The National Geoscience Data Centre's Single Onshore Borehole Index holds five records of boreholes within the site boundary. These indicate that made ground is present to a maximum depth of 2.5 m below ground level (bgl) underlain by silty sandy clay interlaid with gravel to a depth of 25.0 m bgl.

According to the MAGIC website the superficial deposits at the site are classified as a Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer whilst the underlying London Clay Formation bedrock is classified as an Unproductive aquifer.

The site is not shown to be located within a designated groundwater source protection zone.

4 Assessment of Flood Risk

4.1 Flood Zone Designation

Flood Zones refer to the probability of river and sea flooding, ignoring the presence of defences. The NPPF and PPG defines Flood Zones as follows:

- river flooding; or Land having between a 1 in 200 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of sea flooding.
- Land having a 1 in 200 or greater annual probability of sea flooding.
- d. Flood Zone 3b (The Functional Floodplain): This zone comprises land where water has to flow or be stored in times of flood.

The flood zones are shown on the Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning (Rivers and Sea). The flood zones shown on the flood map are defined by the predicted extent of flooding during the present day 1 in 100 (non-tidal rivers), 1 in 200 (tidal rivers and sea) and 1 in 1,000 (rivers and sea) annual exceedance probability (AEP) events. The zones do not take account of the possible impacts of climate change and consequent changes in the future probability of flooding.

Flood zone 3b (functional floodplain) is not separately distinguished on the Flood Map for Planning but is usually identified by local planning authorities in their SFRAs. The boundary of flood zone 3b is normally defined as land that would flood during the present day 1 in 20 AEP event, although definitions may vary particularly in some districts and in urban areas.



Figure 7 – EA Flood Map from Rivers & Sea

parmarbrook

a. Flood Zone 1 (Low Probability): Land having a less than 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river or sea flooding. b. Flood Zone 2 (Medium Probability): Land having between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of

c. Flood Zone 3a (High Probability) Land having a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding; or

Where an area benefits from formal flood defences providing a minimum standard of protection, the defended area may be indicated as an area benefiting from flood defences. However, not all areas are shown as such, and unless specifically indicated, the Flood Map for Planning conservatively shows land at risk of flooding in the absence of flood defences. The Flood Map for Planning (Figure 7) indicates the site to be located in flood zone 3 and is in an area benefiting from the presence of flood defences.

4.2 Historical Records of Flooding

The Environment Agency historic flood map indicates that extensive flooding of the site occurred in 1928 and that land beyond the south-east corner of the site was also flooded in 1947 (Figure 8).

It should be noted that raised defences were not present along the River Lee when flooding occurred at the site. The London Borough of Tower Hamlets SFRA indicates that flood defences were constructed following the 1947 flood event.



Figure 8 – Recorded Flood Outlines.

4.3 Flood Risk – River Lee

As detailed in Section 3.3, the River Lee is located a minimum of approximately 160 m east of the site and flows in a generally southerly direction to its confluence with the River Thames.

The Environment Agency (EA) has confirmed that the flood defences along the River Lee prevent flooding in up to the 1 in 1,000 AEP event and that the planning application should be informed by an assessment of flood risk from the River Thames.

4.4 Flood Risk – River Thames

As detailed in Section 3.3, the River Thames is located approximately a 550 m south of the site and flows in an easterly direction towards the Thames Estuary.

The extent of flooding presented by the Flood Map for Planning does not take into account the presence of flood defences. However, the site is located in an area benefitting from formal defences, including the Thames Barrier.

The Thames Barrier and the raised defences along the banks of the River Thames and are designed to provide a 1 in 1,000 annual probability Standard of Protection (SoP) and therefore mitigate the risk of flooding from the River Thames in up to the present day 1 in 1,000 annual probability event.

The crest level of the defences situated adjacent to the site is currently 5.23 m AOD. It is expected that the crest level of the defences will be raised to 6.20 m AOD in accordance with the TE2100 Plan in order to maintain the current SoP up to 2100.

Based upon the above, the site is assessed to be at a low risk of flooding form the River Thames. However, a residual risk of flooding exists due to potential overtopping of the defences for events exceeding the SoP, due to a structural failure of the flood defence walls, or due to a failure of Thames Barrier to operate as intended.

The Environment Agency has provided outputs from its 2017 Thames Tidal Upriver Breach Inundation Modelling Study. The extents of flooding resulting from a breach of the River Thames flood defences for the present day and 2100 climate change scenarios are presented by **Figure 9** and indicate that the site is at risk of flooding.

Maximum flood levels for the present day and 2100 climate change scenarios are presented by **Figure 10** and **Figure 11** respectively. The model results indicate that peak flood levels across the southern site parcel for the present day and 2100 climate change scenarios are 2.80 m AOD and 3.68 m AOD respectively. Peak flood levels within the northern site parcel are shown to range from 3.18 – 3.55 m AOD in the present day scenario and 3.65 – 5.10 m AOD in the 2100 climate change scenario.

Flood hazard mapping for the present day and 2100 climate change scenarios are presented by **Figure 12** and **Figure 13** respectively. The flood hazard at the site is generally shown to be significant (i.e. dangerous for most people), with areas of extreme hazard (i.e. dangerous for all) identified along the site access roads in the 2100 climate change scenario. Refer to **Appendix B** for the EA Product 4 Detailed Flood Risk Maps.



Figure 9 - Modelled Flood Extent - Breach. Tidal Upriver Breach Inundation Modelling Study 2017

parmarbrook



North Aberfeldy Village



South-west Aberfeldy Village



South-east Aberfeldy Village

Figure 10 – Maximum Water Level – Breach (2005). Tidal Upriver Breach Inundation Modelling Study 2017



North Aberfeldy Village



South-west Aberfeldy Village



South-east Aberfeldy Village

parmarbrook

	Agency	
20 40 60 PHO Markets Bis backs Bis backs Photos Bis back	norment Agency entry anner Road, ryn Garden Cay, Indelve, HE	
pend - Some Dance - Some Dan	20 40 80 Matten	
	pend	
no nonzel Del Bacah Inget anACC; 210 And And And And And And And And And And	Man Rown	
<form></form>	The sectors	
	Tidat Breach Height (mAOC); 2100	
<form></form>	when you cause thread haudden with the second secon	
The second seco	Enviconment Agency	
The second seco	n Gader Ch. +	
m m	HE CONTRACTOR	
Procession of the second seco	25 40 80	
	end	
Bit boddini Tada Breach Hanget (mcCO) (210) Mit Dada Sama Breach Naudelland	Main Rivers	
<text><text><text></text></text></text>	Sile location	
<text></text>	Tubil Breach Height (mACO) 2100	
Construction of the second secon	nn Soziane Seven Investere 1992 (2001) Antonio Seven Investere 1993 (2001) Antonio Seven Investere 1994 (2001) Antonio Seven Investere 199	
Man Rotes	Environment	
20 40 80 <u>Definition</u> 10 March Rosen Site Accesso Texas Present Header (1990) Texas Present Header	eny, amer Road, ryn Gandan Cép. +	
Period Man Rotes Sale Scalar Tata Press Press (pr4Co) 210 net Tool (press Breach hundrates regit (pr4Co) 210 net Tool (press Breach hundrates regit (pr4Co) 200 net Tool (pr4Co) 200 net Tool (pr4Co) 200 net Tool (pr4Co) 200 net Tool	20 40 80	
Main Resets Site Academ Site Academ Team Resets respirit (anAOO)(2198 rest Total (privat Reset) haudation rest Total (privat Reset) haudation rest Total (privat Reset) haudation rest Total (privat Reset) rest	jend	
The locality Table Resch Height (INACO) (2110) Intel Stream Brisch Hundtellen Rest 2001 Stream Stream Brisch Hundtellen Rest 2001 Stream Stream Stream Brisch Rest 2001 Stream Stream Stream Brisch Rest 2001 Stream Stream Stream Brisch Rest 2001 Stream Stream Stream Brisch Rest 2001 Stream	Main Rivers	
These Preside Height (MACO) 2759 These Track (press Breach Hauddeller Height 2017) and a Company Breach Hauddeller Height 2017 and a Company Breach Hauddeller Height 2017 And Annual Hauss Annual Hauddeller Height 2017 Annual Hauss Annual Hauddeller Height 2017 Annual Hauss Annual Hauddeller Height 2017 Annual Hauss Annual Hauddeller Height 2017 Annual Hauss Annual Hauss Height 2017 Height	Site kicution	
new Total Upprive Breach Inuerdation Heritog 2017 adolesis representations of all caracteristic that dogstars to the Thomas Markin Resperts Total Control (1999) and the Resperts Total Control (1999) and the popole adolesis to the Control (1999) and popole adolesis to the Control (1	Teal Breach Height (INAOO) 2100	
In. The modeling in based on the 2008 1980 in-channel levels, with an abavance climate change for epont 2100 Avend by: workings & Sitrategic Overview,	new Topic Ligner Eleventh Investigate Methy 2017 United in executions and output to the methyles of the transmission of the methyles in the transmission of the methyles of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the methyles of the transmission of the transmission of the tr	
fordature & North London	fonduture & North London	

-

Figure 11 – Maximum Water Level – Breach (2100). Tidal Upriver Breach Inundation Modelling Study 2017



Figure 12 – River Thames Hazard Mapping Breach (2005). Tidal Upriver Breach Inundation Modelling Study 2017



Figure 13 – River Thames Hazard Mapping Breach (2100). Tidal Upriver Breach Inundation Modelling Study 2017

4.5 Surface Water Flooding

Pluvial flooding occurs when natural and engineered systems have insufficient capacity to deal with the volume of rainfall. Pluvial flooding can sometimes occur in urban areas during an extreme, high intensity, low duration summer rainfall event which overwhelms the local surface water drainage systems. This flood water would then be conveyed via overland flow routes dictated by the local topography.

Appendix A, Map 006, of the SFRA indicates that the site is located within a Critical Drainage Area.

The Flood Risk from Surface Water map (Figure 14) shows the majority of the site to be at very low risk of flooding from surface water, with the site access roads identified as being at increased risk.

Potential flood depths along the site access roads for the low, medium and high risk events are presented by **Figure 15**. Flood depths are shown to be approximately 300 mm, with the exception of the A12 underpass where flood depths are expected to exceed 900 mm.

It should be noted that the modelling approach used to generate the Flood Risk from Surface Water map generally underestimates the capacity of urban drainage networks. It is typically assumed that drainage networks provide a surface water removal rate of 12 mm per hour, equivalent to 33 litres per second per hectare of impermeable area. As such, it is likely that the Flood Risk from Surface Water map overstates the risk of flooding at the site from this source.



Figure 14 – EA Flood Risk from Surface Water

parmarbrook