032	4.3	5.8	3.2
Johnson, Darren	GRE	3,262	1,226	2,036	2.0	1.9	2.1
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	3,200	1,226	1,974	2.0	1.9	2.1
German, Lindsey A.	R	965	366	599	0.6	0.6	0.6
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	611	299	312	0.4	0.5	0.3
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	327	139	188	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total		160,950	65,504	95,446	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Norris, Steven J.	CON	57,193	39.3
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	41,679	28.7
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	20,610	14.2
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	11,975	8.2
Newland, Michael	BNP	3,785	2.6
_			
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	3,678	2.5
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	2,673	1.8
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	2,149	1.5
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	746	0.5
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	617	0.4
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	286	0.2
Total		145,391	100.0




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Brent and Harrow Constituency

First choice votes, 2004

				Votes		F	Percentages
	_		Local au	thority area		Local au	thority area
Candidate	Party	Brent and Harrow	Brent	Harrow	Brent and Harrow	Brent	Harrow
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	48,442	26,204	22,238	39.7	43.7	35.8
Norris, Steven J.	CON	36,947	15,498	21,449	30.3	25.9	34.5
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	18,188	9,528	8,660	14.9	15.9	13.9
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	5,021	1,758	3,263	4.1	2.9	5.3
German, Lindsey A.	R	3,299	2,125	1,174	2.7	3.5	1.9
Johnson, Darren	GRE	3,256	1,764	1,492	2.7	2.9	2.4
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	3,222	1,538	1,684	2.6	2.6	2.7
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	2,176	776	1,400	1.8	1.3	2.3
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	836	394	442	0.7	0.7	0.7
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	622	321	301	0.5	0.5	0.5
Total		122,009	59,906	62,103	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	47,044	43.7
Norris, Steven J.	CON	25,293	23.5
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	15,279	14.2
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	10,797	10.0
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,841	2.6
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	1,809	1.7
Newland, Michael	BNP	1,362	1.3
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	1,200	1.1
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	905	0.8
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	788	0.7
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	424	0.4
Total		107,742	100.0

City and East London Constituency


First choice votes, 2004

						Votes					Percentages
					Local a	uthority area				Local a	uthority area
Candidate	Party	City and East London	Barking and Dagenham	City of London	Newham	Tower Hamlets	City and East London	Barking and Dagenham	City of London	Newham	Tower Hamlets
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	51,842	11,918	863	22,832	16,229	37.4	34.5	37.7	43.3	33.0
Norris, Steven J.	CON	25,503	6,923	726	7,697	10,157	18.4	20.0	31.7	14.6	20.7
German, Lindsey A.	R	17,585	823	48	8,652	8,062	12.7	2.4	2.1	16.4	16.4
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	16,426	3,381	415	4,657	7,973	11.8	9.8	18.1	8.8	16.2
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	10,086	4,946	72	2,705	2,363	7.3	14.3	3.1	5.1	4.8
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	7,766	4,260	36	1,825	1,645	5.6	12.3	1.6	3.5	3.3
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	4,154	1,183	33	2,237	701	3.0	3.4	1.4	4.2	1.4
Johnson, Darren	GRE	3,934	779	82	1,423	1,650	2.8	2.3	3.6	2.7	3.4
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	912	303	12	322	275	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.6
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	552	77	5	356	114	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.2
Total		138,760	34,593	2,292	52,706	49,169	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	46,236	40.6
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	24,382	21.4
Norris, Steven J.	CON	19,026	16.7
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	9,919	8.7
Newland, Michael	BNP	5,081	4.5
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	3,009	2.6
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	2,383	2.1
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	1,264	1.1
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	1,123	1.0
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	816	0.7
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	783	0.7
Total		114,022	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Page 70 The 2004 London Elections


GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Croydon and Sutton Constituency

First choice votes, 2004

				Votes		ı	Percentages
	-		Local au	thority area		Local au	thority area
Candidate	Party	Croydon — and Sutton	Croydon	Sutton	Croydon and Sutton	Croydon	Sutton
Norris, Steven J.	CON	46,017	29,504	16,513	33.3	33.4	33.0
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	42,720	30,019	12,701	30.9	34.0	25.4
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	24,145	12,440	11,705	17.5	14.1	23.4
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	10,633	6,491	4,142	7.7	7.4	8.3
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	4,369	2,539	1,830	3.2	2.9	3.7
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	4,197	2,723	1,474	3.0	3.1	2.9
Johnson, Darren	GRE	3,195	2,224	971	2.3	2.5	1.9
German, Lindsey A.	R	1,937	1,559	378	1.4	1.8	0.8
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	545	411	134	0.4	0.5	0.3
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	536	381	155	0.4	0.4	0.3
Total		138,294	88,291	50,003	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	41,818	32.9
Norris, Steven J.	CON	41,794	32.9
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	18,331	14.4
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	12,399	9.8
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	4,925	3.9
Newland, Michael	BNP	2,389	1.9
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	2,201	1.7
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	1,578	1.2
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	716	0.6
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	647	0.5
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	309	0.2
Total		127,107	100.0

Ealing and Hillingdon Constituency


First choice votes, 2004

				Votes			Percentages
	-		Local a	uthority area		Local a	uthority area
Candidate	Party	Ealing and Hillingdon	Ealing	Hillingdon	Ealing and Hillingdon	Ealing	Hillingdon
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	52,578	32,876	19,702	36.7	41.4	30.8
Norris, Steven J.	CON	42,728	20,568	22,160	29.8	25.9	34.7
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	20,009	11,793	8,216	14.0	14.8	12.8
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	9,685	3,854	5,831	6.8	4.9	9.1
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	5,543	1,743	3,800	3.9	2.2	5.9
German, Lindsey A.	R	4,170	3,186	984	2.9	4.0	1.5
Johnson, Darren	GRE	4,032	2,547	1,485	2.8	3.2	2.3
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	3,064	1,859	1,205	2.1	2.3	1.9
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	807	575	232	0.6	0.7	0.4
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	788	452	336	0.5	0.6	0.5
Total		143,404	79,453	63,951	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2000

Candidate		Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, I	Kenneth R.	IND	48,192	37.6
Norris, Steven .	J.	CON	34,948	27.2
Dobson, Frank	G.	LAB	19,566	15.3
Kramer, Susan	V.	LD	14,011	10.9
Gidoomal, Balra	am	CPA	3,127	2.4
Newland, Mich	ael	BNP	2,679	2.1
Johnson, Darre	n P.	GRE	2,612	2.0
Hockney, Nicho	olas R.A.D.	UKIP	1,266	1.0
Ben-Nathan, G	eoffrey M.	PMSS	841	0.7
Tanna, Ashwink	cumar	IND	666	0.5
Clements, Geof	frey	NLP	386	0.3
Total			128,294	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Page 72 The 2004 London Elections


GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Enfield and Haringey Constituency

First choice votes, 2004

				Votes			Percentages
	-		Local a	uthority area		Local a	uthority area
Candidate	Party	Enfield and — Haringey	Enfield	Haringey	Enfield and Haringey	Enfield	Haringey
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	49,696	23,424	26,272	41.3	34.0	51.0
Norris, Steven J.	CON	32,701	23,651	9,050	27.2	34.4	17.6
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	15,976	8,291	7,685	13.3	12.0	14.9
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	6,399	4,932	1,467	5.3	7.2	2.8
German, Lindsey A.	R	4,492	1,779	2,713	3.7	2.6	5.3
Johnson, Darren	GRE	4,170	1,834	2,336	3.5	2.7	4.5
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	3,388	2,823	565	2.8	4.1	1.1
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,541	1,539	1,002	2.1	2.2	1.9
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	660	330	330	0.5	0.5	0.6
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	366	223	143	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total		120,389	68,826	51,563	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	50,250	43.0
Norris, Steven J.	CON	28,522	24.4
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	16,469	14.1
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	12,113	10.4
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	2,762	2.4
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,398	2.1
Newland, Michael	BNP	1,967	1.7
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	928	0.8
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	667	0.6
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	475	0.4
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	369	0.3
TOTAL		116,920	100.0
	Livingstone, Kenneth R. Norris, Steven J. Dobson, Frank G. Kramer, Susan V. Johnson, Darren P. Gidoomal, Balram Newland, Michael Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D. Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M. Tanna, Ashwinkumar Clements, Geoffrey	Livingstone, Kenneth R. IND Norris, Steven J. CON Dobson, Frank G. LAB Kramer, Susan V. LD Johnson, Darren P. GRE Gidoomal, Balram CPA Newland, Michael BNP Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D. UKIP Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M. PMSS Tanna, Ashwinkumar IND Clements, Geoffrey NLP	Livingstone, Kenneth R. IND 50,250 Norris, Steven J. CON 28,522 Dobson, Frank G. LAB 16,469 Kramer, Susan V. LD 12,113 Johnson, Darren P. GRE 2,762 Gidoomal, Balram CPA 2,398 Newland, Michael BNP 1,967 Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D. UKIP 928 Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M. PMSS 667 Tanna, Ashwinkumar IND 475 Clements, Geoffrey NLP 369

Greenwich and Lewisham Constituency


First choice votes, 2004

				Votes			Percentages
	=		Local a	uthority area		Local authority area	
Candidate	Party a	Greenwich — nd Lewisham	Greenwich	Lewisham	Greenwich and Lewisham	Greenwich	Lewisham
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	47,850	21,227	26,623	42.5	39.4	45.3
Norris, Steven J.	CON	22,445	11,716	10,729	19.9	21.8	18.3
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	18,337	8,331	10,006	16.3	15.5	17.0
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	8,707	5,160	3,547	7.7	9.6	6.0
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	4,591	3,009	1,582	4.1	5.6	2.7
Johnson, Darren	GRE	4,285	1,640	2,645	3.8	3.0	4.5
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	3,067	1,349	1,718	2.7	2.5	2.9
German, Lindsey A.	R	2,130	914	1,216	1.9	1.7	2.1
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	687	290	397	0.6	0.5	0.7
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	480	225	255	0.4	0.4	0.4
Total		112,579	53,861	58,718	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	47,522	45.9
Norris, Steven J.	CON	19,822	19.1
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	15,124	14.6
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	10,880	10.5
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	2,679	2.6
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,668	2.6
Newland, Michael	BNP	2,562	2.5
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	932	0.9
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	570	0.6
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	531	0.5
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	302	0.3
TOTAL		103,592	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Page 74 The 2004 London Elections


GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Havering and Redbridge Constituency

First choice votes, 2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local a	uthority area		Local authority area	
Candidate	Party	Havering and Redbridge	Havering	Redbridge	Havering and Redbridge	Havering	Redbridge
Norris, Steven J.	CON	46,925	24,705	22,220	35.4	38.1	32.8
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	39,526	16,128	23,398	29.8	24.9	34.5
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	15,494	7,105	8,389	11.7	11.0	12.4
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	12,835	8,454	4,381	9.7	13.0	6.5
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	7,350	5,017	2,333	5.5	7.7	3.4
German, Lindsey A.	R	3,450	322	3,128	2.6	0.5	4.6
Johnson, Darren	GRE	2,886	1,306	1,580	2.2	2.0	2.3
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,797	1,167	1,630	2.1	1.8	2.4
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	838	485	353	0.6	0.7	0.5
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	455	107	348	0.3	0.2	0.5
Total		132,556	64,796	67,760	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	39,277	33.8
Norris, Steven J.	CON	38,088	32.8
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	14,549	12.5
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	12,719	10.9
Newland, Michael	BNP	3,938	3.4
_			
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,784	2.4
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	1,815	1.6
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	1,619	1.4
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	618	0.5
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	511	0.4
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	287	0.2
Total		116,205	100.0

Lambeth and Southwark Constituency


First choice votes, 2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local a	uthority area		Local a	uthority area
Candidate	Party	Lambeth and Soutwark	Lambeth	Southwark	Lambeth and Soutwark	Lambeth	Southwark
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	55,547	30,448	25,099	46.0	48.7	43.1
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	27,158	11,010	16,148	22.5	17.6	27.8
Norris, Steven J.	CON	20,230	11,575	8,655	16.8	18.5	14.9
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	4,648	2,183	2,465	3.9	3.5	4.2
Johnson, Darren	GRE	4,483	2,622	1,861	3.7	4.2	3.2
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	3,014	1,630	1,384	2.5	2.6	2.4
German, Lindsey A.	R	2,930	1,806	1,124	2.4	2.9	1.9
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	1,840	730	1,110	1.5	1.2	1.9
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	583	351	232	0.5	0.6	0.4
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	265	154	111	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total		120,698	62,509	58,189	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	52,028	47.6
Norris, Steven J.	CON	18,437	16.9
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	15,863	14.5
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	13,139	12.0
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	3,061	2.8
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,917	2.7
Newland, Michael	BNP	1,572	1.4
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	815	0.7
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	616	0.6
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	469	0.4
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	323	0.3
Total		109,240	100.0

First choice votes, 2004




Page 76 The 2004 London Elections

GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Merton and Wandsworth Constituency

First choice votes, 2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local	authority area		Local	authority area
Candidate	Party	Merton and Wandsworth	Merton	Wandsworth	Merton and Wandsworth	Merton	Wandsworth
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	51,182	20,923	30,259	40.0	39.6	40.3
Norris, Steven J.	CON	40,423	15,196	25,227	31.6	28.7	33.6
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	16,847	7,164	9,683	13.2	13.6	12.9
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	5,810	3,312	2,498	4.5	6.3	3.3
Johnson, Darren	GRE	4,200	1,634	2,566	3.3	3.1	3.4
German, Lindsey A.	R	2,910	1,066	1,844	2.3	2.0	2.5
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,740	1,328	1,412	2.1	2.5	1.9
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	2,737	1,623	1,114	2.1	3.1	1.5
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	566	349	217	0.4	0.7	0.3
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	531	271	260	0.4	0.5	0.3
Total		127,946	52,866	75,080	100.0	100.0	100.0



First choice votes, 2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	46,216	38.4
Norris, Steven J.	CON	36,237	30.1
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	14,436	12.0
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	13,752	11.4
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	3,162	2.6
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	2,713	2.3
Newland, Michael	BNP	1,468	1.2
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	979	0.8
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	502	0.4
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	496	0.4
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	325	0.3
Total		120,286	100.0

North East Constituency


First choice votes, 2004

					Votes				Percentages
	-			Local au	thority area			Local a	uthority area
		_			Waltham	_			Waltham
Candidate	Party	North East	Hackney	Islington	Forest	North East	Hackney	Islington	Forest
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	60,988	21,805	18,582	20,601	45.2	53.2	48.6	37.0
Norris, Steven J.	CON	26,415	6,642	6,613	13,160	19.6	16.2	17.3	23.6
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	18,635	4,269	6,049	8,317	13.8	10.4	15.8	14.9
German, Lindsey A.	R	8,149	2,774	1,450	3,925	6.0	6.8	3.8	7.0
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	6,777	1,310	1,671	3,796	5.0	3.2	4.4	6.8
Johnson, Darren	GRE	6,094	2,256	1,919	1,919	4.5	5.5	5.0	3.4
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	3,531	602	788	2,141	2.6	1.5	2.1	3.8
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,894	958	637	1,299	2.1	2.3	1.7	2.3
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	1,103	294	465	344	0.8	0.7	1.2	0.6
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	345	81	90	174	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3
Total		134,931	40,991	38,264	55,676	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	63,333	48.0
Norris, Steven J.	CON	21,676	16.4
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	20,075	15.2
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	14,731	11.2
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	4,253	3.2
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,791	2.1
Newland, Michael	BNP	2,454	1.9
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	986	0.7
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	720	0.5
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	494	0.4
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	463	0.4
Total		131,976	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Page 78 The 2004 London Elections


GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

South West Constituency

First choice votes, 2004

					Votes				Percentages
	=			Local	authority area			Local	authority area
Candidate	Party	South West	Hounslow	Kingston upon Thames	Richmond upon Thames	South West	Hounslow	Kingston upon Thames	Richmond upon Thames
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	53,156	19,963	13,633	19,560	35.2	38.8	32.0	34.3
Norris, Steven J.	CON	45,973	13,833	12,983	19,157	30.4	26.9	30.4	33.6
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	28,910	7,288	9,749	11,873	19.1	14.2	22.9	20.8
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	7,969	3,374	2,364	2,231	5.3	6.6	5.5	3.9
Johnson, Darren	GRE	4,623	1,654	1,161	1,808	3.1	3.2	2.7	3.2
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	3,706	1,939	909	858	2.5	3.8	2.1	1.5
German, Lindsey A.	R	2,870	1,857	502	511	1.9	3.6	1.2	0.9
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,812	1,022	1,030	760	1.9	2.0	2.4	1.3
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	598	322	139	137	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.2
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	438	181	177	80	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.1
Total		151,055	51,433	42,647	56,975	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	52,457	36.3
Norris, Steven J.	CON	41,618	28.8
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	23,745	16.4
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	15,719	10.9
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	3,195	2.2
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	2,916	2.0
Newland, Michael	BNP	1,862	1.3
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	1,397	1.0
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	658	0.5
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	637	0.4
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	356	0.2
Total		144,560	100.0

West Central Constituency


First choice votes, 2004

					Votes				Percentages
	_			Local	authority area			Local	authority area
Candidate	Party	West Central	Hammersmith and Fulham	Kensington and Chelsea	City of Westminster	West Central	Hammersmith and Fulham	Kensington and Chelsea	City of Westminster
Norris, Steven J.	CON	50,242	15,153	16,749	18,340	41.5	36.6	48.6	40.5
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	38,678	14,592	8,929	15,157	31.9	35.2	25.9	33.5
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	16,204	5,975	4,523	5,706	13.4	14.4	13.1	12.6
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	4,202	1,599	1,099	1,504	3.5	3.9	3.2	3.3
Johnson, Darren	GRE	4,020	1,550	1,012	1,458	3.3	3.7	2.9	3.2
German, Lindsey A.	R	3,240	844	964	1,432	2.7	2.0	2.8	3.2
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	1,931	771	427	733	1.6	1.9	1.2	1.6
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	1,898	679	541	678	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	461	174	113	174	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	300	108	77	115	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3
Total		121,176	41,445	34,434	45,297	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

First choice votes, 2000


Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Norris, Steven J.	CON	44,954	38.8
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	40,176	34.7
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	11,609	10.0
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	11,070	9.6
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	2,680	2.3
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	2,229	1.9
Newland, Michael	BNP	999	0.9
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	850	0.7
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS	495	0.4
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	396	0.3
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	337	0.3
Total		115,795	100.0

First choice votes, 2004



Page 80 The 2004 London Elections

GLA Data Management and Analysis Group



London total
Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		797	10,765	6,678	506	15,118	1,631	602	4,315	2,064	10,036
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	807		9,155	2,346	471	10,213	2,314	1,075	6,309	941	4,646
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	9,735	11,304		42,362	4,931	81,427	19,943	2,868	62,381	5,127	29,596
Johnson, Darren	GRE	4,074	1,408	13,186		1,156	19,023	3,160	783	4,430	2,179	4,858
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	725	490	3,546	3,127		3,629	23,074	193	10,320	1,768	6,724
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	29,619	20,909	231,565	110,264	7,984		24,638	8,559	60,391	14,725	112,745
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	1,991	2,439	13,866	7,364	21,617	9,927		992	35,289	5,291	11,290
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	264	475	857	467	127	1,610	336		821	383	829
Norris, Steven J.	CON	5,916	15,158	166,588	31,865	28,679	43,529	111,210	4,523		6,855	90,687
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	944	320	1,204	1,141	455	1,895	1,253	273	892		820
Total		54,075	53,300	450,732	205,614	65,926	186,371	187,559	19,868	185,148	39,333	272,231
Both choices for same candidate		9,219	3,421	14,973	3,075	4,811	64,149	5,600	523	37,413	345	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		347	669	380	754	479	1,287	1,129	378	1,819	426	1,481
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	164		438	258	252	1,045	481	749	144	368	147	977
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	1,522	1,932		7,276	1,506	12,754	51,413	40,367	1,871	21,577	5,013	51,067
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	477	308	4,745		1,229	2,348	10,037	4,842	325	6,983	1,898	6,036
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	729	287	474	747		1,170	1,727	1,288	2,255	4,669	507	1,786
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	380	1,842	3,222	1,236	711		7,988	13,013	753	2,909	1,172	3,357
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	2,801	1,933	29,103	10,292	3,162	25,764		42,166	2,938	50,173	4,887	22,566
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	5,296	6,480	121,663	13,565	5,778	122,013	165,671		10,773	63,064	18,240	100,731
Newland, Michael	BNP	720	558	1,231	370	4,091	2,507	2,729	3,376		10,243	358	4,852
Norris, Steven J.	CON	9,872	3,877	37,914	18,570	25,217	22,470	154,519	35,656	23,247		8,589	99,229
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	253	174	1,050	963	287	676	1,296	1,620	119	962		1,086
tal		22,214	17,738	200,509	53,657	42,987	191,226	397,148	144,206	42,803	162,767	41,237	293,168
th choices for same candidate		807	447	27,586	2,832	685	1,538	7,667	34,603	2,534	25,274	529	

Barnet and Camden Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		50	653	499	29	906	95	33	198	157	565
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	43		431	132	23	491	106	64	387	52	216
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	741	537		3,508	186	5,975	1,003	220	4,637	328	2,001
Johnson, Darren	GRE	320	101	1,187		73	1,731	223	62	362	173	423
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	26	22	180	125		181	1,020	7	480	70	313
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	2,243	1,142	18,473	9,911	414		1,478	546	4,473	1,040	8,779
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	126	115	659	434	968	572		62	1,834	266	562
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	20	35	67	38	10	88	17		46	36	33
Norris, Steven J.	CON	419	1,026	15,186	2,888	1,396	3,438	7,752	500		514	9,431
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	71	20	80	82	18	145	62	22	54		42
Total		4,009	3,048	36,916	17,617	3,117	13,527	11,756	1,516	12,471	2,636	22,365
Both choices for same candidate		419	153	950	237	196	3,861	306	20	3,803	16	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		37	58	32	66	52	198	109	11	281	37	118
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	14		23	20	15	88	40	60	12	34	7	52
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	148	125		489	98	1,064	4,388	2,838	126	1,832	364	3,746
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	33	15	269		70	130	535	291	12	425	124	291
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	49	25	22	55		61	88	103	103	285	37	106
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	46	171	312	94	67		734	1,325	41	263	92	309
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	514	136	2,511	617	193	2,217		3,434	152	4,495	388	1,887
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	505	542	8,712	898	455	11,066	13,305		639	4,808	1,259	7,256
Newland, Michael	BNP	34	35	56	24	176	120	116	149		436	11	204
Norris, Steven J.	CON	1,452	256	3,319	1,197	1,631	1,662	13,090	2,719	1,094		585	7,906
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	14	14	83	82	23	51	98	136	5	84		84
Total		2,809	1,356	15,365	3,508	2,794	16,511	32,592	11,164	2,195	12,943	2,904	21,959
Both choices for same candidate		56	32	1,760	141	38	110	552	2,204	90	1,915	34	

Bexley and Bromley Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate	_											2nd choice
		German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		30	195	138	22	225	72	17	49	72	94
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	42		961	183	36	559	250	68	630	70	263
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	362	1,488		3,579	656	7,229	3,263	247	7,150	434	2,912
ohnson, Darren	GRE	138	110	850		105	844	313	46	378	120	246
eppert, Julian P.	BNP	70	28	408	341		368	2,931	17	1,275	173	760
ivingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	767	1,164	16,734	5,460	692		2,593	424	3,801	835	6,086
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	206	299	2,244	1,008	3,146	1,240		140	5,696	620	1,732
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	10	21	50	28	3	54	40		53	20	38
Norris, Steven J.	CON	257	1,503	17,553	2,816	3,850	3,403	15,783	382		561	10,038
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	47	20	93	82	36	83	110	15	50		53
Total		1,899	4,663	39,088	13,635	8,546	14,005	25,355	1,356	19,082	2,905	22,222
Both choices for same candidate		51	138	912	112	488	2,427	659	10	3,375	22	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		25	29	26	77	36	108	84	55	184	47	61
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	12		13	20	16	76	32	35	5	25	11	30
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	69	86		366	100	844	3,952	2,050	150	1,536	174	1,947
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	34	25	296		154	230	1,099	301	19	770	159	455
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	84	25	32	100		167	250	129	320	707	64	203
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	26	162	197	121	83		628	678	84	274	113	221
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	212	182	2,723	1,251	445	2,529		3,764	347	5,701	587	2,205
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	365	374	6,922	797	542	6,251	12,099		997	5,153	1,076	5,449
Newland, Michael	BNP	94	57	118	29	491	281	311	349		1,264	46	515
Norris, Steven J.	CON	910	427	3,833	2,174	3,579	2,786	19,418	3,794	3,355		1,077	12,990
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	27	11	34	73	38	49	130	97	7	88		51
Total		1,833	1,374	14,197	4,957	5,525	13,249	38,027	11,281	5,339	15,702	3,354	24,127
Both choices for same candidate		14	11	701	136	68	86	664	1,654	230	2,850	12	

Brent and Harrow Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		71	680	416	25	789	83	48	202	108	472
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	80		596	153	34	844	123	127	485	70	463
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	695	683		2,294	249	5,034	976	262	4,009	299	2,457
Johnson, Darren	GRE	227	96	731		58	942	172	75	257	116	379
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	46	44	135	113		166	739	11	408	56	294
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	1,741	1,848	14,904	5,626	448		1,592	1,124	5,459	907	9,471
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	109	136	607	345	711	498		47	1,539	226	551
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	20	49	108	39	16	263	24		106	35	103
Norris, Steven J.	CON	350	1,141	11,446	1,899	1,188	3,796	5,739	357		472	7,777
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	58	25	77	70	21	128	72	17	75		55
Total		3,326	4,093	29,284	10,955	2,750	12,460	9,520	2,068	12,540	2,289	22,022
Both choices for same candidate		405	247	1,230	203	164	5,322	252	73	2,782	24	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		21	50	30	52	38	164	97	21	154	45	175
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	19		36	20	22	71	35	52	10	22	14	88
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	147	137		551	91	719	3,152	2,785	107	1,476	661	3,395
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	48	26	340		58	127	579	427	21	393	224	401
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	44	11	26	34		59	109	59	92	210	20	90
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	25	90	160	63	38		360	572	41	126	69	170
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	374	95	1,543	546	142	1,141		2,220	125	2,611	338	1,250
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	495	440	8,722	1,298	287	6,586	10,652		522	4,352	1,943	8,458
Newland, Michael	BNP	40	32	48	24	168	109	112	145		393	18	190
Norris, Steven J.	CON	916	201	2,187	949	1,036	965	8,024	1,984	994		576	6,056
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	32	14	202	190	17	49	119	217	6	98		162
Total		2,140	1,067	13,314	3,705	1,911	9,864	23,306	8,558	1,939	9,835	3,908	20,435
Both choices for same candidate		58	35	2,058	197	34	95	412	3,289	83	1,405	94	

City and East London Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate	_											2nd choice
		German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
											_	
German, Lindsey A.	R		139	2,827	1,190	101	3,953	370	123	1,704	296	3,553
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	105		608	204	68	1,216	210	88	386	98	624
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	1,351	471		1,856	501	3,948	1,110	136	2,979	403	2,314
Johnson, Darren	GRE	330	93	705		125	1,138	252	55	278	174	438
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	89	69	465	441		536	2,968	25	1,049	283	1,009
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	4,659	2,071	12,607	6,206	941		2,152	700	4,885	1,320	9,523
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	232	218	1,046	599	2,345	873		72	2,315	609	1,153
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	27	43	43	28	17	154	25		50	26	89
Norris, Steven J.	CON	1,057	568	6,243	1,214	1,913	2,715	4,917	192		470	3,930
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	117	33	94	80	58	180	124	14	78		93
Total		7,967	3,705	24,638	11,818	6,069	14,713	12,128	1,405	13,724	3,679	22,726
Both choices for same candidate		3,329	547	1,357	346	832	6,778	624	50	2,284	41	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		61	103	48	74	54	72	104	37	68	30	264
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	20		81	39	45	77	62	76	25	56	17	204
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	206	349		699	246	1,088	3,751	4,306	308	2,278	553	6,138
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	29	31	504		81	172	499	404	30	292	97	540
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	35	28	71	48		92	119	122	209	216	32	203
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	20	106	237	85	54		417	697	87	146	69	293
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	125	140	1,450	366	208	1,111		1,991	335	2,011	239	1,309
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	367	455	9,602	934	481	6,635	9,067		1,507	3,971	1,325	8,315
Newland, Michael	BNP	95	76	250	40	523	409	422	518		1,297	48	886
Norris, Steven J.	CON	349	186	2,138	525	1,025	880	5,344	1,722	1,773		339	2,942
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	26	22	102	78	22	50	81	121	11	50		137
Total		1,272	1,454	14,538	2,862	2,759	10,568	19,834	10,061	4,322	10,385	2,749	21,231
Both choices for same candidate		208	114	4,460	330	89	172	634	3,577	517	1,803	83	

Croydon and Sutton Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
											_	
German, Lindsey A.	R		43	401	240	21	464	74	31	119	82	240
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	55		1,184	211	40	903	279	107	714	68	361
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	533	1,431		3,200	506	6,813	1,959	244	5,567	404	2,421
Johnson, Darren	GRE	178	113	763		71	973	273	37	304	110	244
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	46	41	269	218		255	1,796	10	835	106	443
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	1,204	1,669	16,452	5,404	605		1,933	680	4,284	899	6,023
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	154	251	1,337	687	1,967	875		76	3,529	455	841
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	18	37	78	40	9	141	25		71	26	58
Norris, Steven J.	CON	301	1,591	13,810	2,447	2,590	3,500	10,323	340		596	7,724
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	58	22	67	64	25	99	78	16	64		32
Total		2,547	5,198	34,361	12,511	5,834	14,023	16,740	1,541	15,487	2,746	18,387
Both choices for same candidate		222	275	1,067	129	350	3,567	461	42	2,795	11	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		13	26	39	69	30	83	76	23	146	39	70
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	12		25	19	14	79	17	44	15	18	6	51
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	59	79		523	87	684	3,500	2,329	115	1,261	294	2,255
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	47	26	416		165	249	1,370	498	43	941	210	706
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	84	21	36	92		118	160	111	198	502	53	149
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	33	122	162	112	55		514	608	67	211	97	137
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	198	149	2,421	1,386	291	2,076		3,725	244	4,527	425	2,195
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	425	384	7,102	1,321	484	5,818	12,005		698	4,879	1,182	5,525
Newland, Michael	BNP	45	41	77	31	368	165	200	246		761	24	287
Norris, Steven J.	CON	763	334	2,659	2,057	2,619	1,972	13,775	3,174	2,128		786	9,318
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	26	19	86	77	32	48	100	129	11	79		76
Total		1,692	1,188	13,010	5,657	4,184	11,239	31,724	10,940	3,542	13,325	3,116	20,769
Both choices for same candidate		33	9	1,213	254	54	83	694	1,995	144	2,209	33	

Ealing and Hillingdon Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		63	749	420	42	900	160	53	284	158	723
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	61		641	190	49	684	184	98	494	75	353
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	673	835		2,987	363	5,381	1,449	212	4,717	386	2,072
Johnson, Darren	GRE	246	126	939		107	1,146	239	47	347	157	431
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	68	37	293	327		367	2,220	20	968	170	641
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	1,848	1,538	15,437	6,895	735		1,932	844	5,009	1,080	10,832
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	176	200	1,108	613	1,924	770		76	2,892	443	1,010
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	30	49	76	39	20	182	34		84	26	161
Norris, Steven J.	CON	435	1,285	13,072	2,342	2,653	3,543	8,665	282		605	7,055
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	67	35	91	69	52	145	105	18	73		90
Total		3,604	4,168	32,406	13,882	5,945	13,118	14,988	1,650	14,868	3,100	23,368
Both choices for same candidate		618	235	934	247	432	6,428	473	106	2,791	43	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		33	50	26	63	48	103	90	40	149	35	131
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	16		26	15	23	75	41	59	7	20	10	63
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	149	156		616	156	972	3,746	3,314	163	1,657	560	5,290
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	55	30	314		94	174	743	362	41	527	134	474
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	55	24	48	37		86	136	95	175	306	41	184
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	26	148	223	84	64		559	762	78	230	62	255
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	198	138	1,959	760	245	1,795		2,898	231	3,429	334	1,567
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	401	449	9,177	1,094	427	7,290	12,218		879	4,922	1,306	7,629
Newland, Michael	BNP	66	43	86	25	340	190	202	291		838	29	385
Norris, Steven J.	CON	719	267	2,598	1,241	1,826	1,551	10,993	2,858	1,892		494	8,768
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	26	13	89	79	18	42	83	114	10	60		85
Total		1,711	1,301	14,570	3,977	3,256	12,223	28,824	10,843	3,516	12,138	3,005	24,831
Both choices for same candidate		73	31	2,787	179	79	121	457	2,400	184	1,741	47	

Enfield and Haringey Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
											_	
German, Lindsey A.	R		68	632	564	33	1,152	103	34	261	191	790
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	47		482	143	21	719	118	58	357	64	342
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	692	550		2,823	224	4,665	873	151	3,257	314	1,677
Johnson, Darren	GRE	336	88	912		67	1,382	218	50	276	182	417
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	56	31	159	175		193	1,403	7	633	90	409
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	2,587	1,477	15,786	8,639	443		1,442	525	3,853	1,148	9,138
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	104	138	691	412	1,217	574		58	2,029	257	656
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	17	30	38	26	9	79	24		44	34	45
Norris, Steven J.	CON	459	861	9,538	2,015	1,802	2,605	6,597	245		486	5,771
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	86	22	79	79	28	145	66	19	58		61
Total		4,384	3,265	28,317	14,876	3,844	11,514	10,844	1,147	10,768	2,766	19,306
Both choices for same candidate		664	190	750	242	232	4,658	263	20	2,322	17	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		23	47	17	50	50	84	82	26	114	20	105
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	7		34	17	14	69	27	53	10	28	11	69
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	103	124		541	121	1,012	3,581	3,167	109	1,462	294	4,211
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	27	11	293		48	162	548	263	17	355	124	378
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	49	24	31	26		61	102	65	129	258	28	114
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	25	117	238	83	48		531	1,061	30	192	66	270
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	176	113	1,758	578	193	1,731		2,627	155	2,779	283	1,347
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	377	503	9,743	900	359	10,270	11,439		588	4,184	1,218	8,402
Newland, Michael	BNP	32	32	49	14	224	153	140	188		661	22	311
Norris, Steven J.	CON	559	227	2,137	914	1,412	1,447	9,208	2,210	1,573		452	6,771
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	7	12	45	55	14	39	59	84	6	46		74
Total		1,362	1,186	14,375	3,145	2,483	14,994	25,719	9,800	2,643	10,079	2,518	22,052
Both choices for same candidate		49	30	1,744	172	41	101	373	2,267	141	1,612	34	

Greenwich and Lewisham Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
		German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		37	340	347	36	649	83	38	91	116	215
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	60		662	167	24	911	125	91	298	74	346
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	472	772		3,068	380	5,407	1,650	195	3,670	348	1,631
Johnson, Darren	GRE	333	86	980		80	1,502	251	78	246	183	321
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	57	31	290	263		303	1,923	20	643	141	522
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	1,679	1,652	16,131	8,609	601		1,950	660	3,235	1,018	7,717
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	147	167	1,195	586	1,726	792		98	2,264	418	891
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	21	37	58	43	9	112	26		54	30	48
Norris, Steven J.	CON	242	562	7,118	1,222	1,539	1,831	5,336	213		291	2,838
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	63	12	79	89	38	132	96	33	64		55
Total		3,074	3,356	26,853	14,394	4,433	11,639	11,440	1,426	10,565	2,619	14,584
Both choices for same candidate		178	309	744	225	398	4,598	423	42	1,253	26	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
												_	
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		14	40	23	44	24	60	79	22	89	21	71
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	8		34	15	14	62	19	44	7	14	12	47
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	90	141		561	80	1,046	3,573	2,850	132	1,383	335	3,219
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	34	24	423		70	154	612	337	11	274	125	380
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	30	15	27	43		60	110	87	131	263	36	92
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	25	128	238	68	42		563	960	48	165	94	239
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	101	107	1,631	516	184	1,525		2,410	209	2,472	332	1,031
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	349	470	9,292	824	372	9,996	10,936		850	3,698	1,471	6,744
Newland, Michael	BNP	62	44	112	31	312	195	200	276		729	36	332
Norris, Steven J.	CON	349	166	1,793	757	1,104	1,108	6,902	1,746	1,331		448	3,062
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	14	9	61	43	25	56	102	104	13	61		58
Total		1,062	1,118	13,651	2,881	2,247	14,226	23,077	8,893	2,754	9,148	2,910	15,275
Both choices for same candidate		44	26	1,714	224	38	109	362	2,520	233	1,056	24	

Havering and Redbridge Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		36	609	339	33	813	95	36	267	122	562
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	47		639	161	37	600	215	72	474	48	309
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	473	705		2,016	364	4,008	1,569	166	3,731	356	1,431
Johnson, Darren	GRE	173	87	653		113	758	260	39	296	110	255
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	67	56	375	356		435	2,982	23	1,428	240	822
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	1,517	1,204	12,696	5,124	871		2,321	571	4,260	1,008	6,535
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	154	235	1,308	782	2,660	1,059		84	4,007	635	1,317
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	13	36	60	32	10	116	22		64	17	55
Norris, Steven J.	CON	391	1,056	13,069	2,480	3,780	3,483	11,494	287		733	7,160
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	56	14	127	92	57	117	134	21	108		80
Total		2,891	3,429	29,536	11,382	7,925	11,389	19,092	1,299	14,635	3,269	18,526
Both choices for same candidate		538	195	675	142	566	3,419	594	30	2,992	32	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		26	27	17	46	27	107	63	40	113	39	77
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	8		21	10	13	54	36	29	17	31	6	48
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	108	119		448	91	793	3,542	2,650	177	1,495	368	3,305
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	27	20	263		109	174	773	264	34	433	133	426
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	81	30	49	60		115	180	119	288	445	51	155
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	38	114	143	84	68		381	481	76	142	61	152
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	200	135	1,853	665	264	1,322		2,412	271	3,469	299	1,414
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	356	313	7,641	809	475	5,275	10,053		1,143	4,405	1,096	6,045
Newland, Michael	BNP	93	64	140	44	465	274	303	395		1,320	33	543
Norris, Steven J.	CON	711	337	2,703	1,250	2,271	1,786	12,055	2,748	2,912		620	8,701
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	12	7	62	64	17	39	68	100	9	56		57
Total		1,634	1,165	12,902	3,451	3,819	9,859	27,498	9,261	4,967	11,909	2,706	20,923
Both choices for same candidate		36	14	1,453	128	46	75	415	1,666	264	1,994	20	

Lambeth and Southwark Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		42	535	415	22	913	65	29	92	118	313
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	64		710	131	17	835	129	53	320	69	334
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	918	1,048		3,737	432	8,383	1,812	232	4,767	434	3,114
Johnson, Darren	GRE	382	95	1,061		47	1,790	149	59	204	143	299
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	23	17	208	95		105	680	6	289	51	208
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	2,142	1,764	20,262	10,172	370		1,226	408	3,161	1,071	8,675
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	111	95	792	236	774	454		48	1,243	192	431
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	19	18	50	22	1	49	18		30	21	23
Norris, Steven J.	CON	210	563	7,743	1,211	854	1,762	3,792	182		209	2,415
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	66	26	85	88	17	119	61	20	40		41
Total		3,935	3,668	31,446	16,107	2,534	14,410	7,932	1,037	10,146	2,308	15,853
Both choices for same candidate		386	352	2,281	254	158	6,296	272	14	1,289	20	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		19	49	16	31	23	58	59	15	69	21	63
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	8		36	14	7	62	31	51	7	20	6	54
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	79	99		648	67	834	3,687	2,873	109	1,301	318	3,702
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	25	19	460		51	137	623	396	14	346	124	418
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	38	13	18	28		37	64	49	92	163	33	55
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	18	104	245	83	14		617	1,356	33	177	124	201
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	131	120	2,024	562	168	1,692		3,041	185	2,736	409	1,424
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	284	530	9,132	941	296	11,966	12,724		535	3,406	1,879	7,261
Newland, Michael	BNP	41	31	62	19	169	119	148	144		448	33	205
Norris, Steven J.	CON	339	135	1,828	757	878	928	6,749	1,552	896		671	2,821
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	19	19	73	44	16	87	149	174	11	102		85
Total		982	1,089	13,927	3,112	1,697	15,885	24,850	9,695	1,897	8,768	3,618	16,289
Both choices for same candidate		46	27	2,146	304	26	89	647	3,074	153	883	36	

Merton and Wandsworth Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		41	536	356	26	749	70	36	213	95	416
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	46		609	179	29	668	133	77	506	41	269
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	505	676		2,886	191	5,440	908	201	3,847	278	1,271
Johnson, Darren	GRE	249	106	991		64	1,523	175	58	379	156	325
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	44	19	162	162		174	1,025	16	523	63	292
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	1,708	1,447	17,632	9,004	469		1,637	723	4,957	958	8,564
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	89	158	592	373	1,022	588		62	1,873	241	554
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	21	43	66	36	8	153	21		78	34	57
Norris, Steven J.	CON	369	1,345	12,881	3,077	1,660	3,817	7,489	384		399	6,283
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	45	19	64	56	24	121	74	14	39		53
Total		3,076	3,854	33,533	16,129	3,493	13,233	11,532	1,571	12,415	2,265	18,084
Both choices for same candidate		372	183	644	174	257	4,083	258	49	2,719	22	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		14	36	19	46	22	53	69	25	88	19	75
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	3		27	16	8	75	32	49	5	23	3	53
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	81	100		478	58	937	3,546	2,793	68	1,476	291	2,941
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	34	23	347		82	181	768	358	12	620	124	409
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	48	23	23	46		59	100	78	105	334	31	93
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	24	134	258	87	44		609	922	27	236	76	207
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	114	133	2,016	744	172	1,991		2,853	127	3,715	269	1,222
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	304	439	8,561	964	388	8,558	11,685		552	5,027	1,153	6,432
Newland, Michael	BNP	26	19	45	10	185	110	127	154		451	13	213
Norris, Steven J.	CON	632	340	3,292	1,701	1,895	1,983	12,468	3,014	1,213		662	7,133
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	18	9	58	41	14	44	79	87	10	54		50
Total		1,284	1,234	14,663	4,106	2,892	13,960	29,467	10,377	2,144	12,024	2,641	18,828
Both choices for same candidate		36	31	1,667	204	39	89	396	2,153	115	1,904	32	

North East Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
											_	
German, Lindsey A.	R		85	1,415	947	47	2,238	167	61	360	334	1,271
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	73		525	169	29	848	126	46	307	94	352
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	917	546		3,087	330	5,399	1,051	128	3,303	440	2,090
Johnson, Darren	GRE	589	82	1,235		86	2,415	198	46	304	269	504
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	49	31	216	188		169	1,305	10	725	117	420
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	4,229	1,753	18,798	13,481	513		1,567	446	3,686	1,711	9,104
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	152	146	780	454	1,201	565		64	2,002	393	616
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	13	26	36	39	8	68	17		35	29	54
Norris, Steven J.	CON	447	630	7,824	1,537	1,739	2,103	5,465	175		493	3,983
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	121	38	122	148	39	268	127	27	95		85
Total		6,590	3,337	30,951	20,050	3,992	14,073	10,023	1,003	10,817	3,880	18,479
Both choices for same candidate		1,224	325	1,344	366	301	5,700	404	20	2,019	33	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		31	64	29	47	22	86	73	20	96	28	147
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	21		35	16	22	83	47	75	12	19	13	104
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	129	190		616	164	1,198	3,980	3,518	121	1,542	290	5,292
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	26	16	391		40	160	590	358	17	305	85	488
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	32	13	39	46		84	96	89	144	230	22	129
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	32	164	369	111	29		793	1,725	71	231	101	445
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	151	129	2,090	585	200	2,041		3,209	239	3,043	260	1,998
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	367	640	11,611	1,031	384	15,537	14,097		701	3,991	1,211	10,421
Newland, Michael	BNP	48	33	87	23	276	186	223	221		747	23	407
Norris, Steven J.	CON	472	173	1,942	663	1,090	1,147	7,467	1,719	1,564		303	3,747
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	12	7	45	37	17	38	65	93	9	39		65
Total		1,290	1,396	16,673	3,157	2,269	20,496	27,444	11,080	2,898	10,243	2,336	23,243
Both choices for same candidate		77	47	3,035	315	62	182	786	3,342	180	1,389	36	

South West Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
German, Lindsey A.	R		39	638	386	44	637	103	35	196	86	346
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	44		768	190	34	547	213	79	496	60	235
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	738	1,075		4,392	375	9,276	1,608	300	6,467	448	2,862
Johnson, Darren	GRE	269	133	1,198		99	1,538	266	63	415	161	287
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	62	35	261	210		228	1,388	15	680	146	387
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	1,647	1,335	22,354	8,457	540		1,705	586	5,165	954	6,511
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	138	167	1,101	551	1,357	687		59	2,555	351	625
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	16	29	82	30	5	100	25		58	25	36
Norris, Steven J.	CON	381	1,458	14,932	2,985	2,051	4,164	9,236	407		524	6,928
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	43	21	85	77	31	109	95	12	58		48
Total		3,338	4,292	41,419	17,278	4,536	17,286	14,639	1,556	16,090	2,755	18,265
Both choices for same candidate		360	146	1,369	194	294	3,902	378	32	2,907	19	


Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		18	46	32	52	40	65	81	30	149	27	60
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	11		17	20	20	81	33	60	6	26	17	46
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	94	127		440	93	882	4,360	2,971	98	1,434	386	3,029
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	39	19	241		132	168	870	350	32	680	146	389
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	72	20	28	79		102	147	119	175	449	39	122
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	27	147	220	87	67		703	933	43	254	91	218
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	188	218	3,554	1,220	317	2,898		5,242	214	5,883	483	2,622
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	409	480	8,757	1,008	504	8,645	15,920		728	5,816	1,253	6,498
Newland, Michael	BNP	35	29	67	33	260	137	154	199		575	10	227
Norris, Steven J.	CON	762	375	3,212	1,881	2,447	2,025	14,857	3,490	1,509		722	8,156
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	15	14	86	71	25	50	109	90	6	77		80
Total		1,652	1,447	16,228	4,871	3,917	15,028	37,218	13,535	2,841	15,343	3,174	21,447
Both choices for same candidate		37	19	1,805	129	45	126	906	2,439	136	2,182	35	

West Central Constituency

Second choice votes by voters' first choice candidate, 2004

Candidate												2nd choice
	_	German	Gidoomal	Hughes	Johnson	Leppert	Livingstone	Maloney	Nagalingam	Norris	Reid	
1st choice		R	CPA	LD	GRE	BNP	LAB	UKIP	IND	CON	IWCA	None
											_	
German, Lindsey A.	R		53	555	421	25	730	91	28	279	129	476
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	40		339	133	30	388	103	47	455	58	179
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	665	487		2,929	174	4,469	712	174	4,280	255	1,343
Johnson, Darren	GRE	304	92	981		61	1,341	171	68	384	125	289
Leppert, Julian P.	BNP	22	29	125	113		149	694	6	384	62	204
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	LAB	1,648	845	13,299	7,276	342		1,110	322	4,163	776	5,787
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	93	114	406	284	599	380		46	1,511	185	351
Nagalingam, Puvanarani T.	IND	19	22	45	27	2	51	18		48	24	29
Norris, Steven J.	CON	598	1,569	16,173	3,732	1,664	3,369	8,622	577		502	9,354
Reid, Lorna	IWCA	46	13	61	65	11	104	49	25	36		32
Total		3,435	3,224	31,984	14,980	2,908	10,981	11,570	1,293	11,540	2,116	18,044
Both choices for same candidate		453	126	716	204	143	3,110	233	15	4,082	19	

Candidate													2nd choice
		Ben-Nathan	Clements	Dobson	Gidoomal	Hockney	Johnson	Kramer	Livingstone	Newland	Norris	Tanna	
1st choice		PMSS	NLP	LAB	CPA	UKIP	GRE	LD	IND	BNP	CON	IND	None
Ben-Nathan, Geoffrey M.	PMSS		12	44	26	37	13	46	63	13	119	18	64
Clements, Geoffrey	NLP	5		30	17	19	93	29	62	6	32	14	68
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	60	100		300	54	681	2,655	1,923	88	1,444	125	2,597
Gidoomal, Balram	CPA	19	23	188		75	130	428	233	22	622	89	281
Hockney, Nicholas R.A.D.	UKIP	28	15	24	53		69	66	63	94	301	20	91
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	15	135	220	74	38		579	933	27	262	57	240
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	119	138	1,570	496	140	1,695		2,340	104	3,302	241	1,095
Livingstone, Kenneth R.	IND	292	461	6,689	746	324	8,120	9,471		434	4,452	868	6,296
Newland, Michael	BNP	9	22	34	23	134	59	71	101		323	12	147
Norris, Steven J.	CON	939	453	4,273	2,504	2,404	2,230	14,169	2,926	1,013		854	10,858
Tanna, Ashwinkumar	IND	5	4	24	29	9	34	54	74	5	68		22
Total		1,491	1,363	13,096	4,268	3,234	13,124	27,568	8,718	1,806	10,925	2,298	21,759
Both choices for same candidate		40	21	1,043	119	26	100	369	2,023	64	2,331	9	



London total

2004

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage	Candidates	Elected
Conservative	CON	562,048	31.2	14	9
Labour	LAB	444,808	24.7	14	5
Liberal Democrat	LD	332,237	18.4	14	_
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	181,147	10.0	14	_
Green	GRE	138,243	7.7	14	_
_					
Respect	R	82,301	4.6	14	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	43,323	2.4	14	_
Independent	IND	8,730	0.5	4	_
Residents' Association of London	REA	6,925	0.4	1	_
Third Way	TW	2,031	0.1	1	_
Communist Party	COMM	1,378	0.1	1	_
Total		1,803,171	100.0	105	14


Party	Code	Votes	Percentage	Candidates	Elected
Conservative	CON	526,707	33.2	14	8
Labour	LAB	501,296	31.6	14	6
Liberal Democrat	LD	299,998	18.9	14	_
Green	GRE	162,457	10.2	14	_
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	46,530	2.9	14	_
Independent Pro-Livingstone	IPL	24,499	1.5	2	_
Residents' Association	REA	12,831	0.8	1	_
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,115	0.1	1	_
Homeless and Addicted	HA	1,600	0.1	1	_
Independent Universal Justice	IUJ	1,501	0.1	1	_
More Freedom for the Motorist	MFM	1,465	0.1	1	_
Humanist	Н	1,261	0.1	1	_
Reform 2000	REF	1,144	0.1	1	_
Maharishi's Natural Programmes	MNP	1,081	0.1	1	_
Pro-Integrated Transport	PIT	1,049	0.1	1	_
Communist Party	COMM	536	0.0	1	
Total		1,586,070	100.0	82	14

Barnet and Camden Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local	authority area		Local	authority area
Candidate	Party	Barnet ⁻ and Camden	Barnet	Camden	Barnet and Camden	Barnet	Camden
Coleman, Brian J.	CON	47,640	36,122	11,518	35.3	41.5	24.0
Anderson, Lucy N.	LAB	36,121	22,482	13,639	26.7	25.8	28.4
Simpson, Jonathan A.	LD	23,603	13,094	10,509	17.5	15.0	21.9
Dunn, Miranda J.	GRE	11,921	5,736	6,185	8.8	6.6	12.9
Nielsen, Magnus	UKIP	8,685	6,115	2,570	6.4	7.0	5.4
Wheatley, Elisabeth J.	R	5,150	2,212	2,938	3.8	2.5	6.1
Heliotrope, Humberto L.D.	CPA	1,914	1,312	602	1.4	1.5	1.3
Total		135,034	87,073	47,961	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004



GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Coleman, Brian J.	CON	41,583	32.9
Gordon, Helen E.	LAB	41,032	32.5
Davis, Jonathan M.	LD	22,295	17.6
Dunn, Miranda J.	GRE	14,768	11.7
Unwin, Candy	LSA	3,488	2.8
Nielsen-Bewick, Magnus	UKIP	2,115	1.7
Derksen, Diane	MNP	1,081	0.9
Total		126,362	100.0


Bexley and Bromley Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
	_	Local authority area			Local au	thority area	
Candidate	Party	Bexley and Bromley	Bexley	Bromley	Bexley and Bromley	Bexley	Bromley
Neill, Robert J.M.	CON	64,246	24,393	39,853	40.4	37.9	42.2
Borrowman, Duncan K.	LD	29,992	8,581	21,411	18.9	13.3	22.7
Bennett, Heather A.	UKIP	26,703	13,894	12,809	16.8	21.6	13.6
Mansell, Charles J.	LAB	24,848	12,789	12,059	15.6	19.8	12.8
Garrett, Ann C.	GRE	8,069	2,902	5,167	5.1	4.5	5.5
Suit, Miranda E.	CPA	3,397	1,284	2,113	2.1	2.0	2.2
Morinan, Alun	R	1,673	593	1,080	1.1	0.9	1.1
Total		158,928	64,436	94,492	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Neill, Robert J.M.	CON	64,879	47.2
Mansell, Charles J.	LAB	30,320	22.1
Borrowman, Duncan K.	LD	29,710	21.6
Jardin, lan	GRE	11,124	8.1
Kysow, Jean	LSA	1,403	1.0
Total		137,436	100.0




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Brent and Harrow Constituency

2004

				Votes		1	Percentages
	_	Local authority area			Local au	thority area	
Candidate	Party	Brent and Harrow	Brent	Harrow	Brent and Harrow	Brent	Harrow
Blackman, Robert J.	CON	39,900	16,082	23,818	34.0	28.5	39.1
Harris, Toby	LAB	35,214	18,541	16,673	30.0	32.8	27.4
Hughes, Havard M.	LD	20,782	11,355	9,427	17.7	20.1	15.5
Moss, Daniel W.	UKIP	7,199	2,407	4,792	6.1	4.3	7.9
Ali, Mohammad S.	GRE	6,975	3,907	3,068	5.9	6.9	5.0
Harriott, Albert A.	R	4,586	2,911	1,675	3.9	5.2	2.8
Macaulay, Gladstone O.	CPA	2,734	1,308	1,426	2.3	2.3	2.3
Total		117,390	56,511	60,879	100.0	100.0	100.0



2000


Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Harris, Toby	LAB	36,675	37.6
Blackman, Robert J.	CON	32,295	33.1
Noyce, Christopher D.	LD	17,161	17.6
Aspis, Simone F.	GRE	8,756	9.0
Burnett, Austin J.	LSA	2,546	2.6
Total		97,433	100.0

City and East Constituency

2004

						Votes					Percentages
					Local at	uthority area				Local a	uthority area
Candidate	Party	City and East London	Barking and Dagenham	City of London	Newham	Tower Hamlets	City and East London	Barking and Dagenham	City of London	Newham	Tower Hamlets
Biggs, John R.	LAB	38,085	10,262	459	17,119	10,245	29.1	31.4	20.6	34.4	22.1
Choudhury, Shafi	CON	23,749	5,206	754	7,579	10,210	18.1	15.9	33.8	15.2	22.1
Rahman, Oliur	R	19,675	1,006	73	10,263	8,333	15.0	3.1	3.3	20.6	18.0
Burton, Guy J.S.	LD	18,255	3,894	513	5,045	8,803	13.9	11.9	23.0	10.1	19.0
Pratt, Christopher N.	UKIP	17,997	9,010	182	4,671	4,134	13.7	27.6	8.2	9.4	8.9
McGrenera, Terry	GRE	8,687	1,968	225	2,757	3,737	6.6	6.0	10.1	5.5	8.1
Gill, Christopher F.	CPA	4,461	1,334	24	2,310	793	3.4	4.1	1.1	4.6	1.7
Total		130,909	32,680	2,230	49,744	46,255	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004




Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Biggs, John R	LAB	45,387	45.9
Kamall, Syed S.	CON	19,266	19.5
Ludlow, Janet I.	LD	18,300	18.5
Howell, Peter W.	GRE	11,939	12.1
Boomla, Kambiz R.	LSA	3,908	4.0
Total		98,800	100.0

Croydon and Sutton Constituency

2004

				Votes		ı	Percentages
	=		Local au	ithority area		Local au	thority area
Candidate	Party	Croydon — and Sutton	Croydon	Sutton	Croydon and Sutton	Croydon	Sutton
Pelling, Andrew J.	CON	52,330	35,077	17,253	38.6	40.7	34.9
Gauge, Steven H.	LD	28,636	12,571	16,065	21.1	14.6	32.5
Fitzsimons, Sean E.	LAB	25,861	20,200	5,661	19.1	23.4	11.5
Feisenberger, James R.	UKIP	15,203	8,827	6,376	11.2	10.2	12.9
Khan, Shasha	GRE	6,175	4,244	1,931	4.6	4.9	3.9
Campanale, David B.	CPA	4,234	2,781	1,453	3.1	3.2	2.9
Hussain, Waqas	R	3,108	2,464	644	2.3	2.9	1.3
Total		135,547	86,164	49,383	100.0	100.0	100.0



2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Pelling, Andrew J.	CON	48,421	40.6
Gallop, Anna N.	LD	30,614	25.7
Mansell, Margaret R.	LAB	29,514	24.7
Hickson, Peter H.	GRE	8,884	7.4
Steel, Mark	LSA	1,823	1.5
Total		119,256	100.0

Ealing and Hillingdon Constituency


2004

				Votes			Percentages
	=	Local authority area			Local a	uthority area	
Candidate	Party	Ealing and Hillingdon	Ealing	Hillingdon	Ealing and Hillingdon	Ealing	Hillingdon
Barnes, Richard M.	CON	45,230	20,518	24,712	32.4	26.9	39.1
Singh, Gurcharan	LAB	34,214	22,256	11,958	24.5	29.1	18.9
Cox, Michael F.	LD	23,440	13,345	10,095	16.8	17.5	16.0
Malindine, David	UKIP	14,698	5,533	9,165	10.5	7.2	14.5
Edwards, Sarah J.	GRE	9,395	6,035	3,360	6.7	7.9	5.3
Chaudhry, Dalawar M.	IND	5,285	3,798	1,487	3.8	5.0	2.4
Dhillon, Salvinder S.	R	4,229	3,090	1,139	3.0	4.0	1.8
Hibbs, Genevieve M.	CPA	3,024	1,814	1,210	2.2	2.4	1.9
Total		139,515	76,389	63,126	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Barnes, Richard M.	CON	44,850	37.4
Singh, Gurcharan	LAB	38,038	31.7
Cox, Michael F.	LD	22,177	18.5
Lee, Graham J.	GRE	11,788	9.8
Grant, Nicholas J.	LSA	2,977	2.5
Total		119,830	100.0

2004




Page 104 The 2004 London Elections GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Enfield and Haringey Constituency

2004

					Votes			Percentages
		-		Local	authority area		Local a	authority area
Candidate		Party	Enfield and Tharingey	Enfield	Haringey	Enfield and Haringey	Enfield	Haringey
McCartne	y, Joanne	LAB	33,955	18,288	15,667	29.2	27.1	32.1
Forrest, Pe	eter J.	CON	32,381	24,187	8,194	27.9	35.9	16.8
Hoban, Wa	ayne	LD	19,720	7,942	11,778	17.0	11.8	24.1
Hall, Brian	J.	UKIP	10,652	8,492	2,160	9.2	12.6	4.4
Forbes, Ja	yne E.	GRE	10,310	4,145	6,165	8.9	6.2	12.6
Akgul, Sait	t	R	6,855	2,821	4,034	5.9	4.2	8.3
Wolstenho	lme, Peter H.	CPA	2,365	1,503	862	2.0	2.2	1.8
Total			116,238	67,378	48,860	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004



GLA Data Management and Analysis Group


Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Gavron, Felicia N.	LAB	34,509	32.2
Forrest, Peter J.	CON	31,207	29.2
Hooker, Sean J.	LD	14,319	13.4
Course, Richard A.	IPL	12,581	11.8
Budge, Peter	GRE	10,761	10.1
Bennett, Weyman E.	LSA	3,671	3.4
Total		107,048	100.0

Greenwich and Lewisham Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
	_		Local a	uthority area		Local a	uthority area
Candidate	Party ar	Greenwich - nd Lewisham	Greenwich	Lewisham	Greenwich and Lewisham	Greenwich	Lewisham
Duvall, Leonard L.	LAB	36,251	17,423	18,828	33.3	33.1	33.6
Bacon, Gareth A.	CON	22,168	12,067	10,101	20.4	22.9	18.0
Feakes, Alexander D.	LD	19,183	8,371	10,812	17.6	15.9	19.3
Reynolds, Timothy S.	UKIP	13,454	8,039	5,415	12.4	15.3	9.7
Luxton, Susan R.	GRE	11,271	4,338	6,933	10.4	8.2	12.4
Hammond, Stephen C.	CPA	3,619	1,605	2,014	3.3	3.0	3.6
Page, Ian G.	R	2,825	840	1,985	2.6	1.6	3.5
Total		108,771	52,683	56,088	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004




 Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Duvall, Leonard L.	LAB	40,386	42.6
Harris, Hugh R.	CON	22,401	23.6
Buxton, David C.	LD	16,290	17.2
Liddle, Terence S.	GRE	11,839	12.5
Page, lan G.	LSA	3,981	4.2
Total		94,897	100.0

Havering and Redbridge Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local authority area			Local authority area	
Candidate	Party	Havering and Redbridge	Havering	Redbridge	Havering and Redbridge	Havering	Redbridge
Evans, Jeremy R.	CON	44,723	22,262	22,461	34.6	34.8	34.4
Darvill, Keith E.	LAB	28,017	12,070	15,947	21.7	18.8	24.4
Webb, Lawrence J.	UKIP	18,297	11,478	6,819	14.1	17.9	10.4
Lake, Matthew E.	LD	13,646	4,832	8,814	10.5	7.5	13.5
Brown, Malvin P.	REA	6,925	6,470	455	5.4	10.1	0.7
Gunstock, Ashley	GRE	6,009	2,255	3,754	4.6	3.5	5.7
Jafar, Abdurahman A.	R	5,185	477	4,708	4.0	0.7	7.2
Hawkins, Juliet F.	CPA	2,917	1,148	1,769	2.3	1.8	2.7
Stephens, David A.G.	TW	2,031	1,932	99	1.6	3.0	0.2
Thorogood, Peter B.	IND	1,597	1,109	488	1.2	1.7	0.7
Total		129,347	64,033	65,314	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004



Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Evans, Jeremy R.	CON	40,919	37.5
Robbins, Christopher A.	LAB	32,650	30.0
Seeff, Geoffrey M.	LD	14,028	12.9
Wilkes, Ian H.	REA	12,831	11.8
Gunstock, Ashley	GRE	6,803	6.2
Taylor, George T.	LSA	1,744	1.6
Total		108,975	100.0

Lambeth and Southwark Constituency


2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local a	uthority area		Local a	uthority area
Candidate	Party	Lambeth and Southwark	Lambeth	Southwark	Lambeth and Southwark	Lambeth	Southwark
Shawcross, Valerie	LAB	36,280	19,377	16,903	31.7	32.4	31.0
Pidgeon, Caroline V.	LD	30,805	14,449	16,356	26.9	24.2	30.0
Gentry, Bernard A.R.	CON	17,380	10,267	7,113	15.2	17.2	13.0
Collins, Shane W.B.	GRE	11,901	6,986	4,915	10.4	11.7	9.0
Maloney, Francis	UKIP	8,777	3,743	5,034	7.7	6.3	9.2
Noble, Janet	R	4,930	2,860	2,070	4.3	4.8	3.8
Lawanson, Simisola C.O.	CPA	3,656	1,776	1,880	3.2	3.0	3.4
Baburam, Navindh	IND	608	319	289	0.5	0.5	0.5
Total		114,337	59,777	54,560	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Shawcross, Valerie	LAB	37,985	37.6
Facey, Peter J.	LD	22,492	22.3
Kimm, Irene A.	CON	19,238	19.1
Poorun, Storm S.	GRE	13,242	13.1
Bennett, Theresa	LSA	6,231	6.2
Robinson, Tony M.	Н	1,261	1.2
Silberman, Jonathan N.	COMM	536	0.5
Total		100,985	100.0

Page 108 The 2004 London Elections




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Merton and Wandsworth Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local	authority area		Local	authority area
Candidate	Party	Merton and Twandsworth	Merton	Wandsworth	Merton and Wandsworth	Merton	Wandsworth
Howlett, Elizabeth	CON	48,295	17,203	31,092	38.8	33.5	42.6
Smith, Kathryn A.	LAB	31,417	13,740	17,677	25.3	26.8	24.2
Martin, Andrew P.	LD	17,864	7,684	10,180	14.4	15.0	13.9
Vickery, Albert R.	GRE	10,163	3,880	6,283	8.2	7.6	8.6
Roberts, Adrian K.J.	UKIP	8,327	5,026	3,301	6.7	9.8	4.5
Maclean, Ruairidh J.D.	R	4,291	1,559	2,732	3.4	3.0	3.7
Greco, Ellen S.	CPA	2,782	1,390	1,392	2.2	2.7	1.9
Alagaratnam, Rathy	IND	1,240	842	398	1.0	1.6	0.5
Total		124,379	51,324	73,055	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004



GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Howlett, Elizabeth	CON	45,308	39.5
Cosin, Margaret I.	LAB	32,438	28.3
Vitelli, Siobhan M.	LD	12,496	10.9
Thompson, Mark A.	IPL	11,918	10.4
Thacker, Rejeev K.	GRE	8,491	7.4
Manzoor, Syed M.Y.	MFM	1,465	1.3
Mazumdar, Sarbani	LSA	1,450	1.3
Sullivan, Terence J.	PIT	1,049	0.9
Total		114,615	100.0


North East Constituency

2004

					Votes				Percentages
	=			Local a	uthority area			Local a	uthority area
Candidate	Party	North East	Hackney	Islington	Waltham Forest	North East	Hackney	Islington	Waltham Forest
Arnold, Jennette S.A.	LAB	37,380	13,389	10,723	13,268	29.1	34.5	29.1	25.0
Stacy, Terry	LD	24,042	5,680	9,022	9,340	18.7	14.6	24.4	17.6
Boff, Andrew	CON	23,264	5,849	5,152	12,263	18.1	15.1	14.0	23.1
Nott, Jon R.	GRE	16,739	6,207	5,881	4,651	13.0	16.0	15.9	8.8
Selby, Robert J.	UKIP	11,459	2,008	2,928	6,523	8.9	5.2	7.9	12.3
Ryan, Dean R.E.	R	11,184	4,023	2,131	5,030	8.7	10.4	5.8	9.5
Otchie, Andrew A.	CPA	3,219	1,093	680	1,446	2.5	2.8	1.8	2.7
Beavis, James I.	COMM	1,378	536	389	453	1.1	1.4	1.1	0.9
Total		128,665	38,785	36,906	52,974	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Hillier, Meg	LAB	42,459	36.1
Fox, Paul J.	LD	24,856	21.1
Ollerenshaw, Eric	CON	20,975	17.8
_			
Chong, Yen C.	GRE	18,382	15.6
Prosper, Cecilia	LSA	8,269	7.0
Shaer, Paul M.	IUJ	1,501	1.3
Basarik, Erol	REF	1,144	1.0
Total		117,586	100.0




South West Constituency

2004

					Votes				Percentages
	-			Local	authority area			Local	authority area
Candidate	Party	South West	Hounslow	Kingston upon Thames	Richmond upon Thames	South West	Hounslow	Kingston upon Thames	Richmond upon Thames
Arbour, Tony	CON	48,858	13,807	13,925	21,126	33.0	28.0	33.2	37.3
Doocey, Dee	LD	44,791	8,983	14,456	21,352	30.3	18.2	34.4	37.7
Malhotra, Seema	LAB	25,225	14,312	5,385	5,528	17.0	29.0	12.8	9.8
Hindle, Alan G.	UKIP	12,477	5,527	3,720	3,230	8.4	11.2	8.9	5.7
Maciejowska, Judy S.	GRE	9,866	3,316	2,479	4,071	6.7	6.7	5.9	7.2
Waraich, Omar M.	R	3,785	2,388	732	665	2.6	4.8	1.7	1.2
Flower, Peter J.	CPA	3,008	1,012	1,307	689	2.0	2.1	3.1	1.2
Total		148,010	49,345	42,004	56,661	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004



Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Arbour, Tony	CON	48,248	35.4
Pope, Geoffrey R.	LD	41,189	30.2
Sharma, Jagdish R.	LAB	31,065	22.8
Maciejowska, Judy S.	GRE	13,426	9.9
Faith, Daniel P.	LSA	2,319	1.7
Total		136,247	100.0


West Central Constituency


2004

					Votes				Percentages
	_			Local	authority area			Local	authority area
Candidate	Party	West Central	Hammersmith and Fulham	Kensington and Chelsea	City of Westminster	West Central	Hammersmith and Fulham	Kensington and Chelsea	City of Westminster
Bray, Angela L.	CON	51,884	15,296	17,266	19,322	44.7	38.6	51.7	44.9
Sodha, Ansuya V.	LAB	21,940	8,909	4,685	8,346	18.9	22.5	14.0	19.4
Fruzza, Francesco R.	LD	17,478	6,535	4,698	6,245	15.1	16.5	14.1	14.5
Stephenson, Julia C.	GRE	10,762	4,013	2,954	3,795	9.3	10.1	8.8	8.8
Hockney, Nicholas D.	UKIP	7,219	2,704	1,880	2,635	6.2	6.8	5.6	6.1
Cobham, Kevin B.	R	4,825	1,399	1,384	2,042	4.2	3.5	4.1	4.7
McLachlan, Jillian M.	CPA	1,993	810	526	657	1.7	2.0	1.6	1.5
Total		116,101	39,666	33,393	43,042	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000

Candidate	Party	Votes	Percentage
Bray, Angela L.	CON	47,117	44.2
Green, Kate	LAB	28,838	27.1
Burden, Jon	LD	14,071	13.2
Stephenson, Julia C.	GRE	12,254	11.5
		2.720	2.0
Blower, Christine	LSA	2,720	2.6
Blower, Christine Smith, Stephen	LSA HA	2,720 1,600	2.6 1.5





London total

2004

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage	Elected
Conservative	CON	533,696	28.5	_
Labour	LAB	468,247	25.0	2
Liberal Democrat	LD	316,218	16.9	5
Green	GRE	160,445	8.6	2
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	156,780	8.4	2
British National Party	BNP	90,365	4.8	_
Respect	R	87,533	4.7	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	54,914	2.9	_
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	4,968	0.3	
Total		1,873,166	100.0	11


Party	Code	Votes	Percentage	Elected
Labour	LAB	502,874	30.3	3
Conservative	CON	481,053	29.0	1
Liberal Democrat	LD	245,555	14.8	4
Green	GRE	183,910	11.1	3
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	55,192	3.3	_
British National Party	BNP	47,670	2.9	_
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	34,054	2.1	_
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	27,073	1.6	_
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	22,862	1.4	_
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	17,401	1.1	_
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	13,690	0.8	_
Pro-Motorist and Small Shop	PMSS	13,248	0.8	_
Natural Law Party	NLP	7,559	0.5	_
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	7,489	0.5	_
Total		1,659,630	100.0	11

Barnet and Camden Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
	=		Local at	thority area		Local a	uthority area
Party	Code	Barnet and — Camden	Barnet	Camden	Barnet and Camden	Barnet	Camden
Conservative	CON	45,751	34,432	11,319	32.8	38.5	22.7
Labour	LAB	34,967	21,818	13,149	25.1	24.4	26.4
Liberal Democrat	LD	23,643	13,407	10,236	17.0	15.0	20.5
Green	GRE	13,982	6,589	7,393	10.0	7.4	14.8
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	8,149	5,861	2,288	5.8	6.5	4.6
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	5,705	2,529	3,176	4.1	2.8	6.4
British National Party	BNP	4,152	2,754	1,398	3.0	3.1	2.8
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	2,704	1,920	784	1.9	2.1	1.6
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	314	215	99	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total		139,367	89,525	49,842	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004



GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Conservative	CON	37,795	29.4
Labour	LAB	37,352	29.1
Liberal Democrat	LD	19,376	15.1
Green	GRE	16,789	13.1
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,258	2.5
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	2,421	1.9
British National Party	BNP	2,217	1.7
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,037	1.6
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	1,908	1.5
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,517	1.2
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	1,381	1.1
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	1,115	0.9
Natural Law Party	NLP	677	0.5
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	632	0.5
Total		128,475	100.0


Bexley and Bromley Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
	-		Local au	uthority area		Local authority area	
Party	Code	Bexley and Bromley	Bexley	Bromley	Bexley and Bromley	Bexley	Bromley
Conservative	CON	60,773	22,689	38,084	37.6	34.5	39.8
Liberal Democrat	LD	26,585	8,065	18,520	16.5	12.3	19.3
Labour	LAB	26,283	12,963	13,320	16.3	19.7	13.9
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	21,991	10,775	11,216	13.6	16.4	11.7
British National Party	BNP	11,019	6,021	4,998	6.8	9.2	5.2
Green	GRE	8,621	2,941	5,680	5.3	4.5	5.9
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	4,420	1,647	2,773	2.7	2.5	2.9
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	1,662	566	1,096	1.0	0.9	1.1
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	133	59	74	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total		161,487	65,726	95,761	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Conservative	CON	59,019	41.8
Labour	LAB	29,776	21.1
Liberal Democrat	LD	23,302	16.5
Green	GRE	11,021	7.8
British National Party	BNP	5,060	3.6
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	4,621	3.3
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	3,746	2.7
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	1,167	0.8
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	759	0.5
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	721	0.5
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	701	0.5
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	656	0.5
Natural Law Party	NLP	443	0.3
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	321	0.2
Total		141,313	100.0




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Brent and Harrow Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
	_	Local authority area			Local au	thority area	
Party	Code	Brent and — Harrow	Brent	Harrow	Brent and Harrow	Brent	Harrow
Conservative	CON	38,198	15,624	22,574	31.1	25.9	36.2
Labour	LAB	36,995	20,260	16,735	30.1	33.5	26.8
Liberal Democrat	LD	20,249	11,194	9,055	16.5	18.5	14.5
Green	GRE	8,064	4,475	3,589	6.6	7.4	5.8
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	6,976	2,446	4,530	5.7	4.0	7.3
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	4,752	3,040	1,712	3.9	5.0	2.7
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,571	1,727	1,844	2.9	2.9	3.0
British National Party	BNP	3,457	1,344	2,113	2.8	2.2	3.4
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	572	311	261	0.5	0.5	0.4
Total		122,834	60,421	62,413	100.0	100.0	100.0



2000

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Labour	LAB	37,818	36.5
Conservative	CON	28,622	27.6
Liberal Democrat	LD	13,551	13.1
Green	GRE	9,763	9.4
!			
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,541	3.4
British National Party	BNP	1,955	1.9
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	1,943	1.9
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	1,299	1.3
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,267	1.2
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	1,068	1.0
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	975	0.9
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	816	0.8
Natural Law Party	NLP	545	0.5
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	534	0.5
Total		103,697	100.0


City and East London Constituency

2004

						Votes					Percentages
					Local a	uthority area				Local a	uthority area
Party	Code	City and East London	Barking and Dagenham	City of London	Newham	Tower Hamlets	City and East London	Barking and Dagenham	City of London	Newham	Tower Hamlets
Labour	LAB	39,296	10,146	430	18,294	10,426	27.9	29.0	19.0	34.1	20.8
Conservative	CON	22,710	5,402	771	6,939	9,598	16.1	15.5	34.1	12.9	19.2
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	21,795	1,043	85	11,168	9,499	15.5	3.0	3.8	20.8	19.0
Liberal Democrat	LD	17,526	3,318	445	4,875	8,888	12.4	9.5	19.7	9.1	17.8
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	12,800	6,116	141	3,477	3,066	9.1	17.5	6.2	6.5	6.1
British National Party	BNP	11,434	5,820	69	2,788	2,757	8.1	16.7	3.1	5.2	5.5
Green	GRE	9,384	1,602	282	3,097	4,403	6.7	4.6	12.5	5.8	8.8
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	5,286	1,380	36	2,723	1,147	3.8	3.9	1.6	5.1	2.3
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	703	113	3	313	274	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.5
Total		140,934	34,940	2,262	53,674	50,058	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000


Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Labour	LAB	44,329	40.4
Conservative	CON	19,116	17.4
Liberal Democrat	LD	12,526	11.4
Green	GRE	10,079	9.2
British National Party	BNP	7,763	7.
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	4,001	3.
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,977	2.
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	1,844	1.
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	1,835	1.
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,710	1.
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	1,149	1.
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	818	0.
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	784	0.
Natural Law Party	NLP	672	0.
Total		109,603	100.0



Croydon and Sutton Constituency

2004

				Votes		F	Percentages
	=		Local au	thority area		Local authority area	
Party	Code	Croydon — and Sutton	Croydon	Sutton	Croydon and Sutton	Croydon	Sutton
Conservative	CON	47,226	31,165	16,061	33.9	35.1	32.0
Labour	LAB	27,636	21,124	6,512	19.9	23.8	13.0
Liberal Democrat	LD	26,421	12,531	13,890	19.0	14.1	27.6
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	14,262	8,470	5,792	10.3	9.5	11.5
Green	GRE	8,233	5,633	2,600	5.9	6.3	5.2
British National Party	BNP	6,699	3,888	2,811	4.8	4.4	5.6
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	5,463	3,537	1,926	3.9	4.0	3.8
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	3,001	2,370	631	2.2	2.7	1.3
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	167	125	42	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total		139,108	88,843	50,265	100.0	100.0	100.0



2000

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Conservative	CON	43,666	35.4
Labour	LAB	29,221	23.7
Liberal Democrat	LD	23,837	19.3
Green	GRE	9,658	7.8
Christian Danalas Allianas	CPA	C 020	4.9
Christian Peoples Alliance	0.71	6,039	
British National Party	BNP	3,206	2.6
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,902	2.3
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	1,028	0.8
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	907	0.7
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	803	0.7
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	779	0.6
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	675	0.5
Natural Law Party	NLP	440	0.4
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	354	0.3
Total		123,515	100.0

Ealing and Hillingdon Constituency


2004

				Votes			Percentages
	_		Local a	uthority area		Local authority area	
Party	Code	Ealing and Hillingdon	Ealing	Hillingdon	Ealing and Hillingdon	Ealing	Hillingdon
Conservative	CON	41,402	19,685	21,717	28.8	24.7	33.8
Labour	LAB	39,021	25,173	13,848	27.1	31.6	21.6
Liberal Democrat	LD	21,571	12,890	8,681	15.0	16.2	13.5
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	13,078	5,184	7,894	9.1	6.5	12.3
Green	GRE	10,444	6,870	3,574	7.3	8.6	5.6
British National Party	BNP	8,118	2,695	5,423	5.6	3.4	8.4
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	5,761	4,360	1,401	4.0	5.5	2.2
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,813	2,299	1,514	2.7	2.9	2.4
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	647	473	174	0.5	0.6	0.3
Total		143,855	79,629	64,226	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Labour	LAB	40,551	32.7
Conservative	CON	38,191	30.8
Liberal Democrat	LD	16,575	13.4
Green	GRE	11,863	9.6
_			
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,846	3.1
British National Party	BNP	3,823	3.1
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,387	1.9
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,474	1.2
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	1,261	1.0
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	1,067	0.9
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	973	0.8
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	950	0.8
Natural Law Party	NLP	531	0.4
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	529	0.4
Total		124,021	100.0

2004



Page 120 The 2004 London Elections


GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Enfield and Haringey Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages	
	-		Local authority area			Local authority area		
Party	Code	Enfield and Haringey	Enfield	Haringey	Enfield and Haringey	Enfield	Haringey	
Labour	LAB	34,903	17,833	17,070	28.8	25.8	32.9	
Conservative	CON	31,240	22,956	8,284	25.8	33.2	16.0	
Liberal Democrat	LD	19,730	8,379	11,351	16.3	12.1	21.9	
Green	GRE	11,470	4,496	6,974	9.5	6.5	13.5	
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	8,725	6,732	1,993	7.2	9.7	3.8	
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	6,221	2,355	3,866	5.1	3.4	7.5	
British National Party	BNP	5,158	4,259	899	4.3	6.2	1.7	
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,376	2,096	1,280	2.8	3.0	2.5	
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	252	119	133	0.2	0.2	0.3	
Total		121,075	69,225	51,850	100.0	100.0	100.0	

2004



GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Labour	LAB	37,191	32.9
Conservative	CON	29,807	26.4
Green	GRE	14,673	13.0
Liberal Democrat	LD	13,824	12.2
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,277	2.9
British National Party	BNP	2,634	2.3
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	2,564	2.3
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,278	2.0
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	1,803	1.6
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,424	1.3
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	1,213	1.1
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	895	0.8
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	718	0.6
Natural Law Party	NLP	571	0.5
Total		112,872	100.0


Greenwich and Lewisham Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local a	uthority area		Local a	authority area
Party	Code	Greenwich and Lewisham	Greenwich	Lewisham	Greenwich and Lewisham	Greenwich	Lewisham
Labour	LAB	35,559	16,099	19,460	31.5	29.7	33.1
Conservative	CON	20,740	10,998	9,742	18.4	20.3	16.6
Liberal Democrat	LD	17,908	7,920	9,988	15.9	14.6	17.0
Green	GRE	12,293	4,800	7,493	10.9	8.9	12.8
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	11,064	6,401	4,663	9.8	11.8	7.9
British National Party	BNP	7,230	4,567	2,663	6.4	8.4	4.5
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	4,193	1,785	2,408	3.7	3.3	4.1
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	3,708	1,482	2,226	3.3	2.7	3.8
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	230	114	116	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total		112,925	54,166	58,759	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Labour	LAB	37,200	37.0
Conservative	CON	20,450	20.3
Green	GRE	13,269	13.2
Liberal Democrat	LD	12,704	12.6
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,729	3.7
British National Party	BNP	3,487	3.5
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	2,274	2.3
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,117	2.1
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	1,592	1.6
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	1,215	1.2
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	903	0.9
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	798	0.8
Natural Law Party	NLP	464	0.5
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	390	0.4
Total		100,592	100.0




Havering and Redbridge Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local a	authority area		Local	authority area
Party	Code	Havering and Redbridge	Havering	Redbridge	Havering and Redbridge	Havering	Redbridge
Conservative	CON	44,052	22,587	21,465	33.1	34.8	31.5
Labour	LAB	28,456	11,517	16,939	21.4	17.7	24.9
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	18,298	11,967	6,331	13.8	18.4	9.3
Liberal Democrat	LD	15,069	6,108	8,961	11.3	9.4	13.2
British National Party	BNP	10,928	7,280	3,648	8.2	11.2	5.4
Green	GRE	7,260	3,146	4,114	5.5	4.8	6.0
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	4,925	550	4,375	3.7	0.8	6.4
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,746	1,680	2,066	2.8	2.6	3.0
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	246	70	176	0.2	0.1	0.3
Total		132,980	64,905	68,075	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004



Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Conservative	CON	40,350	36.0
Labour	LAB	32,717	29.2
Liberal Democrat	LD	13,691	12.2
Green	GRE	8,280	7.4
British National Party	BNP	5,170	4.6
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,658	3.3
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,974	2.7
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,087	1.0
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	967	0.9
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	939	0.8
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	740	0.7
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	678	0.6
Natural Law Party	NLP	384	0.3
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	345	0.3
Total		111,980	100.0

Lambeth and Southwark Constituency


2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local	authority area		Local	authority area
Party	Code	Lambeth and Southwark	Lambeth	Southwark	Lambeth and Southwark	Lambeth	Southwark
Labour	LAB	38,487	20,045	18,442	31.8	31.9	31.7
Liberal Democrat	LD	29,391	13,746	15,645	24.3	21.9	26.9
Conservative	CON	18,613	10,735	7,878	15.4	17.1	13.5
Green	GRE	15,010	8,758	6,252	12.4	13.9	10.7
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	6,478	2,946	3,532	5.3	4.7	6.1
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	5,092	3,011	2,081	4.2	4.8	3.6
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	4,382	2,279	2,103	3.6	3.6	3.6
British National Party	BNP	3,491	1,281	2,210	2.9	2.0	3.8
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	207	111	96	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total		121,151	62,912	58,239	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Labour	LAB	35,957	33.8
Liberal Democrat	LD	18,065	17.0
Conservative	CON	17,245	16.2
Green	GRE	16,130	15.2
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	4,237	4.0
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	3,305	3.1
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	3,241	3.1
British National Party	BNP	2,412	2.3
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	1,700	1.6
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,264	1.2
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	1,123	1.1
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	705	0.7
Natural Law Party	NLP	507	0.5
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	486	0.5
Total		106,377	100.0

Page 124 The 2004 London Elections




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Merton and Wandsworth Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local	authority area		Local authority	
Party	Code	Merton and Wandsworth	Merton	Wandsworth	Merton and Wandsworth	Merton	Wandsworth
Conservative	CON	42,984	15,502	27,482	33.5	29.3	36.5
Labour	LAB	33,428	14,640	18,788	26.1	27.6	25.0
Liberal Democrat	LD	18,760	7,885	10,875	14.6	14.9	14.5
Green	GRE	12,619	4,603	8,016	9.8	8.7	10.7
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	7,785	4,411	3,374	6.1	8.3	4.5
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	4,439	1,583	2,856	3.5	3.0	3.8
British National Party	BNP	4,200	2,470	1,730	3.3	4.7	2.3
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,795	1,816	1,979	3.0	3.4	2.6
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	228	88	140	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total		128,238	52,998	75,240	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004



GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Conservative	CON	38,122	33.0
Labour	LAB	34,167	29.6
Liberal Democrat	LD	14,199	12.3
Green	GRE	13,631	11.8
_			
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,969	3.4
British National Party	BNP	2,176	1.9
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,122	1.8
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	1,703	1.5
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,393	1.2
_			
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	1,264	1.1
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	863	0.7
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	843	0.7
Natural Law Party	NLP	494	0.4
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	441	0.4
Total		115,387	100.0


North East Constituency

2004

					Votes				Percentages
	-			Local at	thority area			Local a	uthority area
Party	Code	North East	Hackney	Islington	Waltham Forest	North East	Hackney	Islington	Waltham Forest
Labour	LAB	38,958	14,351	10,793	13,814	28.7	34.8	28.0	24.6
Liberal Democrat	LD	24,112	5,816	8,673	9,623	17.8	14.1	22.5	17.2
Conservative	CON	23,283	6,000	5,423	11,860	17.1	14.6	14.1	21.2
Green	GRE	18,736	6,905	6,604	5,227	13.8	16.7	17.1	9.3
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	11,510	4,023	2,278	5,209	8.5	9.8	5.9	9.3
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	9,389	1,712	2,450	5,227	6.9	4.2	6.4	9.3
British National Party	BNP	5,538	963	1,338	3,237	4.1	2.3	3.5	5.8
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,884	1,283	892	1,709	2.9	3.1	2.3	3.0
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	432	174	97	161	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3
Total		135,842	41,227	38,548	56,067	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2000


Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Labour	LAB	43,382	33.9
Conservative	CON	20,923	16.3
Green	GRE	20,449	16.0
Liberal Democrat	LD	19,790	15.5
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	5,556	4.3
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,869	3.0
British National Party	BNP	3,515	2.7
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	2,981	2.3
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,156	1.7
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,572	1.2
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	1,435	1.1
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	979	0.8
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	817	0.6
Natural Law Party	NLP	644	0.5
Total		128,068	100.0



South West Constituency

2004

					Votes				Percentages
	=			Local	authority area			Local	authority area
Party	Code	South West	Hounslow	Kingston upon Thames	Richmond upon Thames	South West	Hounslow	Kingston upon Thames	Richmond upon Thames
Conservative	CON	46,157	13,244	13,260	19,653	30.4	25.5	30.9	34.4
Liberal Democrat	LD	37,500	8,280	12,471	16,749	24.7	15.9	29.1	29.3
Labour	LAB	29,687	15,106	6,642	7,939	19.5	29.1	15.5	13.9
Green	GRE	12,895	3,847	3,205	5,843	8.5	7.4	7.5	10.2
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	11,509	4,565	3,460	3,484	7.6	8.8	8.1	6.1
British National Party	BNP	5,719	2,824	1,477	1,418	3.8	5.4	3.4	2.5
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	4,212	2,491	832	889	2.8	4.8	1.9	1.6
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,713	1,170	1,474	1,069	2.4	2.3	3.4	1.9
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	569	444	68	57	0.4	0.9	0.2	0.1
Total		151,961	51,971	42,889	57,101	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0



2000

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Conservative	CON	43,258	30.6
Labour	LAB	35,538	25.1
Liberal Democrat	LD	31,585	22.3
Green	GRE	14,966	10.6
!			
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	4,115	2.9
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	2,772	2.0
British National Party	BNP	2,625	1.9
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	1,257	0.9
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	1,251	0.9
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,089	0.8
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	1,029	0.7
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	906	0.6
Natural Law Party	NLP	583	0.4
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	459	0.3
Total		141,433	100.0


West Central Constituency


2004

					Votes			Percentages		
	_			Local	authority area			Local	authority area	
Party	Code	West Central	Hammersmith and Fulham	Kensington and Chelsea	City of Westminster	West Central	Hammersmith and Fulham	Kensington and Chelsea	City of Westminster	
Conservative	CON	50,567	14,847	16,870	18,850	41.7	35.7	48.9	41.6	
Labour	LAB	24,571	10,028	5,228	9,315	20.2	24.1	15.1	20.6	
Liberal Democrat	LD	17,753	6,562	4,759	6,432	14.6	15.8	13.8	14.2	
Green	GRE	11,434	4,264	3,044	4,126	9.4	10.3	8.8	9.1	
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	6,276	2,260	1,670	2,346	5.2	5.4	4.8	5.2	
Respect - The Unity Coalition	R	4,750	1,348	1,394	2,008	3.9	3.2	4.0	4.4	
British National Party	BNP	3,222	1,233	769	1,220	2.7	3.0	2.2	2.7	
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	2,568	971	717	880	2.1	2.3	2.1	1.9	
Alliance for Diversity in Community	ADC	268	80	73	115	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	
Total		121,409	41,593	34,524	45,292	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

2000

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage
Conservative	CON	44,489	39.6
Labour	LAB	27,675	24.6
Green	GRE	13,339	11.9
Liberal Democrat	LD	12,530	11.2
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,032	2.7
Independent (Tatchell, Peter G.)	IND	2,260	2.0
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	1,943	1.7
British National Party	BNP	1,627	1.4
London Socialist Alliance	LSA	1,439	1.3
Campaign Against Tube Privatisation	CATP	1,266	1.1
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	789	0.7
Pro-motorist and small shop	PMSS	787	0.7
Natural Law Party	NLP	604	0.5
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	517	0.5
Total		112,297	100.0





London total

2004

F	Party	Code	Vote	Percentage	Elected
	Conservative	CON	504,941	26.8	3
	Labour	LAB	466,584	24.7	3
L	Liberal Democrat	LD	288,790	15.3	1
l	United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	232,633	12.3	1
	Green	GRE	158,986	8.4	1
F	Respect	R	91,175	4.8	_
E	British National Party	BNP	76,152	4.0	_
(Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	45,038	2.4	_
E	English Democrats Party	ED	15,945	0.8	_
F	Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	5,205	0.3	_
	Total		1,885,449	100.0	9


Party	Code	Vote	Percentage	Elected
Labour	LAB	399,466	35.0	4
Conservative	CON	372,989	32.7	4
Liberal Democrat	LD	133,058	11.7	1
Green	GRE	87,545	7.7	1
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	61,741	5.4	_
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	19,632	1.7	_
British National Party	BNP	17,960	1.6	_
Liberal Party	LIB	16,951	1.5	_
Pro Euro Conservative Party	PECON	16,383	1.4	_
Independent (Hajifanis, George)	IND	4,851	0.4	_
Independent (Basarik, Erol)	IND	2,596	0.2	_
The Humanist Party	Н	2,586	0.2	_
Independent (Webster, Gordon C.)	IND	2,358	0.2	_
Natural Law Party	NLP	2,263	0.2	_
Weekly Worker	WW	846	0.1	_
Total		1,141,225	100.0	10

Barnet and Camden Constituency

2004

						Percentages	
			Local a	uthority area		Local au	thority area
Party	Code	Barnet — and Camden	Barnet	Camden	Barnet and Camden	Barnet	Camden
Conservative	CON	44,015	33,298	10,717	31.6	37.0	21.7
Labour	LAB	34,370	21,478	12,892	24.7	23.9	26.1
Liberal Democrat	LD	21,507	11,895	9,612	15.4	13.2	19.5
Green	GRE	13,805	6,649	7,156	9.9	7.4	14.5
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	13,080	9,422	3,658	9.4	10.5	7.4
Respect	R	5,738	2,553	3,185	4.1	2.8	6.5
British National Party	BNP	3,315	2,212	1,103	2.4	2.5	2.2
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	2,188	1,566	622	1.6	1.7	1.3
English Democrats Party	ED	939	622	317	0.7	0.7	0.6
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	295	186	109	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total		139,252	89,881	49,371	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004




Page 132 The 2004 London Elections GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Bexley and Bromley Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
	=		Local	authority area		Local authority area	
Party	Code	Bexley and Bromley	Bexley	Bromley	Bexley and Bromley	Bexley	Bromley
Conservative	CON	57,206	21,167	36,039	35.0	31.8	37.2
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	32,167	14,810	17,357	19.7	22.2	17.9
Labour	LAB	25,675	12,566	13,109	15.7	18.9	13.5
Liberal Democrat	LD	23,308	6,980	16,328	14.3	10.5	16.9
British National Party	BNP	9,409	5,228	4,181	5.8	7.9	4.3
Green	GRE	8,665	2,898	5,767	5.3	4.4	6.0
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,551	1,364	2,187	2.2	2.0	2.3
Respect	R	1,611	559	1,052	1.0	0.8	1.1
English Democrats Party	ED	1,577	855	722	1.0	1.3	0.7
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	279	137	142	0.2	0.2	0.1
Total		163,448	66,564	96,884	100.0	100.0	100.0




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Brent and Harrow Constituency

2004


				Votes			Percentages
	-		Local au	thority area		Local authority area	
Party	Code	Brent and — Harrow	Brent	Harrow	Brent and Harrow	Brent	Harrow
Labour	LAB	37,293	20,504	16,789	30.0	33.4	26.6
Conservative	CON	36,486	14,859	21,627	29.3	24.2	34.2
Liberal Democrat	LD	19,182	10,810	8,372	15.4	17.6	13.3
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	10,838	3,910	6,928	8.7	6.4	11.0
Green	GRE	8,039	4,500	3,539	6.5	7.3	5.6
Respect	R	5,295	3,372	1,923	4.3	5.5	3.0
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,094	1,607	1,487	2.5	2.6	2.4
British National Party	BNP	2,806	1,071	1,735	2.3	1.7	2.7
English Democrats Party	ED	1,008	456	552	0.8	0.7	0.9
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	453	254	199	0.4	0.4	0.3
Total		124,494	61,343	63,151	100.0	100.0	100.0



City and East Constituency

2004


						Votes					Percentages	
		Local authority area								Local authority area		
Party	Code	City and East London	Barking and Dagenham	City of London	Newham	Tower Hamlets	City and East London	Barking and Dagenham	City of London	Newham	Tower Hamlets	
Labour	LAB	38,571	9,947	443	18,078	10,103	26.9	28.0	19.9	33.2	19.8	
Respect	R	23,530	1,052	83	11,784	10,611	16.4	3.0	3.7	21.7	20.8	
Conservative	CON	22,538	5,384	707	6,924	9,523	15.7	15.1	31.7	12.7	18.6	
Liberal Democrat	LD	16,630	3,063	410	4,626	8,531	11.6	8.6	18.4	8.5	16.7	
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	16,307	7,500	242	4,370	4,195	11.4	21.1	10.8	8.0	8.2	
British National Party	BNP	10,089	5,266	47	2,414	2,362	7.0	14.8	2.1	4.4	4.6	
Green	GRE	8,807	1,479	258	2,876	4,194	6.1	4.2	11.6	5.3	8.2	
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	4,594	1,273	22	2,504	795	3.2	3.6	1.0	4.6	1.6	
English Democrats Party	ED	1,639	453	15	551	620	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.0	1.2	
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	636	167	4	269	196	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.4	
Total		143,341	35,584	2,231	54,396	51,130	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	



Croydon and Sutton Constituency

2004


				Votes		F	Percentages
	-		Local au	thority area		Local authority area	
Party	Code	Croydon and Sutton	Croydon	Sutton	Croydon and Sutton	Croydon	Sutton
Conservative	CON	44,086	28,650	15,436	31.4	31.9	30.4
Labour	LAB	27,215	20,887	6,328	19.4	23.3	12.4
Liberal Democrat	LD	23,981	11,652	12,329	17.1	13.0	24.2
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	22,293	13,320	8,973	15.9	14.8	17.6
Green	GRE	8,297	5,659	2,638	5.9	6.3	5.2
British National Party	BNP	5,610	3,231	2,379	4.0	3.6	4.7
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	4,401	2,932	1,469	3.1	3.3	2.9
Respect	R	3,072	2,437	635	2.2	2.7	1.2
English Democrats Party	ED	1,326	753	573	0.9	0.8	1.1
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	322	225	97	0.2	0.3	0.2
Total		140,603	89,746	50,857	100.0	100.0	100.0



Ealing and Hillingdon Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
	-		Local a	uthority area		Local authority area	
Party	Code	Ealing and — Hillingdon	Ealing	Hillingdon	Ealing and Hillingdon	Ealing	Hillingdon
Labour	LAB	40,156	25,964	14,192	27.6	32.4	21.7
Conservative	CON	38,942	18,485	20,457	26.8	23.1	31.3
Liberal Democrat	LD	19,608	11,929	7,679	13.5	14.9	11.8
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	19,167	7,743	11,424	13.2	9.7	17.5
Green	GRE	9,835	6,553	3,282	6.8	8.2	5.0
British National Party	BNP	6,772	2,167	4,605	4.7	2.7	7.1
Respect	R	5,864	4,419	1,445	4.0	5.5	2.2
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,062	1,886	1,176	2.1	2.4	1.8
English Democrats Party	ED	1,508	676	832	1.0	0.8	1.3
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	445	280	165	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total		145,359	80,102	65,257	100.0	100.0	100.0




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Enfield and Haringey Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
	=		Local a	uthority area		Local authority area	
Party	Code	Enfield and Haringey	Enfield	Haringey	Enfield and Haringey	Enfield	Haringey
Labour	LAB	34,766	17,564	17,202	28.5	25.2	32.9
Conservative	CON	29,945	21,823	8,122	24.5	31.3	15.6
Liberal Democrat	LD	17,552	7,686	9,866	14.4	11.0	18.9
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	13,000	9,788	3,212	10.7	14.0	6.2
Green	GRE	11,877	4,413	7,464	9.7	6.3	14.3
Respect	R	6,509	2,463	4,046	5.3	3.5	7.7
British National Party	BNP	4,341	3,573	768	3.6	5.1	1.5
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	2,836	1,720	1,116	2.3	2.5	2.1
English Democrats Party	ED	908	608	300	0.7	0.9	0.6
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	298	180	118	0.2	0.3	0.2
Total		122,032	69,818	52,214	100.0	100.0	100.0

2004




Page 138 The 2004 London Elections

GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Greenwich and Lewisham Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
	-		Local a	uthority area		Local a	uthority area
Party	Greenwich — Code and Lewisham		Greenwich	Lewisham	Greenwich and Lewisham	Greenwich	Lewisham
Labour	LAB	35,187	15,780	19,407	30.9	29.0	32.7
Conservative	CON	20,091	10,428	9,663	17.7	19.2	16.3
Liberal Democrat	LD	16,410	7,210	9,200	14.4	13.3	15.5
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	14,922	8,470	6,452	13.1	15.6	10.9
Green	GRE	12,183	4,694	7,489	10.7	8.6	12.6
British National Party	BNP	6,074	3,908	2,166	5.3	7.2	3.6
Respect	R	3,708	1,498	2,210	3.3	2.8	3.7
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,597	1,502	2,095	3.2	2.8	3.5
English Democrats Party	ED	1,158	664	494	1.0	1.2	0.8
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	370	175	195	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total		113,700	54,329	59,371	100.0	100.0	100.0




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Havering and Redbridge Constituency

2004


				Votes			Percentages
			Local a	authority area		Local a	uthority area
Party	Code	Havering and Redbridge	Havering	Redbridge	Havering and Redbridge	Havering	Redbridge
Conservative	CON	42,037	21,501	20,536	31.1	32.6	29.6
Labour	LAB	28,062	11,154	16,908	20.7	16.9	24.4
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	25,393	15,832	9,561	18.8	24.0	13.8
Liberal Democrat	LD	13,478	5,415	8,063	10.0	8.2	11.6
British National Party	BNP	9,229	6,309	2,920	6.8	9.6	4.2
Green	GRE	7,054	2,962	4,092	5.2	4.5	5.9
Respect	R	5,361	537	4,824	4.0	0.8	7.0
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,033	1,306	1,727	2.2	2.0	2.5
English Democrats Party	ED	1,324	799	525	1.0	1.2	0.8
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	375	219	156	0.3	0.3	0.2
Total		135,346	66,034	69,312	100.0	100.0	100.0



Lambeth and Southwark Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local a	authority area		Local a	uthority area
Party	Code	Lambeth and Southwark	Lambeth	Southwark	Lambeth and Southwark	Lambeth	Southwark
Labour	LAB	38,743	20,225	18,518	31.9	32.1	31.7
Liberal Democrat	LD	26,261	12,358	13,903	21.6	19.6	23.8
Conservative	CON	18,378	10,378	8,000	15.1	16.5	13.7
Green	GRE	15,280	8,802	6,478	12.6	14.0	11.1
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	9,852	4,647	5,205	8.1	7.4	8.9
Respect	R	5,128	3,075	2,053	4.2	4.9	3.5
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,786	1,936	1,850	3.1	3.1	3.2
British National Party	BNP	2,881	1,051	1,830	2.4	1.7	3.1
English Democrats Party	ED	836	392	444	0.7	0.6	0.8
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	387	211	176	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total		121,532	63,075	58,457	100.0	100.0	100.0




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Merton and Wandsworth Constituency

2004

				Votes			Percentages
			Local	authority area		Local	authority area
Party	Code	Merton and Wandsworth	Merton	Wandsworth	Merton and Wandsworth	Merton	Wandsworth
Conservative	CON	39,790	14,578	25,212	30.8	27.3	33.2
Labour	LAB	34,035	14,692	19,343	26.3	27.6	25.5
Liberal Democrat	LD	17,812	7,195	10,617	13.8	13.5	14.0
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	13,013	6,786	6,227	10.1	12.7	8.2
Green	GRE	12,421	4,427	7,994	9.6	8.3	10.5
Respect	R	4,658	1,651	3,007	3.6	3.1	4.0
British National Party	BNP	3,590	2,100	1,490	2.8	3.9	2.0
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	2,874	1,366	1,508	2.2	2.6	2.0
English Democrats Party	ED	798	387	411	0.6	0.7	0.5
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	298	128	170	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total		129,289	53,310	75,979	100.0	100.0	100.0




Page 142 The 2004 London Elections GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

North East Constituency

2004

					Votes				Percentages
	-			Local au	thority area			Local au	ıthority area
		_			Waltham	_			Waltham
Party	Code	North East	Hackney	Islington	Forest	North East	Hackney	Islington	Forest
Labour	LAB	38,465	14,253	10,657	13,555	28.2	34.6	27.8	23.9
Liberal Democrat	LD	22,486	5,538	8,067	8,881	16.5	13.4	21.1	15.6
Conservative	CON	22,233	5,866	5,241	11,126	16.3	14.2	13.7	19.6
Green	GRE	18,703	6,837	6,360	5,506	13.7	16.6	16.6	9.7
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	13,141	2,338	3,495	7,308	9.6	5.7	9.1	12.9
Respect	R	11,752	4,026	2,258	5,468	8.6	9.8	5.9	9.6
British National Party	BNP	4,657	825	1,091	2,741	3.4	2.0	2.8	4.8
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	3,281	1,102	716	1,463	2.4	2.7	1.9	2.6
English Democrats Party	ED	1,137	295	309	533	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	407	133	107	167	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total		136,262	41,213	38,301	56,748	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

South West Constituency

2004


					Votes				Percentages
	-			Local	authority area			Local	authority area
Party	Code	South West	Hounslow	Kingston upon Thames	Richmond upon Thames	South West	Hounslow	Kingston upon Thames	Richmond upon Thames
Conservative	CON	43,978	12,632	12,543	18,803	28.8	24.2	29.1	32.9
Liberal Democrat	LD	34,119	7,728	11,415	14,976	22.4	14.8	26.5	26.2
Labour	LAB	29,776	15,064	6,556	8,156	19.5	28.9	15.2	14.3
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	18,343	6,420	5,730	6,193	12.0	12.3	13.3	10.8
Green	GRE	13,147	3,813	3,336	5,998	8.6	7.3	7.7	10.5
British National Party	BNP	4,740	2,371	1,195	1,174	3.1	4.5	2.8	2.1
Respect	R	4,157	2,519	800	838	2.7	4.8	1.9	1.5
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	2,821	1,011	1,085	725	1.8	1.9	2.5	1.3
English Democrats Party	ED	1,076	443	374	259	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.5
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	331	185	74	72	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1
Total		152,488	52,186	43,108	57,194	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0



West Central Constituency

2004

					Votes				Percentages
	_			Local	authority area			Local	authority area
Party	Code	West Central	Hammersmith and Fulham	Kensington and Chelsea	City of Westminster	West Central	Hammersmith and Fulham	Kensington and Chelsea	City of Westminster
Conservative	CON	45,216	13,784	14,709	16,723	38.2	33.6	44.3	37.9
Labour	LAB	24,270	9,882	5,319	9,069	20.5	24.1	16.0	20.6
Liberal Democrat	LD	16,456	5,955	4,420	6,081	13.9	14.5	13.3	13.8
United Kingdom Independence Party	UKIP	11,117	3,733	3,132	4,252	9.4	9.1	9.4	9.6
Green	GRE	10,873	4,148	2,834	3,891	9.2	10.1	8.5	8.8
Respect	R	4,792	1,347	1,400	2,045	4.1	3.3	4.2	4.6
British National Party	BNP	2,639	1,066	597	976	2.2	2.6	1.8	2.2
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	1,920	753	492	675	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.5
English Democrats Party	ED	711	234	188	289	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
Peoples Party for Better Government	PPBG	309	121	82	106	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
Total		118,303	41,023	33,173	44,107	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0



GLA Data Management and Analysis Group



Turnout, 2004

										Election
		Mayoral	(1st choice)	Assembly	constituency	,	Assembly list		European Pa	arliamentary
Constituency	Assembly electorate	Ballot papers in the count	% poll	Ballot papers in the count	% poll	Ballot papers in the count	% poll	Electorate	Ballot papers in the count	% poll
Barnet and Camden	371,248	142,491	38.4	142,571	38.4	142,571	38.4	360,067	140,634	39.1
Bexley and Bromley	397,075	164,715	41.5	164,694	41.5	164,694	41.5	395,114	164,421	41.6
Brent and Harrow	332,723	126,343	38.0	126,531	38.0	126,531	38.0	323,722	125,821	38.9
City and East London	437,309	146,081	33.4	146,196	33.4	146,196	33.4	427,872	145,334	34.0
Croydon and Sutton	376,175	141,969	37.7	142,274	37.8	142,274	37.8	372,497	141,642	38.0
Ealing and Hillingdon	397,453	148,183	37.3	148,230	37.3	148,230	37.3	386,823	146,865	38.0
Enfield and Haringey	343,563	124,135	36.1	124,191	36.1	124,191	36.1	334,228	123,163	36.8
Greenwich and Lewisham	329,450	115,628	35.1	115,637	35.1	115,637	35.1	324,621	114,707	35.3
Havering and Redbridge	350,651	136,584	39.0	136,618	39.0	136,618	39.0	349,113	136,372	39.1
Lambeth and Southwark	373,294	124,492	33.3	124,622	33.4	124,622	33.4	359,597	122,873	34.2
Merton and Wandsworth	340,792	131,371	38.5	131,372	38.5	131,372	38.5	332,504	130,428	39.2
North East	410,719	139,306	33.9	139,363	33.9	139,363	33.9	397,846	137,669	34.6
South West	384,653	154,747	40.2	154,979	40.3	154,979	40.3	376,884	153,649	40.8
West Central	352,687	124,515	35.3	124,424	35.3	124,424	35.3	320,445	119,776	37.4
London	5,197,792	1,920,560	36.9	1,921,702	37.0	1,921,702	37.0	5,061,333	1,903,354	37.6

Turnout, 2000

							Election
		Mayor	al (1st choice)	Assembl	y constituency		Assembly list
Constituency	Assembly electorate	Ballot papers in the count	% poll	Ballot papers in the count	% poll	Ballot papers in the count	% poll
Barnet and Camden	360,704	135,545	37.6	135,105	37.5	135,105	37.5
Bexley and Bromley	389,790	147,893	37.9	147,682	37.9	147,682	37.9
Brent and Harrow	331,541	110,381	33.3	110,193	33.2	110,193	33.2
City and East London	400,139	118,466	29.6	117,653	29.4	117,653	29.4
Croydon and Sutton	364,764	129,816	35.6	129,626	35.5	129,626	35.5
Ealing and Hillingdon	389,978	131,268	33.7	130,792	33.5	130,792	33.5
Enfield and Haringey	347,528	119,643	34.4	119,153	34.3	119,153	34.3
Greenwich and Lewisham	327,443	105,864	32.3	105,573	32.2	105,573	32.2
Havering and Redbridge	353,685	118,527	33.5	118,361	33.5	118,361	33.5
Lambeth and Southwark	348,518	111,697	32.0	111,545	32.0	111,545	32.0
Merton and Wandsworth	335,934	122,540	36.5	122,367	36.4	122,367	36.4
North East	409,172	135,372	33.1	134,857	33.0	134,857	33.0
South West	384,930	147,100	38.2	146,975	38.2	146,975	38.2
West Central	345,174	118,191	34.2	117,890	34.2	117,890	34.2
London	5,089,300	1,752,303	34.4	1,747,772	34.3	1,747,772	34.3

Postal ballot papers, 2004

						Assem	bly elections			
		Mayoral election ((first choice)		(Constituency	List		Europ	ean election
Constituency	Postal ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected	Postal Ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected	% rejected	Postal ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected
Barnet and Camden	26,062	18.3	1.5	26,058	18.3	2.3	1.2	25,604	18.2	1.0
Bexley and Bromley	21,055	12.8	1.2	21,078	12.8	1.4	0.9	20,999	12.8	0.5
Brent and Harrow	9,702	7.7	1.5	9,709	7.7	2.0	1.1	9,650	7.7	0.8
City and East London	17,748	12.1	2.2	17,742	12.1	4.0	1.5	17,537	12.1	0.9
Croydon and Sutton	19,420	13.7	1.2	19,508	13.7	1.6	0.9	19,309	13.6	0.6
Ealing and Hillingdon	15,171	10.2	1.4	15,128	10.2	2.0	1.6	14,948	10.2	0.8
Enfield and Haringey	11,833	9.5	1.6	11,813	9.5	2.3	1.3	11,734	9.5	0.6
Greenwich and Lewisham	18,146	15.7	1.4	18,125	15.7	2.5	1.2	17,828	15.5	0.7
Havering and Redbridge	16,754	12.3	1.5	16,610	12.2	1.8	1.1	16,596	12.2	0.6
Lambeth and Southwark	14,815	11.9	1.5	14,920	12.0	2.6	1.1	14,427	11.7	0.9
Merton and Wandsworth	16,621	12.7	1.4	16,531	12.6	1.7	1.1	16,515	12.7	0.8
North East	24,156	17.3	1.5	24,087	17.3	3.5	1.1	23,927	17.4	0.8
South West	26,524	17.1	1.7	26,578	17.1	2.2	1.2	26,278	17.1	0.7
West Central	21,634	17.4	1.5	21,423	17.2	2.4	1.2	20,541	17.1	1.1
London	259,641	13.5	1.5	259,310	13.5	2.3	1.2	255,893	13.4	0.8

Manually-entered ballot papers, 2004

						Asse	mbly elections			
		Mayoral electior	(first choice)			Constituency	List		Euro	pean election
Constituency	Manually- ent'd ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected	Manually- ent'd ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected	% rejected	Manually- ent'd ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected
Barnet and Camden	428	0.30	8.9	788	0.55	16.9	13.8	683	0.49	7.5
Bexley and Bromley	458	0.28	12.2	171	0.10	10.5	8.8	269	0.16	5.6
Brent and Harrow	433	0.34	7.6	162	0.13	13.0	7.4	299	0.24	6.4
City and East London	257	0.18	9.7	333	0.23	19.2	13.2	175	0.12	6.3
Croydon and Sutton	522	0.37	8.6	331	0.23	11.2	9.4	359	0.25	4.2
Ealing and Hillingdon	367	0.25	14.4	242	0.16	7.4	6.2	465	0.32	4.7
Enfield and Haringey	398	0.32	9.0	180	0.14	12.2	8.3	382	0.31	8.6
Greenwich and Lewisham	420	0.36	5.0	717	0.62	17.0	14.6	336	0.29	2.4
Havering and Redbridge	539	0.39	10.2	927	0.68	12.5	10.0	395	0.29	7.8
Lambeth and Southwark	996	0.80	10.4	369	0.30	17.1	10.3	499	0.41	3.6
Merton and Wandsworth	320	0.24	7.2	380	0.29	8.4	6.1	293	0.22	6.8
North East	971	0.70	7.9	670	0.48	10.9	6.3	568	0.41	4.6
South West	417	0.27	9.6	646	0.42	18.4	15.8	438	0.29	6.2
West Central	428	0.34	4.7	520	0.42	10.0	7.9	252	0.21	7.5
London	6,954	0.36	9.0	6,436	0.33	13.8	10.6	5,413	0.28	5.8

Mayoral election, 2004

						Reject	ed first choice				Rejected s	second choice	
Constituency	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entiled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid first choice	More votes than entitled	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid second choice
Barnet and Camden	142,491	22	1,962	38	1,530	3,552	2.5	138,939	152	22,213	22,365	16.1	116,574
Bexley and Bromley	164,715	43	2,171	16	1,535	3,765	2.3	160,950	129	22,093	22,222	13.8	138,728
Brent and Harrow	126,343	15	2,493	6	1,820	4,334	3.4	122,009	93	21,929	22,022	18.0	99,987
City and East London	146,081	4	4,318	19	2,980	7,321	5.0	138,760	94	22,633	22,727	16.4	116,033
Croydon and Sutton	141,969	33	1,033	5	2,604	3,675	2.6	138,294	106	18,282	18,388	13.3	119,906
Ealing and Hillingdon	148,183	44	2,639	5	2,091	4,779	3.2	143,404	130	23,240	23,370	16.3	120,034
Enfield and Haringey	124,135	19	2,271	6	1,450	3,746	3.0	120,389	109	19,199	19,308	16.0	101,081
Greenwich and Lewisham	115,628	9	1,797	9	1,234	3,049	2.6	112,579	19	14,565	14,584	13.0	97,995
Havering and Redbridge	136,584	34	2,268	31	1,695	4,028	2.9	132,556	40	18,486	18,526	14.0	114,030
Lambeth and Southwark	124,492	71	2,281	8	1,434	3,794	3.0	120,698	112	15,742	15,854	13.1	104,844
Merton and Wandsworth	131,371	15	1,994	6	1,410	3,425	2.6	127,946	58	18,026	18,084	14.1	109,862
North East	139,306	49	2,607	22	1,697	4,375	3.1	134,931	49	18,431	18,480	13.7	116,451
South West	154,747	24	2,008	16	1,644	3,692	2.4	151,055	21	18,244	18,265	12.1	132,790
West Central	124,515	13	1,875	41	1,410	3,339	2.7	121,176	10	18,034	18,044	14.9	103,132
London	1,920,560	395	31,717	228	24,534	56,874	3.0	1,863,686	1,122	271,117	272,239	14.6	1,591,447

Mayoral election, 2000

						Rejecte	ed first choice				Rejected	second choice	
Constituency	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entiled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid first choice	More votes than entitled	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid second choice
Barnet and Camden	135,545		1,609	51	853	2,513	1.9	133,032	61	21,898	21,959	16.5	111,073
Bexley and Bromley	147,893		1,689	67	746	2,502	1.7	145,391	52	24,075	24,127	16.6	121,264
Brent and Harrow	110,381		1,773	44	822	2,639	2.4	107,742	24	20,411	20,435	19.0	87,307
City and East London	118,466		3,135	32	1,277	4,444	3.8	114,022	188	21,043	21,231	18.6	92,791
Croydon and Sutton	129,816		1,410	44	1,255	2,709	2.1	127,107	35	20,734	20,769	16.3	106,338
Ealing and Hillingdon	131,268		2,043	107	824	2,974	2.3	128,294	39	24,792	24,831	19.4	103,463
Enfield and Haringey	119,643		1,744	33	946	2,723	2.3	116,920	22	22,030	22,052	18.9	94,868
Greenwich and Lewisham	105,864		1,526	39	707	2,272	2.1	103,592	48	15,227	15,275	14.7	88,317
Havering and Redbridge	118,527		1,563	45	714	2,322	2.0	116,205	31	20,892	20,923	18.0	95,282
Lambeth and Southwark	111,697		1,757	45	655	2,457	2.2	109,240	64	16,225	16,289	14.9	92,951
Merton and Wandsworth	122,540		1,478	14	762	2,254	1.8	120,286	27	18,801	18,828	15.7	101,458
North East	135,372		2,335	58	1,003	3,396	2.5	131,976	326	22,917	23,243	17.6	108,733
South West	147,100		1,369	43	1,128	2,540	1.7	144,560	52	21,395	21,447	14.8	123,113
West Central	118,191		1,490	72	834	2,396	2.0	115,795	39	21,720	21,759	18.8	94,036
London	1,752,303		24,921	694	12,526	38,141	2.2	1,714,162	1,008	292,160	293,168	17.1	1,420,994

Assembly constituency election, 2004

						Rejecte	d ballot papers	
Constituency	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entitled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid votes
Barnet and Camden	142,571	92	296	23	7,126	7,537	5.3	135,034
Bexley and Bromley	164,694	7	303	9	5,447	5,766	3.5	158,928
Brent and Harrow	126,531	11	320	2	8,808	9,141	7.2	117,390
City and East London	146,196	29	512	12	14,734	15,287	10.5	130,909
Croydon and Sutton	142,274	23	226	5	6,473	6,727	4.7	135,547
Ealing and Hillingdon	148,230	10	439	11	8,255	8,715	5.9	139,515
Enfield and Haringey	124,191	10	273	10	7,660	7,953	6.4	116,238
Greenwich and Lewisham	115,637	82	243	3	6,538	6,866	5.9	108,771
Havering and Redbridge	136,618	72	433	15	6,751	7,271	5.3	129,347
Lambeth and Southwark	124,622	26	325	8	9,926	10,285	8.3	114,337
Merton and Wandsworth	131,372	15	291	4	6,683	6,993	5.3	124,379
North East	139,363	30	312	8	10,348	10,698	7.7	128,665
South West	154,979	87	242	6	6,634	6,969	4.5	148,010
West Central	124,424	25	222	17	8,059	8,323	6.7	116,101
London	1,921,702	519	4,437	133	113,442	118,531	6.2	1,803,171

Assembly constituency election, 2000

						Rejected	d ballot papers	
Constituency	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entitled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid votes
Barnet and Camden	135,105		287	42	8,414	8,743	6.5	126,362
Bexley and Bromley	147,682		158	71	10,017	10,246	6.9	137,436
Brent and Harrow	110,193		228	38	12,494	12,760	11.6	97,433
City and East London	117,653		459	33	18,361	18,853	16.0	98,800
Croydon and Sutton	129,626		167	25	10,178	10,370	8.0	119,256
Ealing and Hillingdon	130,792		296	50	10,616	10,962	8.4	119,830
Enfield and Haringey	119,153		294	25	11,786	12,105	10.2	107,048
Greenwich and Lewisham	105,573		176	57	10,443	10,676	10.1	94,897
Havering and Redbridge	118,361		234	42	9,110	9,386	7.9	108,975
Lambeth and Southwark	111,545		278	40	10,242	10,560	9.5	100,985
Merton and Wandsworth	122,367		306	22	7,424	7,752	6.3	114,615
North East	134,857		338	37	16,896	17,271	12.8	117,586
South West	146,975		186	28	10,514	10,728	7.3	136,247
West Central	117,890		218	62	11,010	11,290	9.6	106,600
London	1,747,772		3,625	572	157,505	161,702	9.3	1,586,070

Assembly list election, 2004

						Rejected	l ballot papers	
	Ballot	Lacking	More votes	Voter				
	papers	official	than	identification	Uncertain			
Constituency	counted	mark	entitled	discernible	or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid votes
Barnet and Camden	142,571	92	798	23	2,291	3,204	2.2	139,367
Bexley and Bromley	164,694	7	608	9	2,583	3,207	1.9	161,487
Brent and Harrow	126,531	11	1,193	2	2,491	3,697	2.9	122,834
City and East London	146,196	29	2,289	12	2,932	5,262	3.6	140,934
Croydon and Sutton	142,274	23	728	5	2,410	3,166	2.2	139,108
Ealing and Hillingdon	148,230	10	1,204	11	3,150	4,375	3.0	143,855
Enfield and Haringey	124,191	10	1,023	10	2,073	3,116	2.5	121,075
Greenwich and Lewisham	115,637	82	787	3	1,840	2,712	2.3	112,925
Havering and Redbridge	136,618	72	789	15	2,762	3,638	2.7	132,980
Lambeth and Southwark	124,622	26	1,212	8	2,225	3,471	2.8	121,151
Merton and Wandsworth	131,372	15	770	4	2,345	3,134	2.4	128,238
North East	139,363	30	1,320	8	2,163	3,521	2.5	135,842
South West	154,979	87	780	6	2,145	3,018	1.9	151,961
West Central	124,424	25	1,074	17	1,899	3,015	2.4	121,409
London	1,921,702	519	14,575	133	33,309	48,536	2.5	1,873,166

Assembly list election, 2000

						Rejected	ballot papers	
Constituency	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entitled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid votes
Barnet and Camden	135,105	_	639	42	5,949	6,630	4.9	128,475
Bexley and Bromley	147,682	_	762	71	5,536	6,369	4.3	141,313
Brent and Harrow	110,193	_	1,004	38	5,454	6,496	5.9	103,697
City and East London	117,653	_	2,176	33	5,841	8,050	6.8	109,603
Croydon and Sutton	129,626	_	733	25	5,353	6,111	4.7	123,515
Ealing and Hillingdon	130,792	_	1,210	49	5,512	6,771	5.2	124,021
Enfield and Haringey	119,153	_	905	25	5,351	6,281	5.3	112,872
Greenwich and Lewisham	105,573	_	927	57	3,997	4,981	4.7	100,592
Havering and Redbridge	118,361	_	804	42	5,535	6,381	5.4	111,980
Lambeth and Southwark	111,545	_	833	40	4,295	5,168	4.6	106,377
Merton and Wandsworth	122,367	_	565	22	6,393	6,980	5.7	115,387
North East	134,857	_	1,493	37	5,259	6,789	5.0	128,068
South West	146,975	_	888	26	4,628	5,542	3.8	141,433
West Central	117,890	_	877	62	4,654	5,593	4.7	112,297
London	1,747,772	_	13,816	569	73,757	88,142	5.0	1,659,630

European Parliamentary election, 2004

						Rejecte	d ballot papers	
Constituency	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entitled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid votes
Barnet and Camden	140,634	44	378	8	952	1,382	1.0	139,252
Bexley and Bromley	164,421	11	230	6	726	973	0.6	163,448
Brent and Harrow	125,821	18	517	2	790	1,327	1.1	124,494
City and East London	145,334	5	1,057	18	913	1,993	1.4	143,341
Croydon and Sutton	141,642	10	277	2	750	1,039	0.7	140,603
Ealing and Hillingdon	146,865	18	602	3	883	1,506	1.0	145,359
Enfield and Haringey	123,163	25	355	8	743	1,131	0.9	122,032
Greenwich and Lewisham	114,707	3	302	9	693	1,007	0.9	113,700
Havering and Redbridge	136,372	26	334	11	655	1,026	0.8	135,346
Lambeth and Southwark	122,873	8	420	4	909	1,341	1.1	121,532
Merton and Wandsworth	130,428	16	326	1	796	1,139	0.9	129,289
North East	137,669	13	462	11	921	1,407	1.0	136,262
South West	153,649	16	300	3	842	1,161	0.8	152,488
West Central	119,776	12	374	16	1,071	1,473	1.2	118,303
London	1,903,354	225	5,934	102	11,644	17,905	0.9	1,885,449



Mayoral election

First choice percentage votes, 2004

	Livingstone	Norris	Hughes	Maloney	German	Leppert	Johnson	Gidoomal	Reid	Nagalingam	Total
Borough	LAB	CON	LD	UKIP	R	BNP	GRE	CPA	IWCA	IND	votes
City of London	37.7	31.7	18.1	3.1	2.1	1.6	3.6	1.4	0.5	0.2	2,292
Barking and Dagenham	34.5	20.0	9.8	14.3	2.4	12.3	2.3	3.4	0.9	0.2	34,593
Barnet	33.2	38.9	14.0	4.8	1.8	2.0	2.9	1.7	0.4	0.3	89,271
Bexley	26.2	35.2	14.9	13.0	0.6	5.8	1.9	1.9	0.5	0.2	65,504
Brent	43.7	25.9	15.9	2.9	3.5	1.3	2.9	2.6	0.5	0.7	59,906
Bromley	24.9	38.2	19.4	8.9	0.6	3.2	2.1	2.1	0.3	0.2	95,446
Camden	45.8	23.4	15.2	3.2	4.0	1.7	4.7	1.1	0.5	0.3	49,668
Croydon	34.0	33.4	14.1	7.4	1.8	2.9	2.5	3.1	0.4	0.5	88,291
Ealing	41.4	25.9	14.8	4.9	4.0	2.2	3.2	2.3	0.6	0.7	79,453
Enfield	34.0	34.4	12.0	7.2	2.6	4.1	2.7	2.2	0.5	0.3	68,826
Greenwich	39.4	21.8	15.5	9.6	1.7	5.6	3.0	2.5	0.5	0.4	53,861
Hackney	53.2	16.2	10.4	3.2	6.8	1.5	5.5	2.3	0.7	0.2	40,991
Hammersmith and Fulham	35.2	36.6	14.4	3.9	2.0	1.9	3.7	1.6	0.4	0.3	41,445
Haringey	51.0	17.6	14.9	2.8	5.3	1.1	4.5	1.9	0.6	0.3	51,563
Harrow	35.8	34.5	13.9	5.3	1.9	2.3	2.4	2.7	0.5	0.7	62,103
Havering	24.9	38.1	11.0	13.0	0.5	7.7	2.0	1.8	0.7	0.2	64,796
Hillingdon	30.8	34.7	12.8	9.1	1.5	5.9	2.3	1.9	0.5	0.4	63,951
Hounslow	38.8	26.9	14.2	6.6	3.6	3.8	3.2	2.0	0.6	0.4	51,433
Islington	48.6	17.3	15.8	4.4	3.8	2.1	5.0	1.7	1.2	0.2	38,264
Kensington and Chelsea	25.9	48.6	13.1	3.2	2.8	1.2	2.9	1.6	0.3	0.2	34,434
Kingston upon Thames	32.0	30.4	22.9	5.5	1.2	2.1	2.7	2.4	0.3	0.4	42,647
Lambeth	48.7	18.5	17.6	3.5	2.9	1.2	4.2	2.6	0.6	0.2	62,509
Lewisham	45.3	18.3	17.0	6.0	2.1	2.7	4.5	2.9	0.7	0.4	58,718
Merton	39.6	28.7	13.6	6.3	2.0	3.1	3.1	2.5	0.5	0.7	52,866
Newham	43.3	14.6	8.8	5.1	16.4	3.5	2.7	4.2	0.6	0.7	52,706
Redbridge	34.5	32.8	12.4	6.5	4.6	3.4	2.3	2.4	0.5	0.5	67,760
Richmond upon Thames	34.3	33.6	20.8	3.9	0.9	1.5	3.2	1.3	0.2	0.1	56,975
Southwark	43.1	14.9	27.8	4.2	1.9	1.9	3.2	2.4	0.4	0.2	58,189
Sutton	25.4	33.0	23.4	8.3	0.8	3.7	1.9	2.9	0.3	0.3	50,003
Tower Hamlets	33.0	20.7	16.2	4.8	16.4	3.3	3.4	1.4	0.6	0.2	49,169
Waltham Forest	37.0	23.6	14.9	6.8	7.0	3.8	3.4	2.3	0.6	0.3	55,676
Wandsworth	40.3	33.6	12.9	3.3	2.5	1.5	3.4	1.9	0.3	0.3	75,080
Westminster, City of	33.5	40.5	12.6	3.3	3.2	1.6	3.2	1.5	0.4	0.3	45,297
London	36.8	29.1	15.3	6.2	3.3	3.1	3.1	2.2	0.5	0.4	1,863,686

Assembly constituency election

Percentage votes, 2004

Borough	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	GRE	R	CPA	IND	REA	TW	COMM	Total votes
City of London	33.8	20.6	23.0	8.2	10.1	3.3	1.1	_	_	_	_	2,230
Barking and Dagenham	15.9	31.4	11.9	27.6	6.0	3.1	4.1	_	_	_	_	32,680
Barnet	41.5	25.8	15.0	7.0	6.6	2.5	1.5	_	_	_	_	87,073
Bexley	37.9	19.8	13.3	21.6	4.5	0.9	2.0	_	_	_	_	64,436
Brent	28.5	32.8	20.1	4.3	6.9	5.2	2.3	_	_	_	_	56,511
Bromley	42.2	12.8	22.7	13.6	5.5	1.1	2.2	_	_	_	_	94,492
Camden	24.0	28.4	21.9	5.4	12.9	6.1	1.3	_	_	_	_	47,961
Croydon	40.7	23.4	14.6	10.2	4.9	2.9	3.2	_	_	_	_	86,164
Ealing	26.9	29.1	17.5	7.2	7.9	4.0	2.4	5.0	_	_	_	76,389
Enfield	35.9	27.1	11.8	12.6	6.2	4.2	2.2	_	_	-	_	67,378
Greenwich	22.9	33.1	15.9	15.3	8.2	1.6	3.0	_	_	_	_	52,683
Hackney	15.1	34.5	14.6	5.2	16.0	10.4	2.8	_	_	-	1.4	38,785
Hammersmith and Fulham	38.6	22.5	16.5	6.8	10.1	3.5	2.0	_	_	_	_	39,666
Haringey	16.8	32.1	24.1	4.4	12.6	8.3	1.8	_	_	_	_	48,860
Harrow	39.1	27.4	15.5	7.9	5.0	2.8	2.3	_	_	_	_	60,879
Havering	34.8	18.8	7.5	17.9	3.5	0.7	1.8	1.7	10.1	3.0	_	64,033
Hillingdon	39.1	18.9	16.0	14.5	5.3	1.8	1.9	2.4	_	_	_	63,126
Hounslow	28.0	29.0	18.2	11.2	6.7	4.8	2.1	_	_	_	_	49,345
Islington	14.0	29.1	24.4	7.9	15.9	5.8	1.8	_	_	_	1.1	36,906
Kensington and Chelsea	51.7	14.0	14.1	5.6	8.8	4.1	1.6	_	_	_	_	33,393
Kingston upon Thames	33.2	12.8	34.4	8.9	5.9	1.7	3.1	_	_	_	_	42,004
Lambeth	17.2	32.4	24.2	6.3	11.7	4.8	3.0	0.5	_	_	_	59,777
Lewisham	18.0	33.6	19.3	9.7	12.4	3.5	3.6	_	_	_	_	56,088
Merton	33.5	26.8	15.0	9.8	7.6	3.0	2.7	1.6	_	_	_	51,324
Newham	15.2	34.4	10.1	9.4	5.5	20.6	4.6	_	_	_	_	49,744
Redbridge	34.4	24.4	13.5	10.4	5.7	7.2	2.7	0.7	0.7	0.2	_	65,314
Richmond upon Thames	37.3	9.8	37.7	5.7	7.2	1.2	1.2	_	_	_	_	56,661
Southwark	13.0	31.0	30.0	9.2	9.0	3.8	3.4	0.5	_	_	_	54,560
Sutton	34.9	11.5	32.5	12.9	3.9	1.3	2.9	_	_	_	_	49,383
Tower Hamlets	22.1	22.1	19.0	8.9	8.1	18.0	1.7	_	_	_	_	46,255
Waltham Forest	23.1	25.0	17.6	12.3	8.8	9.5	2.7	_	_	_	0.9	52,974
Wandsworth	42.6	24.2	13.9	4.5	8.6	3.7	1.9	0.5	_	_	_	73,055
Westminster, City of	44.9	19.4	14.5	6.1	8.8	4.7	1.5	_	_	_	_	43,042
London	31.2	24.7	18.4	10.1	7.7	4.6	2.4	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.1	1,803,171

Assembly list election

Percentage votes, 2004

	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	GRE	R	CPA	BNP	ADC	Total votes
City of London	34.1	19.0	19.7	6.2	12.5	3.8	1.6	3.1	0.1	2,262
Barking and Dagenham	15.5	29.0	9.5	17.5	4.6	3.0	3.9	16.7	0.3	34,940
Barnet	38.5	24.4	15.0	6.5	7.4	2.8	2.1	3.1	0.2	89,525
Bexley	34.5	19.7	12.3	16.4	4.5	0.9	2.5	9.2	0.1	65,726
Brent	25.9	33.5	18.5	4.0	7.4	5.0	2.9	2.2	0.5	60,421
Bromley	39.8	13.9	19.3	11.7	5.9	1.1	2.9	5.2	0.1	95,761
Camden	22.7	26.4	20.5	4.6	14.8	6.4	1.6	2.8	0.2	49,842
Croydon	35.1	23.8	14.1	9.5	6.3	2.7	4.0	4.4	0.1	88,843
Ealing	24.7	31.6	16.2	6.5	8.6	5.5	2.9	3.4	0.6	79,629
Enfield	33.2	25.8	12.1	9.7	6.5	3.4	3.0	6.2	0.2	69,225
Greenwich	20.3	29.7	14.6	11.8	8.9	2.7	3.3	8.4	0.2	54,166
Hackney	14.6	34.8	14.1	4.2	16.7	9.8	3.1	2.3	0.4	41,227
Hammersmith and Fulham	35.7	24.1	15.8	5.4	10.3	3.2	2.3	3.0	0.2	41,593
Haringey	16.0	32.9	21.9	3.8	13.5	7.5	2.5	1.7	0.3	51,850
Harrow	36.2	26.8	14.5	7.3	5.8	2.7	3.0	3.4	0.4	62,413
Havering	34.8	17.7	9.4	18.4	4.8	0.8	2.6	11.2	0.1	64,905
Hillingdon	33.8	21.6	13.5	12.3	5.6	2.2	2.4	8.4	0.3	64,226
Hounslow	25.5	29.1	15.9	8.8	7.4	4.8	2.3	5.4	0.9	51,971
Islington	14.1	28.0	22.5	6.4	17.1	5.9	2.3	3.5	0.3	38,548
Kensington and Chelsea	48.9	15.1	13.8	4.8	8.8	4.0	2.1	2.2	0.2	34,524
Kingston upon Thames	30.9	15.5	29.1	8.1	7.5	1.9	3.4	3.4	0.2	42,889
Lambeth	17.1	31.9	21.8	4.7	13.9	4.8	3.6	2.0	0.2	62,912
Lewisham	16.6	33.1	17.0	7.9	12.8	3.8	4.1	4.5	0.2	58,759
Merton	29.3	27.6	14.9	8.3	8.7	3.0	3.4	4.7	0.2	52,998
Newham	12.9	34.1	9.1	6.5	5.8	20.8	5.1	5.2	0.6	53,674
Redbridge	31.5	24.9	13.2	9.3	6.0	6.4	3.0	5.4	0.3	68,075
Richmond upon Thames	34.4	13.9	29.3	6.1	10.2	1.6	1.9	2.5	0.1	57,101
Southwark	13.5	31.7	26.9	6.1	10.7	3.6	3.6	3.8	0.2	58,239
Sutton	32.0	13.0	27.6	11.5	5.2	1.3	3.8	5.6	0.1	50,265
Tower Hamlets	19.2	20.8	17.8	6.1	8.8	19.0	2.3	5.5	0.5	50,058
Waltham Forest	21.2	24.6	17.2	9.3	9.3	9.3	3.0	5.8	0.3	56,067
Wandsworth	36.5	25.0	14.5	4.5	10.7	3.8	2.6	2.3	0.2	75,240
Westminster, City of	41.6	20.6	14.2	5.2	9.1	4.4	1.9	2.7	0.3	45,292
London	28.5	25.0	16.9	8.4	8.6	4.7	2.9	4.8	0.3	1,873,166

Percentage votes, 2004

										-	•
Borough	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	R	BNP	GRE	CPA	ED	PPBG	Total votes
Cir. Cl. I		10.0	10.4	10.0		2.1	11.6	1.0	0.7		2 221
City of London	31.7	19.9	18.4	10.8	3.7	2.1	11.6	1.0	0.7	0.2	2,231
Barking and Dagenham	15.1	28.0	8.6	21.1	3.0	14.8	4.2	3.6	1.3	0.5	35,584
Barnet	37.0	23.9	13.2	10.5	2.8	2.5	7.4	1.7	0.7	0.2	89,881
Bexley	31.8	18.9	10.5	22.2	0.8	7.9	4.4	2.0	1.3	0.2	66,564
Brent	24.2	33.4	17.6	6.4	5.5	1.7	7.3	2.6	0.7	0.4	61,343
Bromley	37.2	13.5	16.9	17.9	1.1	4.3	6.0	2.3	0.7	0.1	96,884
Camden	21.7	26.1	19.5	7.4	6.5	2.2	14.5	1.3	0.6	0.2	49,371
Croydon	31.9	23.3	13.0	14.8	2.7	3.6	6.3	3.3	0.8	0.3	89,746
Ealing	23.1	32.4	14.9	9.7	5.5	2.7	8.2	2.4	0.8	0.3	80,102
Enfield	31.3	25.2	11.0	14.0	3.5	5.1	6.3	2.5	0.9	0.3	69,818
Greenwich	19.2	29.0	13.3	15.6	2.8	7.2	8.6	2.8	1.2	0.3	54,329
Hackney	14.2	34.6	13.4	5.7	9.8	2.0	16.6	2.7	0.7	0.3	41,213
Hammersmith and Fulham	33.6	24.1	14.5	9.1	3.3	2.6	10.1	1.8	0.6	0.3	41,023
Haringey	15.6	32.9	18.9	6.2	7.7	1.5	14.3	2.1	0.6	0.2	52,214
Harrow	34.2	26.6	13.3	11.0	3.0	2.7	5.6	2.4	0.9	0.3	63,151
Havering	32.6	16.9	8.2	24.0	0.8	9.6	4.5	2.0	1.2	0.3	66,034
Hillingdon	31.3	21.7	11.8	17.5	2.2	7.1	5.0	1.8	1.3	0.3	65,257
Hounslow	24.2	28.9	14.8	12.3	4.8	4.5	7.3	1.9	0.8	0.4	52,186
Islington	13.7	27.8	21.1	9.1	5.9	2.8	16.6	1.9	0.8	0.3	38,301
Kensington and Chelsea	44.3	16.0	13.3	9.4	4.2	1.8	8.5	1.5	0.6	0.2	33,173
Kingston upon Thames	29.1	15.2	26.5	13.3	1.9	2.8	7.7	2.5	0.9	0.2	43,108
Lambeth	16.5	32.1	19.6	7.4	4.9	1.7	14.0	3.1	0.6	0.3	63,075
Lewisham	16.3	32.7	15.5	10.9	3.7	3.6	12.6	3.5	0.8	0.3	59,371
Merton	27.3	27.6	13.5	12.7	3.1	3.9	8.3	2.6	0.7	0.2	53,310
Newham	12.7	33.2	8.5	8.0	21.7	4.4	5.3	4.6	1.0	0.5	54,396
Redbridge	29.6	24.4	11.6	13.8	7.0	4.2	5.9	2.5	0.8	0.2	69,312
Richmond upon Thames	32.9	14.3	26.2	10.8	1.5	2.1	10.5	1.3	0.5	0.1	57,194
Southwark	13.7	31.7	23.8	8.9	3.5	3.1	11.1	3.2	0.8	0.3	58,457
Sutton	30.4	12.4	24.2	17.6	1.2	4.7	5.2	2.9	1.1	0.2	50,857
Tower Hamlets	18.6	19.8	16.7	8.2	20.8	4.6	8.2	1.6	1.2	0.4	51,130
Waltham Forest	19.6	23.9	15.6	12.9	9.6	4.8	9.7	2.6	0.9	0.3	56,748
Wandsworth	33.2	25.5	14.0	8.2	4.0	2.0	10.5	2.0	0.5	0.2	75,979
Westminster, City of	37.9	20.6	13.8	9.6	4.6	2.2	8.8	1.5	0.7	0.2	44,107
London	26.8	24.7	15.3	12.3	4.8	4.0	8.4	2.4	0.8	0.3	1,885,449



Turnout, 2004

								Election
		Mayoral	(1st choice)		Assembly		European Pa	ırliamentary
Borough	Assembly electorate	Ballot papers in the count	% poll	Ballot papers in the count	% poll	Electorate	Ballot papers in the count	% poll
City of London	6,045	2,321	38.4	2,308	38.2	5,892	2,269	38.5
Barking and Dagenham	117,200	36,028	30.7	35,962	30.7	116,551	35,909	30.8
Barnet	226,704	91,433	40.3	91,451	40.3	220,961	90,623	41.0
Bexley	170,904	67,153	39.3	67,116	39.3	170,093	67,000	39.4
Brent	173,931	62,554	36.0	62,744	36.1	166,085	62,168	37.4
Bromley	226,171	97,562	43.1	97,578	43.1	225,021	97,421	43.3
Camden	144,544	51,058	35.3	51,120	35.4	139,106	50,011	36.0
Croydon	243,277	90,742	37.3	90,956	37.4	240,556	90,447	37.6
Ealing	215,964	82,445	38.2	82,424	38.2	207,274	81,137	39.1
Enfield	192,346	70,896	36.9	70,862	36.8	188,374	70,336	37.3
Greenwich	158,231	55,258	34.9	55,257	34.9	155,809	54,717	35.1
Hackney	126,530	42,299	33.4	42,256	33.4	122,609	41,677	34.0
Hammersmith and Fulham	114,556	42,645	37.2	42,639	37.2	107,222	41,504	38.7
Haringey	151,217	53,239	35.2	53,329	35.3	145,854	52,827	36.2
Harrow	158,792	63,789	40.2	63,787	40.2	157,637	63,653	40.4
Havering	172,961	66,572	38.5	66,573	38.5	172,531	66,462	38.5
Hillingdon	181,489	65,738	36.2	65,806	36.3	179,549	65,728	36.6
Hounslow	162,334	53,138	32.7	53,256	32.8	158,545	52,652	33.2
Islington	125,046	39,406	31.5	39,472	31.6	119,416	38,676	32.4
Kensington and Chelsea	101,256	35,256	34.8	35,308	34.9	89,450	33,562	37.5
Kingston upon Thames	100,135	43,550	43.5	43,587	43.5	98,836	43,416	43.9
Lambeth	200,342	64,511	32.2	64,665	32.3	191,889	63,767	33.2
Lewisham	171,219	60,370	35.3	60,380	35.3	168,812	59,990	35.5
Merton	133,808	54,316	40.6	54,309	40.6	130,276	53,750	41.3
Newham	170,923	55,403	32.4	55,561	32.5	166,257	55,047	33.1
Redbridge	177,690	70,012	39.4	70,045	39.4	176,582	69,910	39.6
Richmond upon Thames	122,184	58,059	47.5	58,136	47.6	119,503	57,581	48.2
Southwark	172,952	59,981	34.7	59,957	34.7	167,708	59,106	35.2
Sutton	132,898	51,227	38.5	51,318	38.6	131,941	51,195	38.8
Tower Hamlets	143,141	52,329	36.6	52,365	36.6	139,172	52,109	37.4
Waltham Forest	159,143	57,601	36.2	57,635	36.2	155,821	57,316	36.8
Wandsworth	206,984	77,055	37.2	77,063	37.2	202,228	76,678	37.9
Westminster, City of	136,875	46,614	34.1	46,477	34.0	123,773	44,710	36.1
London	5,197,792	1,920,560	36.9	1,921,702	37.0	5,061,333	1,903,354	37.6

Postal ballot papers, 2004

Borough	Postal	Mayoral election (first shaisa)							
Borough	Postal					Constituency	List		Europ	ean election
	ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected	Postal ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected	% rejected	Postal ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected
City of London	879	37.9	1.1	880	38.1	2.7	0.9	849	37.4	1.3
Barking and Dagenham	4,773	13.2	1.7	4,781	13.3	3.4	1.2	4,744	13.2	0.7
Barnet	17,996	19.7	1.4	17,977	19.7	2.1	1.1	17,719	19.6	0.7
Bexley	8,794	13.1	1.3	8,783	13.1	1.5	1.0	8,773	13.1	0.6
Brent	4,249	6.8	1.7	4,248	6.8	2.2	1.2	4,197	6.8	0.7
Bromley	12,261	12.6	1.1	12,295	12.6	1.3	0.9	12,226	12.5	0.5
Camden	8,066	15.8	1.7	8,081	15.8	2.8	1.5	7,885	15.8	1.7
Croydon	13,518	14.9	1.3	13,582	14.9	1.8	1.0	13,419	14.8	0.6
Ealing	8,402	10.2	1.5	8,383	10.2	2.1	1.6	8,228	10.1	0.9
Enfield	6,679	9.4	1.5	6,646	9.4	2.0	1.1	6,609	9.4	0.5
Greenwich	8,936	16.2	1.5	8,912	16.1	2.3	1.0	8,738	16.0	0.7
Hackney	15,499	36.6	1.6	15,462	36.6	3.6	1.2	15,359	36.9	0.8
Hammersmith and Fulham	8,127	19.1	1.6	8,061	18.9	3.0	1.4	7,909	19.1	1.1
Haringey	5,154	9.7	1.8	5,167	9.7	2.7	1.5	5,125	9.7	0.8
Harrow	5,453	8.5	1.3	5,461	8.6	1.8	0.9	5,453	8.6	0.8
Havering	7,967	12.0	1.3	7,878	11.8	1.5	1.3	7,909	11.9	0.6
Hillingdon	6,769	10.3	1.2	6,745	10.2	1.8	1.7	6,720	10.2	0.6
Hounslow	9,284	17.5	2.0	9,317	17.5	3.1	1.1	9,125	17.3	0.8
Islington	2,222	5.6	1.5	2,206	5.6	2.9	1.3	2,184	5.6	0.8
Kensington and Chelsea	6,885	19.5	1.5	6,883	19.5	1.9	1.0	6,463	19.3	0.9
Kingston upon Thames	7,584	17.4	1.6	7,601	17.4	1.7	1.2	7,556	17.4	0.6
Lambeth	7,379	11.4	1.8	7,432	11.5	2.5	1.1	7,147	11.2	1.0
Lewisham	9,210	15.3	1.2	9,213	15.3	2.6	1.3	9,090	15.2	0.7
Merton	5,973	11.0	1.3	5,982	11.0	1.4	1.2	5,944	11.1	0.7
Newham	5,011	9.0	2.8	5,016	9.0	5.3	1.3	4,966	9.0	1.1
Redbridge	8,787	12.6	1.7	8,732	12.5	2.1	1.0	8,687	12.4	0.7
Richmond upon Thames	9,656	16.6	1.4	9,660	16.6	1.7	1.2	9,597	16.7	0.8
Southwark	7,436	12.4	1.2	7,488	12.5	2.7	1.1	7,280	12.3	0.7
Sutton	5,902	11.5	1.0	5,926	11.5	1.3	0.9	5,890	11.5	0.7
Tower Hamlets	7,085	13.5	2.3	7,065	13.5	3.7	2.0	6,978	13.4	0.9
Waltham Forest	6,435	11.2	1.4	6,419	11.1	3.3	1.0	6,384	11.1	0.7
Wandsworth	10,648	13.8	1.5	10,549	13.7	1.8	1.1	10,571	13.8	0.9
Westminster, City of	6,622	14.2	1.2	6,479	13.9	2.1	1.0	6,169	13.8	1.2
London	259,641	13.5	1.5	259,310	13.5	2.3	1.2	255,893	13.4	0.8

Manually-entered ballot papers, 2004

						Asse	mbly elections			
		Mayoral election	(first choice)			Constituency	List	European election		
Borough	Manually- ent'd ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected	Manually- ent'd ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected	% rejected	Manually- ent'd ballots	% of all ballot papers	% rejected
City of London	10	0.4	10.0	6	0.3	16.7	16.7	3	0.1	_
Barking and Dagenham	78	0.2	11.5	89	0.2	25.8	20.2	37	0.1	13.5
Barnet	252	0.3	9.9	541	0.6	15.3	12.8	462	0.5	5.0
Bexley	142	0.2	11.3	73	0.1	8.2	6.8	86	0.1	4.7
Brent	238	0.4	8.4	85	0.1	16.5	9.4	180	0.3	10.0
Bromley	316	0.3	12.7	98	0.1	12.2	10.2	183	0.2	6.0
Camden	176	0.3	7.4	247	0.5	20.2	16.2	221	0.4	12.7
Croydon	361	0.4	6.6	232	0.3	10.8	9.1	250	0.3	3.6
Ealing	199	0.2	13.6	142	0.2	9.2	6.3	202	0.2	5.4
Enfield	189	0.3	7.4	76	0.1	9.2	3.9	175	0.2	8.6
Greenwich	257	0.5	5.1	111	0.2	12.6	14.4	110	0.2	2.7
Hackney	428	1.0	4.2	353	0.8	4.5	2.0	329	0.8	4.6
Hammersmith and Fulham	152	0.4	2.0	180	0.4	6.7	5.0	68	0.2	7.4
Haringey	209	0.4	10.5	104	0.2	14.4	11.5	207	0.4	8.7
Harrow	195	0.3	6.7	77	0.1	9.1	5.2	119	0.2	0.8
Havering	207	0.3	2.9	537	0.8	10.4	8.0	149	0.2	2.7
Hillingdon	168	0.3	15.5	100	0.2	5.0	6.0	263	0.4	4.2
Hounslow	153	0.3	14.4	294	0.6	24.5	20.7	182	0.3	8.2
Islington	233	0.6	12.4	67	0.2	20.9	13.4	72	0.2	5.6
Kensington and Chelsea	157	0.4	7.0	191	0.5	10.5	8.4	53	0.2	5.7
Kingston upon Thames	157	0.4	10.2	41	0.1	12.2	7.3	101	0.2	5.9
Lambeth	580	0.9	10.0	219	0.3	15.5	10.0	303	0.5	3.6
Lewisham	163	0.3	4.9	606	1.0	17.8	14.7	226	0.4	2.2
Merton	109	0.2	6.4	99	0.2	7.1	6.1	84	0.2	7.1
Newham	71	0.1	7.0	129	0.2	16.3	8.5	64	0.1	1.6
Redbridge	332	0.5	14.8	390	0.6	15.4	12.8	246	0.4	11.0
Richmond upon Thames	107	0.2	1.9	311	0.5	13.5	12.2	155	0.3	3.9
Southwark	416	0.7	11.1	150	0.3	19.3	10.7	196	0.3	3.6
Sutton	161	0.3	13.0	99	0.2	12.1	10.1	109	0.2	5.5
Tower Hamlets	98	0.2	10.2	109	0.2	17.4	12.8	71	0.1	7.0
Waltham Forest	310	0.5	9.7	250	0.4	17.2	10.4	167	0.3	4.2
Wandsworth	211	0.3	7.6	281	0.4	8.9	6.0	209	0.3	6.7
Westminster, City of	119	0.3	5.0	149	0.3	13.4	10.7	131	0.3	8.4
London	6,954	0.4	9.0	6,436	0.3	13.8	10.6	5,413	0.3	5.8

Mayoral election, 2004

						Reject	ed first choice				Rejected	second choice	
Borough	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entitled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid first choice	More votes than entitled	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid second choice
City of London	2,321	_	13	_	16	29	1.2	2,292	1	322	323	14.1	1,969
Barking and Dagenham	36,028	1	824	8	602	1,435	4.0	34,593	6	4,688	4,694	13.6	29,899
Barnet	91,433	13	1,123	28	998	2,162	2.4	89,271	96	15,183	15,279	17.1	73,992
Bexley	67,153	12	949	11	677	1,649	2.5	65,504	52	9,558	9,610	14.7	55,894
Brent	62,554	10	1,528	3	1,107	2,648	4.2	59,906	47	11,475	11,522	19.2	48,384
Bromley	97,562	31	1,222	5	858	2,116	2.2	95,446	77	12,535	12,612	13.2	82,834
Camden	51,058	9	839	10	532	1,390	2.7	49,668	56	7,030	7,086	14.3	42,582
Croydon	90,742	14	695	5	1,737	2,451	2.7	88,291	85	11,864	11,949	13.5	76,342
Ealing	82,445	20	1,566	2	1,404	2,992	3.6	79,453	95	13,178	13,273	16.7	66,180
Enfield	70,896	5	1,321	3	741	2,070	2.9	68,826	42	11,006	11,048	16.1	57,778
Greenwich	55,258	5	858	5	529	1,397	2.5	53,861	6	7,103	7,109	13.2	46,752
Hackney	42,299	10	732	12	554	1,308	3.1	40,991	5	5,600	5,605	13.7	35,386
Hammersmith and Fulham	42,645	1	686	16	497	1,200	2.8	41,445	4	5,228	5,232	12.6	36,213
Haringey	53,239	14	950	3	709	1,676	3.1	51,563	67	8,193	8,260	16.0	43,303
Harrow	63,789	5	965	3	713	1,686	2.6	62,103	46	10,454	10,500	16.9	51,603
Havering	66,572	3	1,038	11	724	1,776	2.7	64,796	12	8,866	8,878	13.7	55,918
Hillingdon	65,738	24	1,073	3	687	1,787	2.7	63,951	35	10,062	10,097	15.8	53,854
Hounslow	53,138	14	935	6	750	1,705	3.2	51,433	11	6,645	6,656	12.9	44,777
Islington	39,406	20	685	3	434	1,142	2.9	38,264	7	5,154	5,161	13.5	33,103
Kensington and Chelsea	35,256	8	446	14	354	822	2.3	34,434	4	5,716	5,720	16.6	28,714
Kingston upon Thames	43,550	10	499	4	390	903	2.1	42,647	5	4,946	4,951	11.6	37,696
Lambeth	64,511	39	1,140	3	820	2,002	3.1	62,509	60	8,104	8,164	13.1	54,345
Lewisham	60,370	4	939	4	705	1,652	2.7	58,718	13	7,462	7,475	12.7	51,243
Merton	54,316	6	818	2	624	1,450	2.7	52,866	32	7,718	7,750	14.7	45,116
Newham	55,403	1	1,711	5	980	2,697	4.9	52,706	55	8,408	8,463	16.1	44,243
Redbridge	70,012	31	1,230	20	971	2,252	3.2	67,760	28	9,620	9,648	14.2	58,112
Richmond upon Thames	58,059	_	574	6	504	1,084	1.9	56,975	5	6,653	6,658	11.7	50,317
Southwark	59,981	32	1,141	5	614	1,792	3.0	58,189	52	7,638	7,690	13.2	50,499
Sutton	51,227	19	338	_	867	1,224	2.4	50,003	21	6,418	6,439	12.9	43,564
Tower Hamlets	52,329	2	1,770	6	1,382	3,160	6.0	49,169	32	9,215	9,247	18.8	39,922
Waltham Forest	57,601	19	1,190	7	709	1,925	3.3	55,676	37	7,677	7,714	13.9	47,962
Wandsworth	77,055	9	1,176	4	786	1,975	2.6	75,080	26	10,308	10,334	13.8	64,746
Westminster, City of	46,614	4	743	11	559	1,317	2.8	45,297	2	7,090	7,092	15.7	38,205
Greater London	1,920,560	395	31,717	228	24,534	56,874	3.0	1,863,686	1,122	271,117	272,239	14.6	1,591,447

Assembly constituency election, 2004


					Rejected ballot papers					
Borough	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entitled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid votes		
City of London	2,308	_	5	1	72	78	3.4	2,230		
Barking and Dagenham	35,962	13	79	3	3,187	3,282	9.1	32,680		
Barnet	91,451	61	182	15	4,120	4,378	4.8	87,073		
Bexley	67,116	2	127	1	2,550	2,680	4.0	64,436		
Brent	62,744	7	191	1	6,034	6,233	9.9	56,511		
Bromley	97,578	5	176	8	2,897	3,086	3.2	94,492		
Camden	51,120	31	114	8	3,006	3,159	6.2	47,961		
Croydon	90,956	14	168	4	4,606	4,792	5.3	86,164		
Ealing	82,424	5	270	7	5,753	6,035	7.3	76,389		
Enfield	70,862	1	176	5	3,302	3,484	4.9	67,378		
Greenwich	55,257	10	109	2	2,453	2,574	4.7	52,683		
Hackney	42,256	3	86	7	3,375	3,471	8.2	38,785		
Hammersmith and Fulham	42,639	3	74	6	2,890	2,973	7.0	39,666		
Haringey	53,329	9	97	5	4,358	4,469	8.4	48,860		
Harrow	63,787	4	129	1	2,774	2,908	4.6	60,879		
Havering	66,573	32	191	4	2,313	2,540	3.8	64,033		
Hillingdon	65,806	5	169	4	2,502	2,680	4.1	63,126		
Hounslow	53,256	53	102	1	3,755	3,911	7.3	49,345		
Islington	39,472	8	97	_	2,461	2,566	6.5	36,906		
Kensington and Chelsea	35,308	11	46	6	1,852	1,915	5.4	33,393		
Kingston upon Thames	43,587	2	63	2	1,516	1,583	3.6	42,004		
Lambeth	64,665	14	161	4	4,709	4,888	7.6	59,777		
Lewisham	60,380	72	134	1	4,085	4,292	7.1	56,088		
Merton	54,309	3	125	_	2,857	2,985	5.5	51,324		
Newham	55,561	9	188	4	5,616	5,817	10.5	49,744		
Redbridge	70,045	40	242	11	4,438	4,731	6.8	65,314		
Richmond upon Thames	58,136	32	77	3	1,363	1,475	2.5	56,661		
Southwark	59,957	12	164	4	5,217	5,397	9.0	54,560		
Sutton	51,318	9	58	1	1,867	1,935	3.8	49,383		
Tower Hamlets	52,365	7	240	4	5,859	6,110	11.7	46,255		
Waltham Forest	57,635	19	129	1	4,512	4,661	8.1	52,974		
Wandsworth	77,063	12	166	4	3,826	4,008	5.2	73,055		
Westminster	46,477	11	102	5	3,317	3,435	7.4	43,042		
Greater London	1,921,702	519	4,437	133	113,442	118,531	6.2	1,803,171		

Assembly list election, 2004

				Rejected ballot papers					
Borough	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entitled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid votes	
City of London	2,398	_	44	1	91	136	5.7	2,262	
Barking and Dagenham	35,962	13	323	3	683	1,022	2.8	34,940	
Barnet	91,469	61	401	15	1,467	1,944	2.1	89,525	
Bexley	67,116	2	282	1	1,105	1,390	2.1	65,726	
Brent	62,744	7	784	1	1,531	2,323	3.7	60,421	
Bromley	97,578	5	326	8	1,478	1,817	1.9	95,761	
Camden	51,102	31	397	8	824	1,260	2.5	49,842	
Croydon	90,956	14	534	4	1,561	2,113	2.3	88,843	
Ealing	82,424	5	772	7	2,011	2,795	3.4	79,629	
Enfield	70,862	1	571	5	1,060	1,637	2.3	69,225	
Greenwich	55,257	10	328	2	751	1,091	2.0	54,166	
Hackney	42,252	3	366	7	649	1,025	2.4	41,227	
Hammersmith and Fulham	42,639	3	390	6	647	1,046	2.5	41,593	
Haringey	53,329	9	452	5	1,013	1,479	2.8	51,850	
Harrow	63,787	4	409	1	960	1,374	2.2	62,413	
Havering	66,573	32	282	4	1,350	1,668	2.5	64,905	
Hillingdon	65,806	5	432	4	1,139	1,580	2.4	64,226	
Hounslow	53,256	53	426	1	805	1,285	2.4	51,971	
Islington	39,454	8	370	_	528	906	2.3	38,548	
Kensington and Chelsea	35,308	11	257	6	510	784	2.2	34,524	
Kingston upon Thames	43,587	2	168	2	526	698	1.6	42,889	
Lambeth	64,665	14	642	4	1,093	1,753	2.7	62,912	
Lewisham	60,380	72	459	1	1,089	1,621	2.7	58,759	
Merton	54,309	3	302	_	1,006	1,311	2.4	52,998	
Newham	55,582	9	848	4	1,047	1,908	3.4	53,674	
Redbridge	70,045	40	507	11	1,412	1,970	2.8	68,075	
Richmond upon Thames	58,136	32	186	3	814	1,035	1.8	57,101	
Southwark	59,957	12	570	4	1,132	1,718	2.9	58,239	
Sutton	51,318	9	194	1	849	1,053	2.1	50,265	
Tower Hamlets	52,254	7	1,074	4	1,111	2,196	4.2	50,058	
Waltham Forest	57,657	19	584	1	986	1,590	2.8	56,067	
Wandsworth	77,063	12	468	4	1,339	1,823	2.4	75,240	
Westminster	46,477	11	427	5	742	1,185	2.5	45,292	
Greater London	1,921,702	519	14,575	133	33,309	48,536	2.5	1,873,166	

European Parliamentary election, 2004

		Rejected ballot papers									
Borough	Ballot papers counted	Lacking official mark	More votes than entitled	Voter identification discernible	Uncertain or blank	Total	Percentage	Valid votes			
City of London	2,269	_	2	1	35	38	1.7	2,231			
Barking and Dagenham	35,909	3	121	8	193	325	0.9	35,584			
Barnet	90,623	19	192	6	525	742	0.8	89,881			
Bexley	67,000	3	129	2	302	436	0.7	66,564			
Brent	62,168	17	360	1	447	825	1.3	61,343			
Bromley	97,421	8	101	4	424	537	0.6	96,884			
Camden	50,011	25	186	2	427	640	1.3	49,371			
Croydon	90,447	5	201	1	494	701	0.8	89,746			
Ealing	81,137	8	437	2	588	1,035	1.3	80,102			
Enfield	70,336	12	157	4	345	518	0.7	69,818			
Greenwich	54,717	3	125	4	256	388	0.7	54,329			
Hackney	41,677	7	140	7	310	464	1.1	41,213			
Hammersmith and Fulham	41,504	3	127	6	345	481	1.2	41,023			
Haringey	52,827	13	198	4	398	613	1.2	52,214			
Harrow	63,653	1	157	1	343	502	0.8	63,151			
Havering	66,462	3	106	4	315	428	0.6	66,034			
Hillingdon	65,728	10	165	1	295	471	0.7	65,257			
Hounslow	52,652	9	173	_	284	466	0.9	52,186			
Islington	38,676	3	102	2	268	375	1.0	38,301			
Kensington and Chelsea	33,562	1	77	5	306	389	1.2	33,173			
Kingston upon Thames	43,416	4	61	1	242	308	0.7	43,108			
Lambeth	63,767	2	224	2	464	692	1.1	63,075			
Lewisham	59,990	_	177	5	437	619	1.0	59,371			
Merton	53,750	4	119	_	317	440	0.8	53,310			
Newham	55,047	_	330	2	319	651	1.2	54,396			
Redbridge	69,910	23	228	7	340	598	0.9	69,312			
Richmond upon Thames	57,581	3	66	2	316	387	0.7	57,194			
Southwark	59,106	6	196	2	445	649	1.1	58,457			
Sutton	51,195	5	76	1	256	338	0.7	50,857			
Tower Hamlets	52,109	2	604	7	366	979	1.9	51,130			
Waltham Forest	57,316	3	220	2	343	568	1.0	56,748			
Wandsworth	76,678	12	207	1	479	699	0.9	75,979			
Westminster, City of	44,710	8	170	5	420	603	1.3	44,107			
Greater London	1,903,354	225	5,934	102	11,644	17,905	0.9	1,885,449			



Parliamentary election, 2005

London total

Party	Code	Votes	Percentage	Candidates	Elected
Labour	LAB	1,136,587	38.94	74	44
Conservative	CON	931,966	31.93	74	21
Liberal Democrat	LD	638,333	21.87	74	8
Green	GRE	78,595	2.69	53	_
UK Independence Party	UKIP	42,956	1.47	58	_
Respect-The Unity Coalition	R	40,735	1.40	7	1
British National Party	BNP	19,024	0.65	12	_
Independent	IND	7,475	0.26	33	_
National Front	NF	3,952	0.14	6	_
Veritas	V	3,580	0.12	10	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	CPA	2,254	0.08	6	_
Residents' Association of London	REA	1,850	0.06	2	_
Socialist Alternative	SALT	1,469	0.05	2	_
English Democrats	ED	1,437	0.05	2	_
Vote for Yourself Rainbow Dream Ticket	RDT	1,289	0.04	13	_
Socialist Labour Party	SLAB	1,206	0.04	5	_
The Community (London Borough of Hounslow)	CTY	1,118	0.04	1	_
British Public Party	BPP	763	0.03	1	_
Peace and Progress	PP	685	0.02	1	_
Workers Revolutionary Party	WRP	680	0.02	5	_
Monster Raving Loony Party	MRL	630	0.02	3	_
The People's Choice! Exclusively For All	PC	418	0.01	3	_
Croydon Pensions Alliance	CRPA	394	0.01	1	_
Third Way	TW	382	0.01	2	_
Liberal Party	LIB	313	0.01	1	_
Socialist Party	SP	240	0.01	1	_
Civilisation Party	CP	227	0.01	1	_
Communist Party of Britain	COMB	200	0.01	1	_
Alliance for Green Socialism	AGS	101	0.00	1	_
Alliance for Change	AC	68	0.00	1	_
For Integrity and Trust in Government	FIT	57	0.00	1	_
Progressive Democratic Party	PD	56	0.00	1	_
Tiger's Eye - the Party for Kids	TEPK	50	0.00	1	_
Total		2,919,090	100	457	74

Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
Barking				Labour hold
Hodge, Margaret E.	LAB	13,826	47.8	-13.1
Prince, Keith A.	CON	4,943	17.1	-5.9
Barnbrook, Richard J.	BNP	4,916	17.0	+10.6
Wickenden, Toby	LD	3,211	11.1	+1.4
Jones, Terrence J.	UKIP	803	2.8	
Cleeland, Laurence N.	GRE	618	2.1	
Panton, Demetrius R.	IND	530	1.8	
Saxby, Michael A .	WRP	59	0.2	
	Majority	8,883	30.7	
	Turnout	28,906	50.1	+4.6
	Swing	3.6%	from Labour to	Conservative
Battersea				Labour hold
Linton, Martin	LAB	16,569	40.4	-9.9
Schofield, Dominic J.	CON	16,406	40.0	+3.4
Bhatti, Norsheen M.	LD	6,006	14.6	+2.5
Charlton, Hugo	GRE	1,735	4.2	
Jones, Terrence F.	UKIP	333	0.8	
	Majority	163	0.4	
	Turnout	41,049	59.0	+4.5
	Swing	6.7%	from Labour to	Conservative
Beckenham			Cons	servative hold
Lait, Jacqueline A.H.	CON	22,183	45.3	+0.1
Curran, Liam K.	LAB	13,782	28.1	-6.2
Foulger, Jeffrey W.	LD	10,862	22.2	+6.1
Cartwright, James D'A.C	UKIP	1,301	2.7	+0.9
Reed, Roderick A.	IND	836	1.7	
	Majority	8,401	17.2	
	Turnout	48,964	65.5	+2.9
	Swing	3.1%	from Labour to	Conservative

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Bethnal Green and Bow				Respect gain
Galloway, George	R	15,801	35.9	
King, Oona T.	LAB	14,978	34.0	-16.4
Faruk, Shahagir B.	CON	6,244	14.2	-10.1
Dulu, Syed N.I.	LD	4,928	11.2	-4.3
Foster, John P.W.	GRE	1,950	4.4	+0.1
Etefia, Ejiro	AC	68	0.2	
Pugh, Celia	IND	38	0.1	
	Majority	823	1.9	
	Turnout	44,007	53.6	+3.4
	Swing	26	5.2% from Labo	our to Respect
Bexleyheath and Crayford			Conse	ervative gain
Evennett, David A.	CON	19,722	46.3	+6.4
Beard, Christopher N.	LAB	15,171	35.6	-7.9
Raval, David	LD	5,144	12.1	+1.0
Dunford, John W.	UKIP	1,302	3.1	+1.1
Lee, Jay	BNP	1,241	2.9	-0.6
	Majority	4,551	10.7	
	Turnout	42,580	65.5	+2.0
	Swing	7.2%	from Labour to	Conservative
Brent East			Liberal [Democrat gain
Teather, Sarah L.	LD	14,764	47.5	+36.9
Qureshi, Yasmin	LAB	12,052	38.8	-24.4
Kwarteng, Kwasi A.A.	CON	3,193	10.3	-7.9
Ali, Shahrar	GRE	905	2.9	-1.8
Weininger, Michelle A.	IND	115	0.4	
Weiss, George	RDT	39	0.1	
	Majority	2,712	8.7	
	Turnout	31,068	55.3	+5.4
	Swing	30.7% fron	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Since 2001
Brent North				Labour hold
Gardiner, Barry S.	LAB	17,420	48.8	-10.5
Blackman, Robert J.	CON	11,779	33.0	+3.7
Hughes, Havard M.	LD	5,672	15.9	+4.6
Ahmad, Babar	PP	685	1.9	
Weiss, George	RDT	126	0.4	
_	Majority	5,641	15.8	
	Turnout	35,682	59.3	+1.6
	Swing	7.1%	from Labour to	Conservative
Brent South				Labour hold
Butler, Dawn P.	LAB	17,501	58.8	-14.5
Allie, James B.	LD	6,175	20.7	+9.9
Saha, Rishi S.	CON	4,485	15.1	+2.5
Langley, Rowan N.C.	GRE	957	3.2	
Wallace, Shaun A.L.	IND	297	1.0	
Fernandez, Rocky R.	IND	288	1.0	
Weiss, George	RDT	61	0.2	
_	Majority	11,326	38.1	
	Turnout	29,764	52.7	+1.4
	Swing	12.2% fron	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat
Brentford and Isleworth				Labour hold
Keen, Ann	LAB	18,329	39.8	-12.5
Northcote, Alexander	CON	13,918	30.2	+1.1
Dakers, Andrew	LD	10,477	22.8	+9.3
Hunt, John	GRE	1,652	3.6	+0.6
Andrews, Phillip	CTY	1,118	2.4	
Stoneman, Michael	NF	523	1.1	
	Majority	4,411	9.6	
	Turnout	46,017	54.5	+0.8
	Swing	6.8%	from Labour to	Conservative

	Swing	4.0% fr	om Labour to Co	nservative
Camberwell and Peckham			Li	abour hold
Harman, Harriet	LAB	18,933	65.3	-4.3
Porter, Richard J.	LD	5,450	18.8	+5.5
Lee, Jessica K.	CON	2,841	9.8	-1.1
Ingram, Paul M.	GRE	1,172	4.0	+0.8
Penhallow, Derek	UKIP	350	1.2	
Sharkey, Margaret M.	SLAB	132	0.5	-0.3
Kulkarni, Sanjay M.	WRP	113	0.4	+0.1
	Majority	13,483	46.5	
	Turnout	28,991	52.0	+5.3
	Swing	4.9% from I	_abour to Liberal	Democrat
Carshalton and Wallington			Liberal Dem	ocrat hold
Brake, Thomas	LD	17,357	40.3	-4.7
Andrew, Ken	CON	16,289	37.8	+4.0
Theobald, Andrew	LAB	7,396	17.2	-1.2
Day, Francis	UKIP	1,111	2.6	+1.3
Steel, Robert	GRE	908	2.1	+0.€
	Majority	1,068	2.5	
	Turnout	43,061	63.5	+3.2
	Swing	4.4% from Libera	l Democrat to Co	nservative
		· ·	·	Chano

Party

CON

LAB

LD

UKIP

GRE

Majority

Turnout

23,583

10,241

9,368

1,475

1,470

13,342

46,137

Votes Percentage Since 2001

51.1

22.2

20.3

3.2

3.2

28.9

64.8

Conservative hold

+1.6

-6.4

+1.4

+0.3

+0.5

Constituency/Candidate name

Forth, Eric

Reeves, Rachel J.

Brooks, Peter R.

Hooper, David N.

Garrett, Ann C.

Bromley and Chislehurst

onstituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Chingford and Woodford (Green		Cons	ervative hold
Duncan Smith, George I.	CON	20,555	53.2	+5.0
Wright, Simon J.	LAB	9,914	25.7	-7.7
Beanse, John D.	LD	6,832	17.7	+2.2
McGough, Michael J.	UKIP	1,078	2.8	
White, Barry J.	IND	269	0.7	
	Majority	10,641	27.5	
	Turnout	38,648	63.0	+4.5
	Swing	6.3%	from Labour to	Conservative
hipping Barnet			Cons	servative hold
Villiers, Theresa A.	CON	19,744	46.6	+0.2
Coakley-Webb, Pauline	LAB	13,784	32.5	-7.5
Hooker, Sean	LD	6,671	15.7	+2.2
Poppy, Audrey	GRE	1,199	2.8	
Kaye, Victor	UKIP	924	2.2	
Weiss, George	RDT	59	0.1	
	Majority	5,960	14.1	
	Turnout	42,381	64.1	+3.6
	Swing	3.9%	from Labour to	Conservative
ities of London and West	minster		Cons	servative hold
Field, Mark C.	CON	17,260	47.3	+1.0
Lloyd, Hywel W.	LAB	9,165	25.1	-8.0
Rossi, Marie-Louise E.	LD	7,306	20.0	+4.7
Smith, Tristan J.L.	GRE	1,544	4.2	+0.4
Merton, Colin R.	UKIP	399	1.1	-0.3
Haw, Brian W.	IND	298	0.8	
McLachlan, Jillian M.	CPA	246	0.7	
Harris, David	V	218	0.6	
Cass-Horne, Cass J-C.	IND	51	0.1	
	Majority	8,095	22.2	
	Turnout	36,487	50.3	+3.0
	Tarriout	,		

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Croydon Central				
Pelling, Andrew J.	CON	19,974	40.8	+2.3
Davies, Geraint R.	LAB	19,899	40.6	-6.5
Hargreaves, Jeremy A.	LD	6,384	13.0	+1.8
Edwards, Ian D.	UKIP	1,066	2.2	+1.0
Golberg, Bernice C.	GRE	1,036	2.1	
Bowness, Marianne	V	304	0.6	
Cartwright, John S.	MRL	193	0.4	-0.5
Stears, Janet A.	PC	101	0.2	
	Majority	75	0.2	
	Turnout	48,957	60.6	+1.4
	Swing	4.4%	from Labour to	Conservative
Croydon North				Labour hold
Wicks, Malcolm H.	LAB	23,555	53.7	-9.8
Ahmad, Tariq M.	CON	9,667	22.0	-1.2
Gee-Turner, Adrian J.	LD	7,560	17.2	+6.8
Khan, Shasha	GRE	1,248	2.8	
Pearce, Christopher H.	UKIP	770	1.8	+0.3
Gibson, Peter C.	CRPA	394	0.9	
McKenzie, Winston T.	V	324	0.7	
Rasheed, Farhan	IND	197	0.4	
Chambers, Michelle T.	PC	132	0.3	
	Majority	13,888	31.7	
	Turnout	43,847	52.3	-0.9
	Swing	4.3%	from Labour to	Conservative
Croydon South			Con	servative hold
Ottaway, Richard G.J.	CON	25,320	51.8	+2.6
Smith, Paul J.	LAB	11,792	24.1	-5.8
Lawman, Sandra J.	LD	10,049	20.6	+2.3
Feisenberger, James R.	UKIP	1,054	2.2	-0.1
Dare, Graham T.	V	497	1.0	
Samuel, Mark R.L.	PC	185	0.4	
	Majority	13,528	27.7	
	Turnout	48,897	63.6	+2.2
	Swing	4.2%	from Labour to	Conservative

Parliamentary election, 2005

GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

The 2004 London Elections Page 177

Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
Dagenham				Labour hold
Cruddas, Jonathan	LAB	15,446	50.1	-7.1
White, Michael J.	CON	7,841	25.4	-0.3
Kempton, James	LD	3,106	10.1	-0.2
Rustem, Lawrence	BNP	2,870	9.3	+4.3
Batten, Gerard J.	UKIP	1,578	5.1	
	Majority	7,605	24.7	
	Turnout	30,841	51.3	+4.8
	Swing	3.4%	from Labour to	Conservative
Dulwich and West Norwood				Labour hold
Jowell, Tessa J.	LAB	19,059	45.4	-9.5
Mitchell, Jonathan S.	LD	10,252	24.4	+9.2
Humphreys, Mark E.K.	CON	9,200	21.9	-0.8
Jones, Jenny	GRE	2,741	6.5	+1.5
Atkinson, Ralph S.	UKIP	290	0.7	
Heather, David C.N.	V	241	0.6	
Rose, Amanda M.	SLAB	149	0.4	
Weleminsky, Judy	FIT	57	0.1	
	Majority	8,807	21.0	
	Turnout	41,989	58.1	+4.7
	Swing	9.4% fron	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat
Ealing Acton and Shepherd's	Bush			Labour hold
Slaughter, Andrew F.	LAB	16,579	41.8	-12.3
Gough, Jonathan H.	CON	11,059	27.9	+2.8
Malcolm, Gary	LD	9,986	25.2	+8.6
Burgess, Geoffrey P.	GRE	1,999	5.0	
	Majority	5,520	13.9	
	Turnout	39,623	56.2	+3.6
	Swing	7.5%	from Labour to	Conservative

Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
Ealing North	- Turty	Votes	refeemage	Labour hold
Pound, Stephen P.	LAB	20,956	45.1	-10.6
Curtis, Roger C.	CON	13,897	29.9	+0.6
Fruzza, Francesco R.A.	LD	9,148	19.7	+8.5
Outten, Alan G.	GRE	1,319	2.8	+0.5
Lambert, Robin A.D.	UKIP	692	1.5	+0.0
Malindine, David	V	495	1.1	
	Majority	7,059	15.2	
	Turnout	46,507	59.4	+1.4
	Swing	5.6%	from Labour to	Conservative
Ealing Southall				Labour hold
Khabra, Piara S.	LAB	22,937	48.8	+1.3
Bakhai, Nigel	LD	11,497	24.4	+14.4
Nicholson, Mark D.Y.	CON	10,147	21.6	+3.3
Edwards, Sarah J.	GRE	2,175	4.6	+0.1
Bilku, Malkiat	WRP	289	0.6	
	Majority	11,440	24.3	
	Turnout	47,045	56.2	-0.7
	Swing	6.6% fror	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat
East Ham				Labour hold
Timms, Stephen C.	LAB	21,326	53.9	-19.2
Mian, Abdul K.	R	8,171	20.7	
Macken, Sarah L.	CON	5,196	13.1	-3.5
Haigh, Ann M.	LD	4,296	10.9	+3.9
Bamber, David J.	CPA	580	1.5	
	Majority	13,155	33.2	
	Turnout	39,569	50.7	-1.7
	Swing	19	9.9% from Labo	our to Respect
Edmonton				Labour hold
Love, Andrew	LAB	18,456	53.2	-5.7
Zetter, Lionel	CON	10,381	29.9	-0.9
Kilbane-Dawe, Iarla	LD	4,162	12.0	+5.0
Armstrong, Nina	GRE	889	2.6	
Rolph, Gwyneth W.	UKIP	815	2.3	+1.2
	Majority	8,075	23.3	
	Turnout	34,703	59.1	+2,8
	Swing	2.4%	from Labour to	Conservative

Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
Eltham				Labour hold
Efford, Clive S.	LAB	15,381	43.6	-9.3
Drury, Spencer	CON	12,105	34.3	+2.2
Gerrard, Ian J.	LD	5,669	16.1	+3.9
Elms, Jeremy C.	UKIP	1,024	2.9	+0.8
Roberts, Barry J.	BNP	979	2.8	
Graham, Andrew J.	IND	147	0.4	-0.3
	Majority	3,276	9.3	
	Turnout	35,305	61.7	+3.0
	Swing	5.7%	from Labour to	Conservative
Enfield North				Labour hold
Ryan, Joan M.	LAB	18,055	44.3	-2.4
de Bois, Nicholas G.	CON	16,135	39.6	-1.1
Radford, Simon	LD	4,642	11.4	+2.6
Farr, Terence	BNP	1,004	2.5	+0.9
Robbens, Gary	UKIP	750	1.8	+0.7
Burns, Patrick	IND	163	0.4	
	Majority	1,920	4.7	
	Turnout	40,749	61.3	+4.3
	Swing	0.6%	from Labour to	Conservative
Enfield Southgate			Conse	ervative gain
Burrowes, David J.B.	CON	18,830	44.6	+6.0
Twigg, Stephen	LAB	17,083	40.5	-11.4
Kakoulakis, Ziz	LD	4,724	11.2	+4.2
Doughty, Trevor	GRE	1,083	2.6	+1.0
Hall, Brian	UKIP	490	1.2	+0.4
	Majority	1,747	4.1	
	Turnout	42,210	66.4	+2.8
	Swing	8.7%	from Labour to	Conservative

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Erith and Thamesmead				Labour hold
Austin, John E.	LAB	20,483	54.4	-4.9
Bromby, Christopher R.	CON	8,983	23.9	-1.9
Toole, Steven T.	LD	5,088	13.5	+2.1
Ravenscroft, Brian	BNP	1,620	4.3	
Thomas, Barrie R.	UKIP	1,477	3.9	
_	Majority	11,500	30.5	
	Turnout	37,651	52.3	+2.0
	Swing	1.5%	from Labour to	Conservative
Feltham and Heston				Labour hold
Keen, Alan	LAB	17,741	47.6	-11.6
Bowen, Mark	CON	10,921	29.3	+5.1
Khalsa, Satnam K.	LD	6,177	16.6	+2.8
Kemp, Graham	NF	975	2.6	
Anstis, Elizabeth	GRE	815	2.2	
Mullett, Leon	UKIP	612	1.6	
Prachar, Warwick	IND	41	0.1	
_	Majority	6,820	18.3	
	Turnout	37,282	49.5	+0.2
	Swing	8.3%	from Labour to	Conservative
Finchley and Golders Green				Labour hold
Vis, Rudi	LAB	17,487	40.5	-5.8
Mennear, Karl A.	CON	16,746	38.8	+1.0
Garden, Sue	LD	7,282	16.9	+4.8
Lynch, Noel	GRE	1,136	2.6	-0.5
Jacobs, Jeremy	UKIP	453	1.0	+0.3
Weiss, George	RDT	110	0.3	
_	Majority	741	1.7	
	Turnout	43,214	61.9	+4.6
	Swing	3.4%	from Labour to	Conservative

GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

The 2004 London Elections Page 179

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Greenwich and Woolwich				Labour hold
Raynsford, Nick	LAB	17,527	49.2	-11.3
Le Breton, Christopher	LD	7,381	20.7	+5.1
Craig, Alistair J.	CON	7,142	20.1	+0.8
Sharman, David T.	GRE	1,579	4.4	
Bushell, Garry	ED	1,216	3.4	
Gain, Stanley J.	UKIP	709	2.0	-0.1
Nagalingam, Puvarani T.	IND	61	0.2	
	Majority	10,146	28.5	
	Turnout	35,615	55.6	+1.5
	Swing	8.2% fron	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat
Hackney North and Stoke N	lewington			Labour hold
Abbott, Diane	LAB	14,268	48.6	-12.5
Blanchard, James	LD	6,841	23.3	+9.2
Hurer, Ertan	CON	4,218	14.4	-0.6
Borris, Mischa	GRE	2,907	9.9	+2.5
Vail, David	IND	602	2.0	
Sen, Nusrat	SLAB	296	1.0	-1.5
Barrow, Nigel	MRL	248	0.8	
_	Majority	7,427	25.3	
	Turnout	29,380	49.6	+0.6
	Swing	10.8% fron	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat
Hackney South and Shored	itch			Labour hold
Hillier, Meg	LAB	17,048	52.9	-11.3
Baylis, Hugh G.	LD	6,844	21.2	+6.7
Moss, John	CON	4,524	14.0	+0.3
Dan Iyan, Ipemndoh	GRE	1,779	5.5	
Ryan, Dean	R	1,437	4.5	
Rae, Benjamin	LIB	313	1.0	
Goldman, Monty	COMB	200	0.6	-0.2
Leff, Jonty	WRP	92	0.3	-0.2
	Majority	10,204	31.7	
	Turnout	32,237	49.7	+2.3
	Swing	9.0% fron	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 200
Hammersmith and Fulham			Conse	rvative gai
Hands, Greg	CON	22,407	45.4	+5.
Smallman, Melanie	LAB	17,378	35.2	-9.
Bullion, Alan	LD	7,116	14.4	+2.
Harrold, Fiona	GRE	1,933	3.9	+0.
Fisher, Giles	UKIP	493	1.0	+0.
	Majority	5,029	10.2	
	Turnout	49,327	62.4	+6.
	Swing	7.4%	from Labour to	Conservativ
Hampstead and Highgate				Labout hold
Jackson, Glenda M.	LAB	14,615	38.3	-8.0
Wauchope, Piers A.	CON	10,886	28.5	+3.
Fordham, Edward T.	LD	10,293	27.0	+6.
Berry, Sian R.	GRE	2,013	5.3	+0.
Nielsen, Magnus	UKIP	275	0.7	-0.
Weiss, George	RDT	91	0.2	
	Majority	3,729	9.8	
	Turnout	38,173	55.5	+1
	Swing	6.2%	from Labour to	Conservativ
Harrow East				Labour hole
McNulty, Tony	LAB	23,445	46.1	-9.
Ashton, David	CON	18,715	36.8	+4.
Nandhra, Prakash	LD	7,747	15.2	+2.
Cronin, Paul	UKIP	916	1.8	
	Majority	4,730	9.3	
	Turnout	50,823	60.5	+2.
	Swing	6.9%	from Labour to	Conservativ
Harrow West				Labour hole
Thomas, Gareth R.	LAB	20,298	42.5	-7.
Freer, Michael	CON	18,270	38.3	+1.3
Noyce, Christopher	LD	8,188	17.1	+4.
Cronin, Janice	UKIP	576	1.2	+0.
Daver, Berjis	IND	427	0.9	
	Majority	2,028	4.2	
	Turnout	47,759	64.3	+1.
	Swing	4 5%	from Labour to	Conservativ

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 200
Hayes and Harlington				Labour hold
McDonnell, John M.	LAB	19,009	58.7	-7.0
Worrall, Richard G.	CON	8,162	25.2	+1.1
Ball, Jon T.A.	LD	3,174	9.8	+3.8
Hazel, Tony L.	BNP	830	2.6	+0.4
Haley, Martin A.	UKIP	552	1.7	
Outten, Brian A.	GRE	442	1.4	
Goddard, Paul F.	IND	220	0.7	
	Majority	10,847	33.5	
	Turnout	32,389	56.3	+0.0
	Swing	4.0%	from Labour to	Conservative
Hendon				Labour hold
Dismore, Andrew	LAB	18,596	44.4	-8.0
Evans, Richard G.	CON	15,897	38.0	+3.7
Boethe, Nahid	LD	5,831	13.9	+2.4
Williams, David	GRE	754	1.8	
Smallman, Melvyn	UKIP	637	1.5	+0.5
Weiss, George	RDT	68	0.2	
Stewart, Michael	PD	56	0.1	-0.1
	Majority	2,699	6.5	
	Turnout	41,839	58.3	+6.1
	Swing	5.9%	from Labour to	Conservative
Holborn and St Pancras				Labour hold
Dobson, Frank G.	LAB	14,857	43.2	-10.6
Fraser, Jill E.	LD	10,070	29.3	+11.3
James, Margot C.	CON	6,482	18.9	+2.0
Oliver, Adrian J.	GRE	2,798	8.1	+2.1
Weiss, George	RDT	152	0.4	
	Majority	4,787	13.9	
	Turnout	34,359	50.4	+0.7
	Swing	11.0% from	n Labour to Libe	eral Democrat

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Hornchurch			Conse	rvative gain
Brokenshire, James P.	CON	16,355	42.8	+0.6
Cryer, John R.	LAB	15,875	41.6	-4.9
Green, Nathaniel J.	LD	2,894	7.6	-0.7
Moore, lan	BNP	1,313	3.4	
Webb, Lawrence J.	UKIP	1,033	2.7	+0.2
Brown, Malvin P.	REA	395	1.0	
Williamson, Graham K.	TW	304	0.8	+0.3
	Majority	480	1.3	
	Turnout	38,169	63.9	+5.6
	Swing	2.7%	from Labour to	Conservative
Hornsey and Wood Green			Liberal D	emocrat gain
Featherstone, Lynne	LD	20,512	43.3	+17.6
Roche, Barbara	LAB	18,117	38.3	-11.6
Forrest, Peter	CON	6,014	12.7	-3.0
Forbes, Jayne	GRE	2,377	5.0	-0.0
Freshwater, Roy A.	UKIP	310	0.7	
	Majority	2,395	5.1	
	Turnout	47,330	61.8	+3.8
	Swing	14.6% fron	n Labour to Libe	eral Democrat
Ilford North			Conse	rvative gain
Scott, Lee	CON	18,781	43.7	+3.1
Perham, Linda	LAB	17,128	39.8	-6.0
Gayler, Mark A.	LD	5,896	13.7	+2.0
Cross, Andrew	UKIP	902	2.1	+0.2
Levin, Martin	IND	293	0.7	
_	Majority	1,653	3.8	
	Turnout	43,000	60.8	+2.4
	Swing	4.6%	from Labour to	Conservative

GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

The 2004 London Elections Page 181

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Ilford South				Labour hold
Gapes, Michael J.	LAB	20,856	48.9	-10.8
Metcalfe, Stephen	CON	11,628	27.2	+1.5
Lake, Matthew	LD	8,761	20.5	+9.3
Rana, Kashif	BPP	763	1.8	
Taylor, Colin	UKIP	685	1.6	-1.8
_	Majority	9,228	21.6	
	Turnout	42,693	53.6	-0.7
	Swing	6.1%	from Labour to	Conservative
Islington North				Labour hold
Corbyn, Jeremy B.	LAB	16,118	51.2	-10.7
Willoughby, Laura	LD	9,402	29.9	+10.9
Talbot, Nicola E.	CON	3,740	11.9	+1.1
Nott, Jon R.	GRE	2,234	7.1	+0.9
_	Majority	6,716	21.3	
	Turnout	31,494	53.9	+5.1
	Swing	10.8% from Labour to Liberal Democra		
Islington South and Finsbury				Labour hold
Thornberry, Emily	LAB	12,345	39.9	-14.1
Fox, Bridget C.	LD	11,861	38.3	+10.2
McLean, Melanie L.	CON	4,594	14.8	+1.2
Humphreys, James W.	GRE	1,471	4.8	
Theophanides, Patricia T.	UKIP	470	1.5	
Gardener, Andrew J.E.	MRL	189	0.6	
Gidden, Chris	IND	31	0.1	
	Majority	484	1.6	
	Turnout	30,961	53.6	+6.2
	Swing	12.1% fron	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat

				Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Kensington and Chelsea			Cons	ervative hold
Rifkind, Malcolm L.	CON	18,144	57.9	+3.4
Kingsley, Jennifer	LD	5,726	18.3	+2.5
Atkinson, Catherine H.	LAB	5,521	17.6	-5.6
Stephenson, Julia C.	GRE	1,342	4.3	+0
Eiloart, Mildred J.	UKIP	395	1.3	-0
Bovill, Alfred J.S.	IND	107	0.3	
Adams, Edward S.	AGS	101	0.3	
	Majority	12,418	39.6	
	Turnout	31,336	50.0	+6.
	Swing	0.5% from Libe	ral Democrat to	Conservativ
Kingston and Surbiton			Liberal D	emocrat hol
Davey, Edward J.	LD	25,397	51.0	-9.
Davis, Kevin J.	CON	16,431	33.0	+4.
Parrott, Nicholas J.	LAB	6,553	13.2	+4.
Thornton, Barry	UKIP	657	1.3	+0.
Hayball, John D.	SLAB	366	0.7	+0.
Henson, David C.	V	200	0.4	
Weiss, George	RDT	146	0.3	
	Majority	8,966	18.0	
	Turnout	49,750	68.5	+0.
	Swing	7.0% from Libe	ral Democrat to	Conservativ
Lewisham Deptford				Labour hol
Ruddock, Joan M.	LAB	16,902	55.6	-9.
Blango, Columba	LD	5,091	16.8	+5.
Cartlidge, James	CON	3,773	12.4	-0.
Johnson, Darren P.	GRE	3,367	11.1	+4.
Page, Ian G.	SALT	742	2.4	
Holland, David	UKIP	518	1.7	
	Majority	11,811	38.9	
	Turnout	30,393	51.5	+3.
	Swing	7.2% from	n Labour to Libe	eral Democra

Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
Lewisham East				Labour hold
Prentice, Bridget	LAB	14,263	45.8	-7.9
Cleverly, James	CON	7,512	24.1	+0.3
Thomas, Richard K.	LD	6,787	21.8	+5.4
Baker, Anna	GRE	1,243	4.0	
Tarling, Arnold	UKIP	697	2.2	+1.0
Franklin, Bernard F.	NF	625	2.0	
	Majority	6,751	21.7	
	Turnout	31,127	52.6	-0.5
	Swing	4.1%	from Labour to	Conservative
Lewisham West				Labour hold
Dowd, Jim P.	LAB	16,611	52.0	-9.0
Feakes, Alexander D.	LD	6,679	20.9	+7.5
McAnuff, Evett	CON	6,396	20.0	-2.3
Long, Nicholas	GRE	1,464	4.6	
Winton, Jens	UKIP	773	2.4	+0.8
	Majority	9,932	31.1	
	Turnout	31,923	54.7	+2.6
	Swing	8.2% from	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat
Leyton and Wanstead				Labour hold
Cohen, Harry M.	LAB	15,234	45.8	-12.2
Khan, Meher	LD	8,377	25.2	+9.2
Foster, Julien A.S.	CON	7,393	22.2	+2.5
Gunstock, Ashley	GRE	1,522	4.6	+1.5
Jones, Nicholas W.	UKIP	591	1.8	+0.7
Robertson, Marc T.	IND	155	0.5	
	Majority	6,857	20.6	
	Turnout	33,272	55.0	+0.3
	Swing	10.7% from	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat

	_		_	Change
Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Mitcham and Morden				Labour hold
McDonagh, Siobhain A.	LAB	22,489	56.4	-4.0
Shellhorn, Andrew D.	CON	9,929	24.9	+0.8
Christie-Smith, Johanna A.E.	LD	5,583	14.0	+3.9
Walsh, Thomas J.	GRE	1,395	3.5	+1.1
Roberts, Adrian K.J.	V	286	0.7	
Alagaratnam, Rathy	IND	186	0.5	
	Majority	12,560	31.5	
	Turnout	39,868	61.2	+3.4
	Swing	2.4%	from Labour to	Conservative
Old Bexley and Sidcup			Con	servative hold
Conway, Derek L.	CON	22,191	49.8	+4.4
Moore, Gavin F.	LAB	12,271	27.5	-9.9
O'Hare, Nicholas	LD	6,564	14.7	+1.0
Barnbrook, Michael J.	UKIP	2,015	4.5	+1.1
Sayers, Claire	BNP	1,227	2.8	
Peters, Gregory J.	IND	304	0.7	
_	Majority	9,920	22.3	
	Turnout	44,572	65.3	+3.2
	Swing	7.2%	from Labour to	Conservative
Orpington			Con	servative hold
Horam, John R.	CON	26,718	48.8	+4.9
Maines, Christopher S.	LD	21,771	39.8	-3.6
Bird, Emily C.	LAB	4,914	9.0	-1.9
Greenhough, James M.	UKIP	1,331	2.4	+0.5
	Majority	4,947	9.0	
	Turnout	54,734	69.9	+5.4
	Swing	4.3% from Libe	ral Democrat to	Conservative

Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
Poplar and Canning Town				Labour hold
Fitzpatrick, Jim	LAB	15,628	40.1	-21.1
Archer, Timothy J.	CON	8,499	21.8	+2.0
Rahman, Oliur	R	6,573	16.8	
Ludlow, Janet I.	LD	5,420	13.9	+2.8
McGrenera, Terence	GRE	955	2.4	
Hoque, Mohamed A.	IND	815	2.1	
Smith, Anthony	V	650	1.7	
Ademolake, Simeon A.	CPA	470	1.2	
_	Majority	7,129	18.3	
	Turnout	39,010	47.8	+3.0
	Swing	11.5%	from Labour to	Conservative
Putney			Conse	ervative gain
Greening, Justine	CON	15,497	42.4	+4.0
Colman, Anthony J.	LAB	13,731	37.5	-8.9
Ambache, Jeremy N.	LD	5.965	16.3	+2.7
Magnum, Keith O.	GRE	993	2.7	
Gahan, Anthony J.	UKIP	388	1.1	+0.0
	Majority	1,766	4.8	
	Turnout	36,574	59.5	+3.0
	Swing	6.5%	from Labour to	Conservative
Regent's Park and Kensing	jton North			Labour hold
Buck, Karen P.	LAB	18,196	44.7	-9.9
Bradshaw, Jeremy S.	CON	12,065	29.7	+2.7
Martins, Rabinda	LD	7,569	18.6	+6.0
Miller, Paul A.	GRE	1,985	4.9	+1.5
Perrin, Pamela M.	UKIP	456	1.1	+0.2
Boufas, Rezouk	CP	227	0.6	
Dharamsi, Abdulla J.	IND	182	0.4	
	Majority	6,131	15.1	
	Turnout	40,680	51.5	+2.7
	Swing	6.3%	from Labour to	Conservative

Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
Richmond Park			Liberal D	emocrat hold
Kramer, Susan V.	LD	24,011	46.7	-1.0
Forgione, Marco F.	CON	20,280	39.5	+1.9
Butler, James	LAB	4,768	9.3	-2.0
Page, James R.	GRE	1,379	2.7	+0.2
Dul, Peter J.	UKIP	458	0.9	+0.2
Flower, Peter J.	CPA	288	0.6	
Harrison, Margaret J.	IND	83	0.2	
Weiss, George	RDT	63	0.1	
Meacock, Richard	IND	44	0.1	
	Majority	3,731	7.3	
	Turnout	51,374	72.8	+4.8
	Swing	1.4% from Libe	ral Democrat to	Conservative
Romford			Cons	servative hold
Rosindell, Andrew R.	CON	21,560	59.1	+6.1
Mullane, Margaret	LAB	9,971	27.3	-9.0
Seeff, Geoffrey M.	LD	3,066	8.4	+0.4
McCaffrey, John	BNP	1,088	3.0	+1.8
Murray, Terry P.	UKIP	797	2.2	+0.7
	Majority	11,589	31.8	
	Turnout	36,482	62.3	+2.7
	Swing	7.5%	from Labour to	Conservative
Ruislip Northwood			Cons	servative hold
Hurd, Nicholas R.	CON	18,939	47.7	-1.0
Cox, Michael F.	LD	10,029	25.3	+6.0
Riley, Ashley D.	LAB	8,323	21.0	-7.5
Lee, Graham J.	GRE	892	2.2	+0.3
Edward, Ian	NF	841	2.1	
Courtenay, Roland B.S.	UKIP	646	1.6	
	Majority	8,910	22.5	
	Turnout	39,670	65.3	+4.2
	Swing	3.5% from Con	servative to Libe	eral Democrat


Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
		Votes		
Southwark North and Bern	nondsey		Liberal D	emocrat holo
Hughes, Simon H.W.	LD	17,874	47.1	-9.9
McNeill, Kirsty	LAB	12,468	32.8	+2.0
Branch, David	CON	4,752	12.5	+4.9
Poorun, Storm	GRE	1,137	3.0	+1.0
Robson, Lynnda N.	UKIP	791	2.1	+1.3
Winnett, Paul	NF	704	1.9	+0.2
Lawanson, Simisola C.O.	CPA	233	0.6	
	Majority	5,406	14.2	
	Turnout	37,959	48.2	-2.0
	Swing	5.9% fror	n Liberal Democ	rat to Labou
Streatham				Labour hold
Hill, Keith	LAB	18,950	46.7	-10.2
Sanders, Darren	LD	11,484	28.3	+10.0
Sproule, James	CON	7,238	17.8	-0.
Collins, Shane	GRE	2,245	5.5	+1.
Gittings, Trevor	UKIP	396	1.0	
Colvill, William	WRP	127	0.3	
Stone, Philippa	IND	100	0.2	
West, Robert	IND	40	0.1	
Acheng, Sarah	IND	35	0.1	
	Majority	7,466	18.4	
	Turnout	40,615	51.3	+2.6
	Swing	10.1% fror	n Labour to Libe	eral Democra
outton and Cheam			Liberal D	emocrat holo
Burstow, Paul	LD	19,768	47.1	-1.6
Willis, Richard	CON	16,922	40.4	+2.4
Shukla, Anand	LAB	4,954	11.8	-1.4
Weiss, George	RDT	288	0.7	
_	Majority	2,846	6.8	
	Turnout	41,932	66.2	+3.8
	Swina	2.0% from Libe	ral Democrat to	Conservative

Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
Tooting	raity	votes	reicentage	Labour hold
looting				Labour noid
Khan, Sadiq A.	LAB	17,914	43.1	-11.0
Bethell, James N.	CON	12,533	30.2	+3.7
Dearden, Stephanie M.	LD	8,110	19.5	+4.7
Vitelli, Siobhan M.	GRE	1,695	4.1	-0.6
Zaidi, Ali J.	R	700	1.7	
McDonald, Strachan D.	UKIP	424	1.0	
Perkin, Ian K.	IND	192	0.5	
	Majority	5,381	12.9	
	Turnout	41,568	59.0	+4.0
	Swing	7.4%	from Labour to	Conservative
Tottenham				Labour hold
Lammy, David	LAB	18,343	57.9	-9.5
Hoban, Wayne	LD	5,309	16.8	+7.2
Macdougall, William	CON	4,278	13.5	-0.4
Alder, Janet	R	2,014	6.4	
McAskie, Peter	GRE	, 1,457	4.6	+0.0
Durrani, Jaamit	SLAB	263	0.8	
	Majority	13,034	41.2	
	Turnout	31,664	47.8	-0.4
	Swing	,	n Labour to Lib	
Twickenham			Liberal [Democrat hold
Cable, John V.	LD	26,696	51.6	+2.9
Maynard, Paul C.	CON	16,731	32.4	-1.0
Whitington, Brian	LAB	5,868	11.4	-2.5
Gower, Henry B.L.	GRE	1,445	2.8	-0.1
Orchard, Douglas	UKIP	766	1.5	+0.3
Gilbert, Brian P.	IND	117	0.2	+0.5
· ·	RDT	64	0.2	
Weiss, George				
	Majority	9,965	19.3	
	Turnout	51,687	71.8	+5.4
	Swing	2.0% from Con	servative to Lib	eral Democrat

GLA Data Management and Analysis Group The 2004 London Elections Page 185

Watkinson, Angela E. CON 16,820 48.5 +3 43 43.2 43.4 43.2 43.4 43.2 43.4 43.2 43.4 43.2 43.4 43.2 43.4 43.2 43.4 43.2 43.4 43.2 43.5 43.4 43.2 43.5 43.4 43.2 43.5 43.4 43.2 43.5 43.4 43.2 43.5 43.4 43.5 4					Change
Watkinson, Angela E. CON 16,820 48.5 +3 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.1 -10 10,778 31.2 -10 10,778 31.4 -10 10,778 31.4 -10 10,778 31.4 -10 10,778 31.4 -10 10,778 31.4 -10 10,778 31.4 -10 10,778 31.4 -10 10,778 31.4 -10 10,778 31.6 -10 10,778 31.6 -10 10,778 31.6 -10 10,778 31.0	Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	since 2001
Darvill, Keith E. Truesdale, Peter J. Ower, Ronald F.C. Roberts, Christopher Hindle, Alan G. Collins, Melanie J. Durant, David W. Randall, Alexander J. Dubrow-Marshall, Roderick P. LAB	Upminster			Cons	servative hold
Truesdale, Peter J. Ower, Ronald F.C. REA REA 1,455 4,2 Roberts, Christopher Hindle, Alan G. Collins, Melanie J. Durant, David W. TW Turnout 34,676 63.0 Conservative hore Swing Conservative hore Conservati	Watkinson, Angela E.	CON	16,820	48.5	+3.0
Ower, Ronald F.C. Roberts, Christopher Hindle, Alan G. Collins, Melanie J. Durant, David W. Randall, Alexander J. Dubrow-Marshall, Roderick P. Lab May, Clifford J. Young, Stephen Kerby, Robert S. Shaw, Peter Wauxhall Hoey, Kate L. Anglin, Charles Heckels, Edward Summers, Tim McWhirter, Robert A. REA 1,455 4,2 REA 1,475 3,44 3,44 3,44 1,66 RE 1,705 4,6 RE REA 1,705	Darvill, Keith E.	LAB	10,778	31.1	-10.8
Roberts, Christopher Hindle, Alan G. Collins, Melanie J. Durant, David W. TW 78 0.2 Majority 6,042 17.4 Turnout 34,676 63.0 Swing 6.9% from Labour to Conservative Inc. Conservative Inc. Randall, Alexander J. Dubrow-Marshall, Roderick P. Mahmood, Tariq Le May, Clifford J. Young, Stephen Kerby, Robert S. Shaw, Peter Majority 6,171 18.0 Turnout 34,378 59.4 1 Swing 5.8% from Labour to Conservative Inc. Vauxhall Labour holds Vauxhall Labour holds Labour holds Labour holds LAB 19,744 52.9 64.1 64.6 65.6 63.0 63.0 63.0 63.0 63.0 63.0 63.0 63	Truesdale, Peter J.	LD	3,128	9.0	-0.4
Hindle, Alan G. Collins, Melanie J. Durant, David W. TW 78 0.2 Majority 6,042 17.4 Turnout 34,676 63.0 +3 Swing 6.9% from Labour to Conservative hore Randall, Alexander J. Dubrow-Marshall, Roderick P. Mahmood, Tariq Le May, Clifford J. Young, Stephen Kerby, Robert S. Shaw, Peter Majority 6,042 17.4 Turnout 34,676 63.0 +3 Conservative hore Conse	Ower, Ronald F.C.	REA	1,455	4.2	
Collins, Melanie J. Durant, David W. TW 78 0.2 Majority 6,042 17.4 Turnout 34,676 63.0 5wing 6.9% from Labour to Conservative horsely horse	Roberts, Christopher	BNP	1,173	3.4	
Durant, David W. TW 78 0.2 Majority 6,042 17.4 Turnout 34,676 63.0 +3 Swing 6.9% from Labour to Conservative hot Conservative hot Randall, Alexander J. Dubrow-Marshall, Roderick P. Mahmood, Tariq LD 4,544 13.2 +3 Le May, Clifford J. Young, Stephen GRE 725 2.1 Kerby, Robert S. Shaw, Peter NF 284 0.8 Majority 6,171 18.0 Turnout 34,378 59.4 +1 Swing 5.8% from Labour to Conservative hot Vauxhall Hoey, Kate L. Anglin, Charles LD 9,767 26.1 +6 Heckels, Edward CON 5,405 14.5 +1 Summers, Tim GRE 1,705 4.6 +6 McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	Hindle, Alan G.	UKIP	701	2.0	-1.2
Majority	Collins, Melanie J.	GRE	543	1.6	
Turnout 34,676 63.0 +3 Swing 6.9% from Labour to Conservation Swing 6.9% from Labour to Conservation	Durant, David W.	TW	78	0.2	
Swing 6.9% from Labour to Conservation		Majority	6,042	17.4	
Name		Turnout	34,676	63.0	+3.4
Randall, Alexander J. CON 16,840 49.0 +1		Swing	6.9%	from Labour to	Conservative
Dubrow-Marshall, Roderick P. LAB 10,669 31.0 -5 Mahmood, Tariq LD 4,544 13.2 +3 Le May, Clifford J. BNP 763 2.2 Young, Stephen GRE 725 2.1 Kerby, Robert S. UKIP 553 1.6 -6 Shaw, Peter NF 284 0.8 Majority 6,171 18.0 Turnout 34,378 59.4 +1 Swing 5.8% from Labour to Conservati Vauxhall Labour ho Hoey, Kate L. LAB 19,744 52.9 -6 Anglin, Charles LD 9,767 26.1 +6 Heckels, Edward CON 5,405 14.5 +1 Summers, Tim GRE 1,705 4.6 +6 McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	Uxbridge			Cons	servative hold
Mahmood, Tariq Le May, Clifford J. Young, Stephen Kerby, Robert S. Shaw, Peter Majority Swing Sing Sing Sing Sing Sing Sing Sing S	Randall, Alexander J.	CON	16,840	49.0	+1.9
Le May, Clifford J. Young, Stephen GRE GRE 725 2.1 Kerby, Robert S. UKIP 553 1.6 -C Shaw, Peter NF 284 0.8 Majority 6,171 18.0 Turnout 34,378 59.4 +1 Swing 5.8% from Labour to Conservation Vauxhall Labour ho Hoey, Kate L. Anglin, Charles LD 9,767 26.1 +6 Heckels, Edward Summers, Tim GRE 1,705 4.6 +C McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	Dubrow-Marshall, Roderick P.	LAB	10,669	31.0	-9.8
Young, Stephen GRE 725 2.1 Kerby, Robert S. UKIP 553 1.6 -0 Shaw, Peter NF 284 0.8 -0 Majority 6,171 18.0 -1	Mahmood, Tariq	LD	4,544	13.2	+3.0
Kerby, Robert S. UKIP 553 1.6 -0.5 Shaw, Peter NF 284 0.8 Majority 6,171 18.0 Turnout 34,378 59.4 +1 Swing 5.8% from Labour to Conservation Vauxhall Labour hoteless Labour hotel	Le May, Clifford J.	BNP	763	2.2	
Shaw, Peter NF 284 0.8 Majority 6,171 18.0 Turnout 34,378 59.4 +1 Swing 5.8% from Labour to Conservation Vauxhall Labour hote	Young, Stephen	GRE	725	2.1	
Majority 6,171 18.0 Turnout 34,378 59.4 +1 Swing 5.8% from Labour to Conservati Vauxhall Hoey, Kate L. Anglin, Charles LD 9,767 26.1 +6 Heckels, Edward CON 5,405 14.5 +1 Summers, Tim GRE McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	Kerby, Robert S.	UKIP	553	1.6	-0.2
Vauxhall Labour ho Hoey, Kate L. LAB 19,744 52.9 -€ Anglin, Charles LD 9,767 26.1 +€ Heckels, Edward CON 5,405 14.5 +1 Summers, Tim GRE 1,705 4.6 +€ McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	Shaw, Peter	NF	284	0.8	
Swing 5.8% from Labour to Conservation Vauxhall Labour ho Hoey, Kate L. LAB 19,744 52.9 -6 Anglin, Charles LD 9,767 26.1 +6 Heckels, Edward CON 5,405 14.5 +1 Summers, Tim GRE 1,705 4.6 +6 McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	_	Majority	6,171	18.0	
Vauxhall Labour ho Hoey, Kate L. LAB 19,744 52.9 -6 Anglin, Charles LD 9,767 26.1 +6 Heckels, Edward CON 5,405 14.5 +1 Summers, Tim GRE 1,705 4.6 +0 McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7		Turnout	34,378	59.4	+1.8
Hoey, Kate L. Anglin, Charles LD 9,767 26.1 Heckels, Edward Summers, Tim GRE McWhirter, Robert A. LAB 19,744 52.9 -6 14.5 +6 14.5 +1 17.05 4.6 +6 4.6 4.6 4.6		Swing	5.8%	from Labour to	Conservative
Anglin, Charles LD 9,767 26.1 +6 Heckels, Edward CON 5,405 14.5 +1 Summers, Tim GRE 1,705 4.6 +0 McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	Vauxhall				Labour hold
Heckels, Edward CON 5,405 14.5 +1 Summers, Tim GRE 1,705 4.6 +C McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	Hoey, Kate L.	LAB	19,744	52.9	-6.3
Summers, Tim GRE 1,705 4.6 +C McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	Anglin, Charles	LD	9,767	26.1	+6.0
McWhirter, Robert A. UKIP 271 0.7	Heckels, Edward	CON	5,405	14.5	+1.0
	Summers, Tim	GRE	1,705	4.6	+0.1
	McWhirter, Robert A.	UKIP	271	0.7	
Lambert, Daniel SP 240 0.6	Lambert, Daniel	SP	240	0.6	
Polenceus, Janus ED 221 0.6	Polenceus, Janus	ED	221	0.6	
Majority 9,977 26.7	_	Majority	9,977	26.7	
			37,353	46.9	+2.1
Swing 6.1% from Labour to Liberal Democ		Swing	6.1% fron	n Labour to Libe	eral Democrat

Constituency/Candidate name	Party	Votes	Percentage	Change since 2001
Walthamstow	- Turty	Votes	refeetinge	Labour hold
Gerrard, Neil F.	LAB	17,323	50.3	-11.9
Ahmed, Farid	LD	9,330	27.1	+12.5
Wright, Jane A.	CON	6,254	18.2	+0.1
Brock, Robert J.	UKIP	810	2.4	+1.5
Taaffe, Nancy	SALT	727	2.1	-0.2
	Majority	7,993	23.2	
	Turnout	34,444	54.6	+1.1
	Swing	•	n Labour to Lib	eral Democrat
West Ham				Labour hold
Brown, Lyn C.	LAB	15,840	51.2	-18.7
German, Lindsey A.	R	6,039	19.5	
Whitbread, Christopher L.	CON	3,618	11.7	-4.7
Sugden, Alexandra E.	LD	3,364	10.9	+3.5
Lithgow, Jane A.	GRE	894	2.9	-1.2
Hammond, Stephen C.	CPA	437	1.4	
Mayhew, Henry E.B.	UKIP	409	1.3	-0.9
Alcantara, Generoso	V	365	1.2	
	Majority	9,801	31.7	
	Turnout	30,966	49.8	+0.9
	Swing	19	9.1% from Labo	ur to Respect
Wimbledon			Conse	rvative gain
Hammond, Stephen W.	CON	17,886	41.2	+4.6
Casale, Roger M.	LAB	15,585	35.9	-9.8
Gee, Stephen M.	LD	7,868	18.1	+5.1
Barrow, Giles T.	GRE	1,374	3.2	+0.7
Mills, Andrew T.	UKIP	408	0.9	-0.1
Coverdale, Christopher	IND	211	0.5	
Wilson, Alastair P.	TEPK	50	0.1	
Weiss, George	RDT	22	0.1	
	Majority	2,301	5.3	
	Turnout	43,404	68.1	+3.8
	Swing	7.2%	from Labour to	Conservative




The borough summaries


The following pages present summaries of the 2006 elections in each London borough. The City of London does not appear because Common Council is elected on a different basis. The votes (and derived percentages) shown are the raw votes and make no allowance for differing sizes of ward representation nor of patterns of nominations by different parties and groups. The swings shown are the total vote swings and are also based on the raw votes cast.

Barking and Dagenham - Labour administration

Turnout 38.3%; 6.5% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	58,377	55.6	43	Labour	41,305	62.8	42
Conservative	16,977	16.2	1	Liberal Democrat	12,989	19.7	3
British National Party	14,789	14.1	11	Conservative	6,809	10.4	2
UK Independence Party	9,284	8.9	_	Residents	4,165	6.3	4
Independent	2,353	2.2	_	Green	306	0.5	_
Green	1,730	1.6	_	Independent	210	0.3	_
Liberal Democrat	1,392	1.3	_	_			
Total	104,902	100.0	51	Total	65,784	100.0	51






Barnet - Conservative administration

Turnout 41.7%; 5.3% swing from Labour to Conservative


			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	116,159	45.8	37	Conservative	94,692	42.7	33
Labour	72,096	28.4	20	Labour	79,775	36.0	24
Liberal Democrat	51,878	20.4	6	Liberal Democrat	39,470	17.8	6
Green	11,637	4.6	_	Green	6,822	3.1	_
Independent	1,419	0.6	_	Independent	854	0.4	_
UK Independence Party	683	0.3	_	UK Independence Party	148	0.1	_
Total	253,872	100.0	63	Total	221,761	100.0	63




Bexley - Conservative administration

Turnout 42.3%; 9.3% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	107,326	54.7	54	Conservative	71,280	44.4	30
Labour	52,461	26.8	9	Labour	56,211	35.0	32
Liberal Democrat	18,781	9.6	_	Liberal Democrat	25,069	15.6	1
Independent	8,719	4.4	_	UK Independence Party	3,193	2.0	_
UK Independence Party	3,780	1.9	_	British National Party	2,206	1.4	_
British National Party	2,869	1.5	_	Independent	2,150	1.3	_
Residents' Association	1,745	0.9	_	Green	365	0.2	_
English Democrats	392	0.2	_	English Democrats	38	0.0	_
Total	196,073	100.0	63	Total	160,512	100.0	63







Brent - Liberal Democrat - Conservative joint administration

Turnout 37.3%; 1.1% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	71,747	36.7	21	Labour	68,086	44.2	35
Conservative	57,847	29.6	15	Conservative	53,808	34.9	19
Liberal Democrat	56,740	29.0	27	Liberal Democrat	26,699	17.3	9
Green	8,120	4.2	_	Green	3,889	2.5	_
Independent	731	0.4	_	Independent	748	0.5	_
Respect - The Unity Coalition	463	0.2	_	Residents' Association	491	0.3	_
				Socialist Alliance	288	0.2	_
				Christian Peoples Alliance	99	0.1	_
				UK Independence Party	76	0.0	_
Total	195,648	100.0	63	Total	154,184	100.0	63






Bromley - Conservative administration

Turnout 42%; 6% swing from Liberal Democrat to Conservative


			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	146,156	57.0	49	Conservative	117,409	51.5	41
Liberal Democrat	67,283	26.3	7	Liberal Democrat	74,648	32.8	13
Labour	32,195	12.6	4	Labour	32,182	14.1	6
Green	4,651	1.8	_	Green	1,799	0.8	_
Independent	4,317	1.7	_	UK Independence Party	1,078	0.5	_
British National Party	1,269	0.5	_	Liberal Party	430	0.2	_
UK Independence Party	396	0.2	_	National front	192	0.1	_
_				Christian Peoples Alliance	135	0.1	_
Total	256,267	100.0	60	Total	227,873	100.0	60




Camden - Liberal Democrat - Conservative joint administration

Turnout 37.5%; 4.7% swing from Labour to Liberal Democrat

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	47,715	30.0	18	Labour	40,138	34.9	35
Liberal Democrat	44,141	27.7	20	Conservative	30,863	26.8	11
Conservative	43,443	27.3	14	Liberal Democrat	26,768	23.3	8
Green	22,585	14.2	2	Green	15,186	13.2	_
Respect - The Unity Coalition	781	0.5	_	Socialist Alliance	931	0.8	_
Independent	468	0.3	_	Independent	813	0.7	_
UK Independence Party	63	0.0	_	Christian Peoples Alliance	279	0.2	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	25	0.0	_	_			
Total	159,221	100.0	54	Total	114,978	100.0	54






Croydon - Conservative administration

Turnout 40.4%; 4.6% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	139,580	52.9	43	Conservative	108,422	46.8	32
Labour	78,121	29.6	27	Labour	75,797	32.7	37
Liberal Democrat	26,462	10.0	_	Liberal Democrat	27,608	11.9	1
Green	11,421	4.3	_	Labour and Cooperative	13,883	6.0	_
UK Independence Party	3,405	1.3	_	Independent	2,167	0.9	_
British National Party	1,464	0.6	_	The Peoples Choice	1,932	0.8	_
Independent	1,083	0.4	_	UK Independence Party	1,240	0.5	_
Pension Action Alliance	1,035	0.4	_	Green	278	0.1	_
The Peoples Choice	489	0.2	_	Monster Raving Looney	209	0.1	_
Independent Resident	229	0.1	_	_			
Monster Raving Looney	200	0.1	_				
Communist Party of Britain	177	0.1	_				
Total	263,666	100.0	70	Total	231,536	100.0	70




GLA Data Management and Analysis Group

Ealing - Conservative administration

Turnout 37.7%; 10.5% swing from Labour to Conservative


			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	92,332	40.2	37	Labour	90,035	47.3	48
Labour	80,843	35.2	29	Conservative	59,589	31.3	17
Liberal Democrat	45,910	20.0	3	Liberal Democrat	31,763	16.7	4
Green	6,871	3.0	_	Green	5,871	3.1	_
Respect - The Unity Coalition	2,141	0.9	_	Socialist Labour Party	2,287	1.2	_
Independent	1,078	0.5	_	UK Independence Party	331	0.2	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	305	0.1	_	Independent	229	0.1	_
UK Independence Party	104	0.0	_	Socialist Alliance	207	0.1	_
Total	229,584	100.0	69	Total	190,312	100.0	69



Enfield - Conservative administration

Turnout 38%; 1.3% swing from Conservative to Labour


				2006				2002
Pa	arty	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Co	onservative	97,172	47.2	34	Conservative	99,459	52.2	39
La	bour	69,413	33.7	27	Labour	68,713	36.1	24
Lil	beral Democrat	16,232	7.9	_	Liberal Democrat	17,544	9.2	_
Sa	ave Chase Farm	12,470	6.1	2	Green	2,716	1.4	_
	reen K Independence Party	7,834 2,355	3.8 1.1	_	Labour and Cooperative UK Independence Party	1,182 626	0.6 0.3	_
	dependent	460	0.2	_	Independent	190	0.1	_
	·				Socialist Alliance	95	0.0	_
					Christian Peoples Alliance	77	0.0	_
То	tal	205,936	100.0	63	Total	190,602	100.0	63




Greenwich - Labour administration

Turnout 35.8%; 5.8% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	66,689	41.3	36	Labour	66,063	52.0	38
Conservative	48,788	30.2	13	Conservative	37,160	29.3	9
Liberal Democrat	31,848	19.7	2	Liberal Democrat	19,972	15.7	4
Green	6,241	3.9	_	Green	1,574	1.2	_
Independent	3,006	1.9	_	UK Independence Party	568	0.4	_
UK Independence Party	1,455	0.9	_	Christian Peoples Alliance	547	0.4	_
Residents' Association	1,393	0.9	_	Socialist Alliance	507	0.4	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	1,088	0.7	_	English Democrats	433	0.3	_
British National Party	976	0.6	_	Independent	215	0.2	_
Total	161,484	100.0	51	Total	127,039	100.0	51






Hackney - Labour administration

Turnout 34.4%; 1.7% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	56,839	45.6	44	Labour	53,669	50.7	45
Conservative	23,009	18.5	9	Conservative	21,203	20.0	9
Liberal Democrat	21,149	17.0	3	Liberal Democrat	13,526	12.8	3
Green	18,190	14.6	1	Green	9,106	8.6	_
Respect - The Unity Coalition	2,379	1.9	_	Socialist Alliance	3,355	3.2	_
Independent Resident	2,142	1.7	_	Christian Peoples Alliance	1,998	1.9	_
Socialist Unity	421	0.3	_	Independent	1,337	1.3	_
Communist Party of Britain	190	0.2	_	Independent Working Class Ass'	n 1,205	1.1	_
Independent	167	0.1	_	Liberal Party	270	0.3	_
Liberal Party	51	0.0	_	Socialist Alternative	155	0.1	_
-				Communist Party	123	0.1	_
Total	124,537	100.0	57	Total	105,947	100.0	57






Hammersmith and Fulham - Conservative administration

Turnout 39.7%; 8.1% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	64,711	50.4	33	Conservative	43,107	43.1	18
Labour	42,554	33.1	13	Labour	41,971	42.0	28
Liberal Democrat	17,816	13.9	_	Liberal Democrat	14,028	14.0	_
Independent	2,509	2.0	_	Independent	534	0.5	_
Green	597	0.5	_	Socialist Alliance	193	0.2	_
British National Party	236	0.2	_	UK Independence Party	116	0.1	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	80	0.1	_	Socialist Labour Party	63	0.1	_
Total	128,503	100.0	46	Total	100,012	100.0	46






Haringey - Labour administration

Turnout 35.8%; 8% swing from Labour to Liberal Democrat


			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	57,971	37.2	30	Labour	52,160	44.4	42
Liberal Democrat	57,263	36.7	27	Liberal Democrat	32,957	28.1	15
Conservative	21,926	14.1	_	Conservative	20,208	17.2	_
Green	15,823	10.1	_	Green	9,597	8.2	_
Respect - The Unity Coalition	2,208	1.4	_	Socialist Alliance	1,965	1.7	_
Independent	756	0.5	_	Independent	393	0.3	_
_				Socialist Labour Party	112	0.1	_
Total	155,947	100.0	57	Total	117,392	100.0	57



Harrow - Conservative administration

Turnout 41.4%; 4.6% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	90,013	47.7	38	Conservative	69,531	50.8	29
Labour	59,247	31.4	24	Labour	59,852	43.8	31
Liberal Democrat	37,013	19.6	1	People's Independent Party	3,432	2.5	_
Green	1,507	0.8	_	Liberal Democrat	3,168	2.3	3
People's Independent Party	574	0.3	_	Green	768	0.6	_
Independent	322	0.2	_	_			
Total	188,676	100.0	63	Total	136,751	100.0	63





Page 202 The 2004 London Elections

Havering - Conservative administration

Turnout 39.5%; 2.1% swing from Conservative to Residents

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	73,850	38.2	34	Conservative	89,698	38.9	26
Residents' Association	57,269	29.6	13	Residents' Association	60,188	26.1	18
Labour	31,721	16.4	2	Labour	59,309	25.7	9
Independent Resident	5,796	3.0	3	Liberal Democrat	12,626	5.5	1
UK Independence Party	5,649	2.9	_	Independent Working Class A	ss'n 5,334	2.3	_
Independent	5,291	2.7	_	Third Way	1,803	0.8	_
Third Way	5,080	2.6	_	UK Independence Party	660	0.3	_
Liberal Democrat	4,921	2.5	1	Independent	608	0.3	_
Green	2,028	1.0	_	Green	603	0.3	_
British National Party	1,591	0.8	1				
Total	193,196	100.0	54	Total	230,829	100.0	54







Hillingdon - Conservative administration

Turnout 38.1%; 8.7% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	97,567	50.4	45	Conservative	73,758	43.9	31
Labour	49,027	25.3	18	Labour	60,820	36.2	27
Liberal Democrat	39,532	20.4	2	Liberal Democrat	29,350	17.4	7
Green	3,426	1.8	_	Green	2,053	1.2	_
Independent	2,785	1.4	_	Independent	1,237	0.7	_
National front	1,142	0.6	_	British National Party	867	0.5	_
				Socialist Alternative	114	0.1	_
Total	193,479	100.0	65	Total	168,199	100.0	65






Hounslow - Conservative - Community minority administration

Turnout 37.8%; 3.1% swing from Labour to Conservative


			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	55,814	36.9	24	Labour	49,394	42.4	36
Conservative	48,587	32.1	23	Conservative	36,534	31.3	15
Liberal Democrat	19,809	13.1	5	Liberal Democrat	16,784	14.4	5
Independent Alliance	11,572	7.7	2	Community (LB Hounslow)	7,284	6.2	3
Community (LB Hounslow)	8,927	5.9	6	A future for Brentford FC	3,993	3.4	1
Green	4,593	3.0	_	Green	1,500	1.3	_
National Front	1,009	0.7	_	Independent	1,062	0.9	_
Independent	824	0.5	_	_			
Total	151,135	100.0	60	Total	116,551	100.0	60




Islington - Liberal Democrat administration

Turnout 33.1%; 6% swing from Liberal Democrat to Labour

			2006		2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party Votes %	Seats
Labour	41,404	34.9	23	Liberal Democrat 45,753 45.8	38
Liberal Democrat	39,238	33.1	24	Labour 35,651 35.7	10
Green	19,736	16.6	1	Green 10,775 10.8	_
Conservative	14,121	11.9	_	Conservative 4,150 4.2	_
■ Independent Working Class A	ss'n 3,142	2.6	_	Independent 1,580 1.6	_
Independent	658	0.6	_	Independent Working Class Ass'n 1,010 1.0	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	289	0.2	_	Socialist Alliance 569 0.6	_
Liberal Party	44	0.0	_	Christian Peoples Alliance 242 0.2	_
				Socialist Labour Party 166 0.2	_
Total	118,632	100.0	48	Total 99,896 100.0	48






Kensington and Chelsea - Conservative administration

Turnout 29%; 5.5% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	54,760	63.8	45	Conservative	46,272	59.0	42
Labour	16,603	19.4	9	Labour	20,024	25.5	12
Liberal Democrat	12,304	14.3	_	Liberal Democrat	11,760	15.0	_
Green	1,843	2.1	_	Green	327	0.4	_
Alliance for Green Socialism	232	0.3	_				
Christian Peoples Alliance	32	0.0	_				
Total	85,774	100.0	54	Total	78,383	100.0	54






Kingston upon Thames - Liberal Democrat administration

Turnout 45.2%; 8.1% swing from Liberal Democrat to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	57,673	44.2	21	Liberal Democrat	56,511	48.8	30
Liberal Democrat	53,538	41.0	25	Conservative	41,529	35.9	15
Labour	13,386	10.3	2	Labour	13,587	11.7	3
Green	3,994	3.1	_	Green	2,522	2.2	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	1,708	1.3	_	Christian Peoples Alliance	1,689	1.5	_
Socialist Labour Party	95	0.1	_	_			
The Socialist Party	80	0.1	_				
Total	130,474	100.0	48	Total	115,838	100.0	48







Lambeth - Labour administration

Turnout 30.4%; 3.3% swing from Liberal Democrat to Labour

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	71,725	39.7	39	Labour	57,967	39.8	28
Liberal Democrat	52,480	29.0	17	Liberal Democrat	52,209	35.9	28
Conservative	34,667	19.2	6	Conservative	26,081	17.9	7
Green	17,560	9.7	1	Green	7,948	5.5	_
Local Educ'n Action by Parents	2,045	1.1	_	Socialist Alliance	1,178	8.0	-
Independent	1,055	0.6	_	Independent	143	0.1	_
Respect - The Unity Coalition	778	0.4	_	UK Independence Party	53	0.0	_
The Socialist Party	139	0.1	_	_			
UK Independence Party	112	0.1	_				
English Democrats	108	0.1	_				
Total	180,669	100.0	63	Total	145,579	100.0	63






Lewisham - Labour administration - directly elected Mayor and largest party

Turnout 33.3%; 10.7% swing from Labour to Liberal Democrat

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	49,322	35.3	26	Labour	59,842	47.5	45
Liberal Democrat	35,872	25.7	17	Conservative	25,261	20.0	2
Conservative	26,550	19.0	3	Liberal Democrat	20,715	16.4	4
Green	22,321	16.0	6	Green	12,252	9.7	1
Socialist Alternative	2,868	2.1	2	Local Educ'n Action by Parents	2,656	2.1	1
Independent	2,279	1.6	_	Socialist Alternative	1,987	1.6	1
UK Independence Party	281	0.2	_	Independent	1,273	1.0	_
Independent Resident	169	0.1	_	British National Party	947	0.8	_
Socialist Alliance	111	0.1	_	Socialist Alliance	754	0.6	_
				UK Independence Party	345	0.3	_
Total	139,773	100.0	54	Total	126,032	100.0	54






Merton - Conservative minority administration

Turnout 42.9%; 4.4% swing from Labour to Conservative


			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	72,559	44.6	30	Conservative	48,188	38.0	25
Labour	56,692	34.8	27	Labour	47,080	37.1	32
Liberal Democrat	19,493	12.0	_	Liberal Democrat	14,063	11.1	_
Green	6,226	3.8	_	Green	9,279	7.3	_
Independent Resident British National Party Pension Action Alliance Independent UK Independence Party	4,815 1,092 788 583 457	3.0 0.7 0.5 0.4 0.3	3 - - -	Independent Resident UK Independence Party Independent British National Party	6,532 851 644 302	5.1 0.7 0.5 0.2	3 - - -
Total	162,705	100.0	60	Total	126,939	100.0	60




Newham - Labour administration

Turnout 34.6%; 22.7% swing from Labour to Respect

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	83,862	46.9	54	Labour	67,324	66.1	59
Respect - The Unity Coalition	46,712	26.1	3	Conservative	16,129	15.8	_
Conservative	27,149	15.2	_	Green	5,993	5.9	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	12,627	7.1	3	Christian Peoples Alliance	3,545	3.5	1
Green	4,060	2.3	_	Liberal Democrat	2,820	2.8	_
Liberal Democrat	3,195	1.8	_	Independent	2,148	2.1	_
Independent	1,194	0.7	_	National Front	1,931	1.9	_
British Public Party	129	0.1	_	Socialist Alliance	906	0.9	_
				British National Party	736	0.7	_
				UK Independence Party	233	0.2	_
				Socialist Labour Party	122	0.1	
Total	178,928	100.0	60	Total	101,887	100.0	60






Redbridge - Conservative administration

Turnout 38.4%; 1.3% swing from Labour to Conservative


			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	84,115	42.7	34	Conservative	75,597	43.6	33
Labour	62,405	31.7	19	Labour	60,832	35.1	21
Liberal Democrat	40,841	20.7	9	Liberal Democrat	33,939	19.6	9
Green	4,222	2.1	_	Green	1,740	1.0	_
British National Party	2,463 1,806	1.2 0.9	1	British National Party	984 280	0.6 0.2	_
British Public Party Independent UK Independence Party	863 329	0.9 0.4 0.2	_	UK Independence Party	200	0.2	_
Total	197,044	100.0	63	Total	173,372	100.0	63



Richmond upon Thames - Liberal Democrat administration

Turnout 51.1%; 7.4% swing from Conservative to Liberal Democrat

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Liberal Democrat	87,748	48.8	36	Conservative	67,852	45.5	39
Conservative	76,471	42.5	18	Liberal Democrat	55,015	36.9	15
Labour	7,668	4.3	_	Labour	20,518	13.7	_
Green	5,535	3.1	_	Green	3,882	2.6	_
Independent	1,370	0.8	_	Independent	1,846	1.2	_
British National Party	557	0.3	_	UK Independence Party	123	0.1	_
UK Independence Party	399	0.2	_	_			
Christian Peoples Alliance	176	0.1	_				
Total	179,924	100.0	54	Total	149,236	100.0	54







Southwark - Liberal Democrat - Conservative joint administration

Turnout 33.7%; 3.6% swing from Liberal Democrat to Labour

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	72,416	40.0	28	Liberal Democrat	51,201	39.1	30
Liberal Democrat	60,994	33.7	28	Labour	49,998	38.1	28
Conservative	29,271	16.2	6	Conservative	17,429	13.3	5
Green	15,545	8.6	1	Green	9,060	6.9	_
_				_			
Independent	1,701	0.9	_	Independent	2,307	1.8	_
Respect - The Unity Coalition	359	0.2	_	Socialist Alliance	728	0.6	_
Christian Peoples Alliance	336	0.2	_	National Front	176	0.1	_
Socialist Alternative	231	0.1	_	Christian Peoples Alliance	138	0.1	_
_				Communist Party	49	0.0	_
Total	180,853	100.0	63	Total	131,086	100.0	63






GLA Data Management and Analysis Group


The 2004 London Elections Page 215

Sutton - Liberal Democrat administration

Turnout 43.8%; 5.5% swing from Liberal Democrat to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Liberal Democrat	74,667	45.4	32	Liberal Democrat	68,842	49.7	43
Conservative	70,394	42.8	22	Conservative	49,896	36.0	8
Labour	13,730	8.4	_	Labour	16,060	11.6	3
Green	2,442	1.5	_	Green	2,904	2.1	_
Independent	1,999	1.2	_	Labour and Cooperative	700	0.5	_
British National Party	588	0.4	_	Monster Raving Looney	89	0.1	_
UK Independence Party	449	0.3	_	_			
Christian Peoples Alliance	116	0.1	_				
Total	164,385	100.0	54	Total	138,491	100.0	54






Tower Hamlets - Labour administration

Turnout 40.7%; 17.7% swing from Labour to Respect

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	57,672	33.2	26	Labour	52,200	45.7	35
Respect - The Unity Coalition	39,587	22.8	12	Liberal Democrat	34,915	30.6	16
Liberal Democrat	32,314	18.6	6	Conservative	17,210	15.1	_
Conservative	29,992	17.3	7	Green	5,399	4.7	_
Independent	7,271	4.2	_	Independent	2,338	2.0	_
Green	5,728	3.3	_	Socialist Alliance	1,224	1.1	_
British National Party	972	0.6	_	Monster Raving Looney	470	0.4	_
				British National Party	366	0.3	_
Total	173,536	100.0	51	Total	114,122	100.0	51





Waltham Forest - Labour - Liberal Democrat joint administration

Turnout 37.7%; 1.9% swing from Labour to Liberal Democrat

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Labour	57,965	33.8	26	Labour	56,420	36.3	29
Liberal Democrat	55,611	32.4	19	Liberal Democrat	48,489	31.2	13
Conservative	47,091	27.4	15	Conservative	43,492	28.0	18
Green	8,094	4.7	_	Green	4,487	2.9	_
Respect - The Unity Coalition	1,775	1.0	_	Socialist Alliance	1,230	0.8	_
British National Party	509	0.3	_	British National Party	567	0.4	_
UK Independence Party	270	0.2	_	Socialist Alternative	486	0.3	_
Socialist Alternative	245	0.1	_	Christian Peoples Alliance	247	0.2	_
Independent	178	0.1	_	Communist Party of Britain	131	0.1	
Total	171,738	100.0	60	Total	155,549	100.0	60






Page 218 The 2004 London Elections

Wandsworth - Conservative administration

Turnout 34.1%; 5.4% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	120,876	57.9	51	Conservative	95,511	53.7	50
Labour	56,100	26.9	9	Labour	59,635	33.5	10
Liberal Democrat	20,248	9.7	_	Liberal Democrat	16,155	9.1	_
Green	10,722	5.1	_	Green	4,917	2.8	_
Independent	719	0.3	_	Independent	1,532	0.9	_
Communist Party of Britain	136	0.1	_	Socialist Alliance	76	0.0	_
_				Christian Peoples Alliance	58	0.0	_
Total	208,801	100.0	60	Total	177,884	100.0	60






City of Westminster - Conservative administration

Turnout 29.8%; 2.5% swing from Labour to Conservative

			2006				2002
Party	Votes	%	Seats	Party	Votes	%	Seats
Conservative	70,628	58.9	48	Conservative	60,245	58.8	48
Labour	28,676	23.9	12	Labour	29,461	28.8	12
Liberal Democrat	19,141	16.0	_	Liberal Democrat	11,272	11.0	_
Green	623	0.5	_	Green	685	0.7	_
Respect - The Unity Coalition	565	0.5	_	Independent	526	0.5	_
Independent	209	0.2	_	Socialist Alliance	193	0.2	_
UK Independence Party	67	0.1	_				
Total	119,909	100.0	60	Total	102,382	100.0	60





Code	Party							
Mayoral e	lection							
BNP	British National Party							
CON	Conservative							
CPA	Christian Peoples Alliance							
GRE	Green							
IND	Independent							
IWCA	Independent Working Class Association							
LAB	Labour Liberal Democrat							
LD								
NLP	Natural Law Party							
PMSS	Pro-Motorist and Small Shop							
R	Respect - The Unity Coalition							
UKIP	United Kingdom Inderpendence Party							
Assembly	constituency election							
COMM	Communist Party							
CON	Conservative							
CPA	Christian Peoples Alliance							
GRE	Green							
H	Humanist Party							
HA	Homeless and Addicted							
IND	Independent							
IPL	Independent Pro-Livingstone							
IUJ	Independent Universal Justice							
LAB	Labour							
LD	Liberal Democrat							
LSA	London Socialist Alliance							
MFM	More Freedom for the Motorist							
MNP	Maharishi's Natural Programmes							
PIT	Pro-Integrated Transport							
R	Respect - The Unity Coalition							
REA	Residents' Association							
REF	Reform 2000							
TW	Third Way							
UKIP	United Kingdom Independence Party							

Code	Party							
Assembly	/ list election							
ADC	Alliance for Diversity in Community							
BNP	British National Party							
CATP	Campaign Against Tube Privatisation							
СОМВ	Communist Party of Britain							
CON	Conservative							
CPA	Christian Peoples Alliance							
GRE	Green							
IND	Independent							
LAB	Labour							
LD	Liberal Democrat							
LSA	London Socialist Alliance							
NLP	Natural Law Party							
PMSS	Pro-Motorist and Small Shop							
R	Respect - The Unity Coalition							
SLAB	Socialist Labour Party							
UKIP	United Kingdom Independence Party							
uropear	n Parliamentary election							
BNP	British National Party							
CON	Conservative							
CPA	Christian Peoples Alliance							
ED	English Democrats Party							
GRE	Green							
Н	Humanist Party							
IND	Independent							
LAB	Labour							
LD	Liberal Democrat							
LIB	Liberal Party							
NLP	Natural Law Party							
PECON	Pro Euro Conservative Party							
PPBG	The Peoples Party for Better Government							
R	Respect - The Unity Coalition							
SLAB	Socialist Labour Party							
UKIP	United Kingdom Independence Party							
ww	Weekly Worker							

Party codes used

Code		Party			
Pa	rliamenta	ary elections			
	AC Alliance for Change				
AGS		Alliance for Green Socialism			
	BNP	British National Party			
	BPP	British Public Party			
	COMB	Communist Party of Britain			
	CON	Conservative			
	CP	Civilisation Party			
	CPA	Christian Peoples Alliance			
	CRPA	Croydon Pensions Alliance			
	CTY	The Community (London Borough of Hounslow)			
	ED	English Democrats			
	FIT	For Integrity and Trust in Government			
	GRE	Green			
	IND	Independent			
	LAB	Labour			
	LD	Liberal Democrat			
	LIB	Liberal Party			
	MRL	Monster Raving Loony Party			
	NF	National Front			
	PC	The People's Choice! Exclusively For All			
	PD	Progressive Democratic Party			
	PP	Peace and Progress			
	R	Respect - The Unity Coalition			
	RDT	Vote for Yourself Rainbow Dream Ticket			
	REA	Residents' Association of London			
	SALT	Socialist Alternative			
	SLAB	Socialist Labour Party			
	SP	Socialist Party			
	TEPK	Tiger's Eye - the Party for Kids			
	TW	Third Way			
	UKIP	UK Independence Party			
	V	Veritas			
	WRP	Workers Revolutionary Party			

Nominations for the 2004 elections

Mayoral election

Respect - The Unity Coalition (George Galloway)

Lindsey Ann German

Christian Peoples Alliance (Ram Gidoomal)

Balram Gidoomal

Liberal Democrats

Simon Henry Ward Hughes

Green Party

Darren Johnson

British National Party

Julian Peter Leppert

Labour Party

Kenneth Robert Livingstone

United Kingdom Independence Party

Francis Maloney

Independent

Puvanarani Tammy Nagalingam

Conservative Party

Steven John Norris

Independent Working Class Association

Lorna Reid

Assembly constituency election

Christian Peoples Alliance

Humberto Luis Domingue Heliotrope (Barnet and Camden)

Miranda Elizabeth Suit (Bexley and Bromley)

Gladstone Olufemi Macaulay (Brent and Harrow)

Christopher Farhat Gill (City and East)

David Bruno Campanale (Croydon and Sutton)

Genevieve Mary Hibbs (Ealing and Hillingdon)

Peter Hartley Wolstenholme (Enfield and Haringey)

Stephen Charles Hammond (Greenwich and Lewisham)

Juliet Frances Hawkins (Havering and Redbridge)

Simisola Cherubim Olabisi Lawanson (Lambeth and Southwark)

Ellen Sheila Greco (Merton and Wandsworth)

Andrew Akuafo Otchie (North East)

Peter James Flower (South West)

Jillian Mary McLachlan (West Central)

Communist Party of Britain

James Ivan Beavis (North East)

Conservative Party

Brian John Coleman (Barnet and Camden)

Robert James Macgillivray Neill (Bexley and Bromley)

Robert John Blackman (Brent and Harrow)

Shafi Choudhury (City and East)

Andrew John Pelling (Croydon and Sutton)

Richard Michael Barnes (Ealing and Hillingdon)

Peter James Forrest (Enfield and Haringev)

Gareth Andrew Bacon (Greenwich and Lewisham)

Jeremy Roger Evans (Havering and Redbridge)

Bernard Arthur Ronald Gentry (Lambeth and Southwark)

Elizabeth Howlett (Merton and Wandsworth)

Andrew Boff (North East)

Tony Arbour (South West)

Angela Lavinia Bray (West Central)

Green Party

Miranda Jane Dunn (Barnet and Camden)

Ann Christine Garrett (Bexley and Bromley)

Mohammad Shahrar Ali (Brent and Harrow)

Terry McGrenera (City and East)

Shasha Khan (Croydon and Sutton)

Sarah Janet Edwards (Ealing and Hillingdon)

Jayne Elizabeth Forbes (Enfield and Haringey)

Green Party - continued

Susan Rosemary Luxton (Greenwich and Lewisham)

Ashley Gunstock (Havering and Redbridge)

Shane William Barber Collins (Lambeth and Southwark)

Roy Vickery (Merton and Wandsworth)

Jon Robert Nott (North East)

Judy Sara Maciejowska (South West)

Julia Caroline Stephenson (West Central)

Independent

Dalawar Majid Chaudhry (Ealing and Hillingdon)

Peter Brian Thorogood (Havering and Redbridge)

Navindh Baburam (Lambeth and Southwark)

Rathy Alagaratnam (Merton and Wandsworth)

Labour Party Candidate

Lucy Nevillia Anderson (Barnet and Camden)

Charles John Mansell (Bexley and Bromley)

Toby Harris (Brent and Harrow)

John Robert Biggs (City and East)

Sean Eamonn Fitzsimons (Croydon and Sutton)

Gurcharan Singh (Ealing and Hillingdon)

Joanne McCartney (Enfield and Haringey)

Leonard Lloyd Duvall (Greenwich and Lewisham)

Keith Ernest Darvill (Havering and Redbridge)

Valerie Shawcross (Lambeth and Southwark)

Kathryn Anne Smith (Merton and Wandsworth)

Jennette Sarah Alfreda Arnold (North East)

Seema Malhotra (South West)

Ansuya Vinod Sodha (West Central)

Liberal Democrats

Jonathan Andrew Simpson (Barnet and Camden)

Duncan Keith Borrowman (Bexley and Bromley)

Havard Maengwyn Hughes (Brent and Harrow)

Guy Jonathan Sands Burton (City and East)

Steven Howard Gauge (Croydon and Sutton)

Michael Francis Cox (Ealing and Hillingdon)

Wayne Hoban (Enfield and Haringey)

Alexander David Feakes (Greenwich and Lewisham)

Matthew Eldon Lake (Havering and Redbridge)

Caroline Valerie Pidgeon (Lambeth and Southwark)

Andrew Philip Martin (Merton and Wandsworth)

Liberal Democrats - continued

Terry Stacy (North East)

Dee Doocey (South West)

Francesco Rossano Fruzza (West Central)

Residents' Association of London Candidate

Malvin Paul Brown (Havering and Redbridge)

Respect - The Unity Coalition (George Galloway)

Elisabeth Jane Wheatley (Barnet and Camden)

Alun Morinan (Bexley and Bromley)

Albert Alvin Harriott (Brent and Harrow)

Oliur Rahman (City and East)

Wagas Hussain (Croydon and Sutton)

Salvinder Singh Dhillon (Ealing and Hillingdon)

Sait Akgul (Enfield and Haringey)

Abdurahman Akhtar Jafar (Havering and Redbridge)

Janet Noble (Lambeth and Southwark)

Ruairidh John Dugald Maclean (Merton and Wandsworth)

Dean Roy Emanuel Ryan (North East)

Omar Muddassir Waraich (South West)

Kevin Bismark Cobham (West Central)

Socialist Alternative/Respect - The Unity Coalition

Ian George Page (Greenwich and Lewisham)

Third Wav

David Alfred George Stephens (Havering and Redbridge)

United Kingdom Independence Party

Magnus Nielsen (Barnet and Camden)

Heather Ann Bennett (Bexley and Bromley)

Daniel William Moss (Brent and Harrow)

Christopher Norman Pratt (City and East)

James Rosewell Feisenberger (Croydon and Sutton)

David Malindine (Ealing and Hillingdon)

Brian John Hall (Enfield and Haringey)

Timothy Sean Reynolds (Greenwich and Lewisham)

Lawrence James Webb (Havering and Redbridge)

Frank Malonev (Lambeth and Southwark)

Adrian Kere James Roberts (Merton and Wandsworth)

Robert James Selby (North East)

Alan George Hindle (South West)

Nicholas Damian Hockney (West Central)

Assembly Londonwide members

Alliance for Diversity in Community, Uppal

Inder Singh Uppal Vasudev Kalidas Patel Pritpal Singh Gahbri

British National Party

Jason Paul Douglas Barry John Roberts

Julian Peter Leppert

Richard John Barnbrook

Mary Teresa Culnane Clifford John Le May

Alan Herbert Bailey

Anthony Young

Lawrence Rustem

Carlos Gerardo Cortiglia

Gareth William Jones

Christian Peoples Alliance - Ram Gidoomal

Balram Gidoomal

David Bruno Campanale

Alan Craig

Gladstone Olufemi Macaulay

Peter James Flower

Susan Jane May

Genevieve Mary Hibbs

Juliet Frances Hawkins

Peter Hartley Wolstenholme

Jillian Mary McLachlan

Ellen Sheila Greco

Conservative Party

Fric Ollerenshaw

Andrew Boff

Rebekah Gilbert

Victoria Lorne Peta Borwick

Robert John Blackman

William Guy Darrell Norton

Reza Ahmed Shafi Choudhury

Cormach Joseph Moore Adrian Carey Knowles

Conservative Party - continued

Gareth Andrew Bacon

Bernard Arthur Ronald Gentry

Andrew John Retter

Tony Cox

Philip John Briscoe

Yvonne Lydia Rivlin

Lionel David Zetter

David Tyrie Williams

Jonathan Harold Gough

Matthew William Laban

Simon Andrew Peter Jones

Sean Martin Fear

Darshan Suri

Green Party

Jenny Jones

Darren Johnson

Noel Thomas Lynch

Keith Owen Magnum

Jayne Forbes

Danny Bates

Shane Collins

Ruth Gudren Jenkins

Mischa Borris

Thomas Joseph Walsh

Ashley Gunstock

Labour Party

Felicia Nicolette Gavron

Murad Qureshi

Samantha Louise Heath

Sally Mulready

Abdul Asad

Karen Helena Hunte

Virendra Kumar Sharma

Martin Jonathan Lindsav

Bernadette Lappage

Raj Chandarana

Muhammed Abdal Ullah

Liberal Democrats

Lynne Choona Featherstone

Graham Norman Tope

Sally Rachel Hamwee

Michael William Tuffrey

Dee Doocey

Geoffry Robert Pope

Duncan Keith Borrowman

Monroe Edward Palmer

Meral Hussein Ece

Steven Howard Gauge

Christopher David Novce

Respect - The Unity Coalition (George Galloway)

Lindsey Ann German

Oliur Rahman

Linda Smith

Janet Noble

Sait Akgul

Salvinder Singh Dhillon

Michael Wayne Rosen

Gregory Simon Tucker

Tansy Emily Hoskins

Kevin Bismarck Cobham

Abdurahman Jafar

United Kingdom Independence Party

Nicholas Damian Hockney

Peter Kenneth Hulme Cross

Adrian Kere James Roberts

Paul Daniel Cronin

Lawrence James Webb

Robin Andrew Lambert

John William Dunford

Ralph Steven Atkinson

Frederick James Rolph

Daniel William Moss

Heather Ann Bennett

European election

British National Party

Christopher Roberts

Mary Teresa Culnane

Lee John Barnes

James Edward Seadon

John Ernest Bowles

Jay Lee

John Alfred Evans

Alan Herbert Bailey

Lawrence Rustem

Christian Peoples Alliance - Ram Gidoomal

Michael William Francis Elmer

Genevieve Mary Hibbs

Peter James Flower

Keith Anthony McLeod

Debra Smith-Gorick

Douglas Brian Lloyd Gibbons

Roger Edmund Glencross

Glenton Keith Downs

Conservative Party

Theresa Anne Villiers

John Crocker Bowis

Timothy Charles Ayrton Tannock

Syed Salah Kamall

Richard Andrew Balfe

Ian David Twinn

Roseanne Serrelli

Heather Leigh Mendelsohn

Ashok Kumar

English Democrats Party

Robin Charles William Tilbrook

Timothy Patrick Bragg

Terence Peter Brown

Robert Howells

Alan Gerald Sutton

Robert John Poulton

Green Party

Jean Denise Lambert

Paul Martin Ingram

Judy Sara Maciejowska

Timothy Michael Turner

Christopher Paul Cotton

Douglas Earl

Shahrar Ali

Peter Budge

Joseph Healy

Liberal Democrats

Sarah Ann Ludford

Jonathan Harold Fryer

John Christopher Courtenay Stevens

Dinti Wakefield

Ian Richard McDonald

Kishwer Falkner

Nigel Bakhai

Keith Moffitt

Sandra Joy Lawman

Respect - The Unity Coalition (George Galloway)

George Galloway

Unjum Mirza

Elaine Amanda Graham-Leigh

Paul Mackintosh Foot

Rita May Carter

John Anthony Mulrenan

Victoria Catherine Brittain

Gary Alexander Joseph McFarlane

Kenneth Charles Loach

Labour Party

Claude Ajit Moraes

Mary Hilder Rosamund Honeyball

Robert John Emlyn Evans

Anita Jane Pollack

Hugh David Malyan

Stephanie Elizabeth Elsy

Munir Malik

Jane Rachael Briginshaw

Joseph Ejiofor

The Peoples Party for Better Government

Christopher Moreton Richard Prior

United Kingdom Independence Party

Gerald Joseph Batten

Nicholas Damian Hockney

Christopher Norman Pratt

John De Roeck

Anthony John Edward Scholefield

Janice Cronin

Kathleen Garner

Harun Khan

Ralph Steven Atkinson

Technical Notes

Electing Members for the London Assembly

There are 25 members of the London Assembly: 14 Constituency Members and 11 London-wide Members. The Assembly is elected using the Additional Member System (AMS), which combines elements of first-past-the-post and a form of proportional representation using the d'Hondt formula.

Voters cast two votes: one for a Constituency Assembly Member and one for the independent candidate or political party they would most like to see represented in the Assembly on a London-wide basis. A facsimile of the voting form is shown to the right.


Constituency Members each represent one of London's 14 Assembly constituencies, comprising between two and four boroughs. They are elected by the traditional first-past-the-post system, where the candidate with the most votes in a constituency is elected. If there is a tie lots are drawn by the constituency returning officer.

If all Assembly Members were elected in this way, independent candidates or parties whose votes were spread right across London but added together made a significant total, might not win any seat. All the people who had voted for those candidates would have no representation to voice their political views in the Assembly, making it less representative of London as a whole.

This is where the second vote for an independent candidate or party comes in. These votes are counted and then the number of constituency members is topped up with 11 additional London-wide members, using a modified d'Hondt formula (see later in this section), where seats are allocated on a pro rata basis to parties or candidates with over 5% of the votes cast.

Applying the d'Hondt formula means that the total number of Assembly seats given to a party reflects the intentions of the electorate, while still including members who are directly answerable to constituencies.

In the past, British elections mostly used the first-past-the-post system.



This system often creates an imbalance between the number of seats a party has and its share of votes cast. In the 2000 London election for Assembly constituency members, which used first-past-the-post, the Conservative party had 33 per cent of the votes but 57 per cent of constituency member seats. The Labour Party had 32 per cent of the votes, but 43 per cent of these seats. The Liberal Democrats and the Greens had no seats, but had 19 per cent and 10 per cent of the votes respectively. Under the first-past-the-post system, all votes for candidates other than the winner are rendered irrelevant in determining the political structure of the elected body, thus ignoring the wishes of those voters. The d'Hondt formula seeks to redress this imbalance.

The European Parliament elections

This used the pure d'Hondt formula (see below).

The d'Hondt formula

Victor d'Hondt was a Belgian lawyer, professor of civil law at Ghent University, and a mathematician. He devised this seat-allocation method in 1878. The process in practice can easily be followed by reference to the Results Chapter at the beginning of this report (pages 11 and 19) but the following describes how the system works.

The calculation is carried out using all the votes cast in the election.

In 'round one', the votes cast for each party or individual candidate are examined and the one receiving the highest number gains the first seat.

In 'round two', the total number of votes for each party or candidate is divided by the number of seats that each party has already won plus one. In other words the party or candidate which won the first seat has their vote divided by two and all the others have their vote divided by one. The results of this calculation are examined and the party or candidate with the highest number wins the second seat.

The process is then repeated until all seats have been allocated, with, at each round, the parties' or candidates' votes being divided by the number of seats they have already gained plus one, and the party or candidate with the largest

result from this calculation gaining the next seat.

In the Assembly election, the intention is that the overall polital composition of the Assembly should reflect as far as possible, the distribution of votes cast across the whole of London. The seats won in the constituency member stage of the election are, therefore, taken into account in allocating the London-wide seats. A d'Hondt formula is used to allocate the London-wide seats, modified to allow only those parties or individual candidates who have gained more than 5 per cent of the vote to be considered.

In the European election, the pure d'Hondt system is used where the 5 per cent minimum qualification is not applied.

The conduct of the election

DRS, Data and Research Services plc provided the e-counting system for the 2004 London elections. DRS designs and manufactures its own e-counting software and hardware for specific needs, and has extensive elections experience. In May 2003, DRS provided a successful e-counting system for the first multiple council elections in the United Kingdom in County Durham.

DRS provided the electronic vote-counting for the first Mayoral and London Assembly election in 2000. The system worked well and the results were announced by lunchtime the following day. Minor problems which arose in 2000 were addressed and resolved, and the software for the 2004 election was greatly improved, not least by the ability to detect and take an image of doubtful ballot papers.

The electronic counting or 'e-counting' used, involves scanning ballot papers through specially designed machines rather than counting them by hand. These machines automatically count the vote (or votes) on each ballot paper. This means that multiple votes using different voting systems can be counted at the same time. Once the votes are counted, the system calculates the results for each election.

E-counting was chosen because it is very accurate and the ballot papers would take too long to count by hand with the three different voting systems being

used, and voters casting five votes on three separate ballot papers. Machines can count these efficiently and quickly, whereas a manual count of the ballot papers would have taken days.

London Elects was the office involved with planning and managing the organisation and publicity for the elections. It reported to the Greater London Returning Officer (GLRO) under separate budgetary and reporting lines from the GLA.

In the election for the Mayor of London and London Assembly, each London borough had a borough returning officer (BRO) who was responsible for identifying polling stations, appointing staff, conducting the election and sending out postal votes. However, responsibility for the counting of votes rested with the constituency returning officer (CRO). London Assembly constituencies are made up of between two and four London local authorities. The BRO of the constituency's largest borough (usually the one with the most registered voters) became its CRO.

For the European election, there was a regional returning officer (RRO) for each of the UK's 12 European parliamentary regions, who was responsible for organising a fair and accurate election. London was one region. The RRO announced the results of the European Parliament election well after the declaration from the London elections, once all polling stations across the European Union closed.

The GLRO was responsible for overseeing the election for the Mayor of London and the London Assembly across the whole of London. The role is designated as the responsibility of the 'proper officer' of the Greater London Authority (GLA), according to the GLA Act 1999.

The GLRO announced the results of the Mayoral election and the London-wide Assembly Member elections and CROs declared the results in their home constituencies. The GLRO cannot order a London-wide recount – recounts can only take place at constituency level and this responsibility falls to CROs.

Anthony Mayer, the chief executive of the GLA, was both GLRO and London

RRO. He was appointed to the GLRO role by the London Assembly, and as RRO by the Department for Constitutional Affairs. Both roles had deputies. The deputy GLRO was John Bennett, Head of Assembly Support at the GLA and the deputy RRO was David Wechsler, chief executive of Croydon Borough Council.

Electronic counting (e-counting)

Electors in the London elections had five votes:

- a first choice for Mayor of London
- · a second choice for Mayor of London
- one vote for a constituency member of the London Assembly
- one vote for a London-wide Member of the London Assembly
- · one vote for a Member of the European Parliament

Voters inserted their completed ballot papers into ballot boxes at the polling station. Voters were asked not to fold their ballot papers, as this would slow down the e-counting process. When the polling station closed, the ballot boxes were taken to the constituency counting centre, which was under the control of the CRO. Ballot papers from each polling station were then removed and organised into piles with a control sheet, which listed the number of ballot papers issued by the polling station. Each control sheet was scanned, and the number of papers entered into a database. Ballot papers in each pile were then fed through the same scanning machines.

The scanner performed a number of operations at the same time. It checked the ballot paper against various security features to ensure it was genuine. It counted the number of ballot papers being fed through it, recorded how each vote had been cast and stored images of doubtful ballot papers (where the voter's intention was unclear).

Manually-entered ballot papers

Images of all doubtful papers were taken and stored for later adjudication by election officials. They decided how to interpret the voter's intent and entered votes or the reason for rejecting them separately into the database. Any ballot papers that could not physically be fed through the scanner (e.g. if torn or

screwed up) were entered manually into the database by an election official. The number of ballot papers scanned was then verified by comparing it with the number issued on the control sheet. If there was a difference in these numbers, election staff investigated and were able to re-scan batches of ballot papers. Once the numbers had been confirmed, the count data was stored.

The count took place in 10 venues across London, known as count centres, starting on the morning of Friday 11 June 2004.

Once the counting and adjudication process had been completed, the data was stored and sent securely to City Hall for approval by the GLRO.

Spoiled ballot papers


The example alongside (to the right) illustrates a valid use of two votes cast in the Mayoral election. Clear marks have been placed against candidates in both the first and second preference columns.

While the example illustrated below would not be rejected, the voter has placed both marks against the same candidate and the second preference vote would not be carried forward into the second round of the count.


	Party A			
2	CANDIDATE, Number 2 Address Party B	X	×	
3	CANDIDATE, Number 3 Address			

In the next example, the voter has placed two crosses in the first preference column, and in this case, the ballot would be rejected on the grounds of 'voting for too many candidates'.

2	CANDIDATE, Number 2 Address Party B	×	
3	CANDIDATE, Number 3 Address Party C		
4	CANDIDATE, Number 4 Address Party D	X	
	CARRIED P. Comban P.		



In the case shown below, the elector has placed the cross exactly on the line between two candidates and a scrutineer would not be able to decide the intention of the voter. Thus this ballot paper would also be rejected on the grounds of 'uncertain or blank'.




The case illustrated on the right shows a ballot paper where the votes have been cast correctly, but the voter has written a name on the form, thus, potentially allowing identification of the ballot paper. The paper would be rejected on the grounds of 'writing mark by which voter could be identified'. The mark would not have to be a full name but any mark which might be related to a person's identity would lead to rejection of the ballot paper.

Each ballot paper had a bar code (not shown on the examples) and polling district and elector's numbers. If, this area of the form became damaged, whether by accidental or deliberate action, and it was not possible to determine what they had been from the remnants of the form, the ballot paper would be rejected on the grounds of 'lack of official mark'. In all elections there is the possibility of legal challenge and in some of these cases it becomes necessary to track the voting process and confirm that the ballot paper can be associated with an elector who did attend a polling station or submit a postal ballot. This process is activated very rarely and is essential in order to combat fraud. Thus any ballot paper which is incapable of passing through this process is rejected.

Any ballot in the Mayoral election which had been rejected, for whatever reason, at the first preference stage, would not be passed forward to the second preference count.

The basic processes for rejection in the Mayoral election apply equally in the Assembly and European elections.



Data Management and Analysis Group (DMAG)

DMAG is a team of statisticians and researchers within the Greater London Authority dealing with various types of socio-economic and demographic data, its management and analysis. We offer a range of professional and technical skills in the fields of statistics, research, demography, data presentation and computing.

DMAG's main stream of publications is the briefings series. Examples include:

2006-19	Child Poverty in London: Income and Labour Market Indicators
2006-11	Borough and Sub-regional Demographic Profiles 2006
2006-06	Parents and work in London: An analysis of Annual Population Survey data for 2004
2006-04	London Borough residents by country of birth: An analysis of 2001 Census data
2005-24	Ward Risks of Population Change
2005-21	Transgenerational Ethnicity
2005-12	Ethnic Diversity Indices
2005-06	London – the world in a city: an analysis of 2001 Census results
2005-01	Country of Birth and Labour Market Outcomes in London: An analysis of Labour Force Survey and Census data
2004-18	Indices of Deprivation 2004: A London Perspective
2003-09	2001 Census Key Statistics: Ethnicity, religion and country of birth

For further information or for a full list of DMAG briefings, please contact **dmag.info@london.gov.uk** . A CD containing PDF versions of the briefings, or hard copies, can also be provided.

Other formats and languages

For a large print, Braille, disc, sign language video or audio-tape version of this document, please contact us at the address below:

Public Liaison Unit

Greater London Authority City Hall The Queen's Walk London SE1 2AA

Telephone 020 7983 4100 Minicom 020 7983 4458 www.london.gov.uk

You will need to supply your name, your postal address and state the format and title of the publication you require.

If you would like a summary of this document in your language, please phone the number or contact us at the address above.

Chinese

如果需要您母語版本的此文件。 請致電以下號碼或與下列地址釋絡

Vietnamese

Nếu ban muốn có văn bắn tài liệu này bảng ngôn ngữ của mình, hây liên hệ theo số điện thoại hoặc địa chỉ dưới đây.

Greek

Αν θέλετε να αποκτήσετε αντίγραφο του παρόντος چاهتے هيں، تو براہ كرم نيچے دئے گئے نصبر عصاب معنان معنان معنان علامت معنان تو براہ كرم نيچے دئے گئے نصبر εγγράφου στη δική σας γλώσσα, παρακαλείστε να δρομικά στην παρακάτω διεύθυνση.

Turkish

Bu belgenin kendi dilinizde hazırlanmış bir nüshasını edinmek için, lütfen aşağıdaki telefon numarasını arayınız

Punjabi

ਜੋ ਤਹਾਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਤਹਾਡੀ ਆਪਣੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਵਿਚ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ. ਤਾਂ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਨੰਬਰ 'ਤੇ ਛੋਨ ਕਰੋ ਜਾਂ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਪਤੇ 'ਤੇ ਰਾਸਤਾ ਕਹੈ:

Hindi

यदि आप इस दस्तावंज की प्रति अपनी भाषा में चाहते हैं, तो क्रपवा निम्नतिखित नवर घर फोन करें अधवा नीवे दिये गये पते पर संपर्क करें

Bengali

चालनि रहि चालनार सामार आहे प्रतितनर अफ्रिकिपि (কপি) চান, তা হলে নীচের ফোন নম্বত্ত বা টিকানার অনুদ্রহ করে যোগাযোগ করান।

Urdu

اگر آپ اس دستاویز کی نقل اپنی رہان میں ہر فون کریں یا دیئے گئے پئے پر رابطہ کریں

Arabic

إذا أردت نسخة من هذه الوثيقة بلغتك، يرجى الاتصال برقم الهالف أو مراسلة العنوان

Gujarati

જો તમને આ દરતાવેજની નકલ તમારી ભાષામાં જોઇતી હોય તો, કૃપા કરી આપેલ નંબર ઉપર ઠોન કરો અથવા નીચેના સરનામે સંપર્ક સાદ્યો.

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY

City Hall www.london.gov.uk The Queen's Walk Enquiries 020 7983 4100 London SE1 2AA Minicom 020 7983 4458